PROJECT 10073 RECORD

1. DATE - TIME GROUP 6 April 66 07/0500Z	**2. LOCATION** Enid, Oklahoma (1 Witness)
3. SOURCE Civilian	**10. CONCLUSION** Astro (METEOR) ✓
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS One	
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION Less than 1 Minute	**11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS** Object was described as being 4 feet diameter, 6 feet long and round like a light bulb. Object appeared bright and appeared to be across the street but did not illuminate the area. The flight path was east to west with some slight descent. Investigating officer stated that several people in the area observed a meteor at the time of the observation and in the same relative position as described by the observer.
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Ground-Visual	
7. COURSE E to W	
8. PHOTOS ☐ Yes ☒ No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE ☐ Yes ☒ No	

FORM
FTD SEP 63 0-329 (TDF) Previous editions of this form may be used.

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
HEADQUARTERS 3575TH PILOT TRAINING WING (ATC)
VANCE AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA 73703

REPLY TO ATTN OF: DCO-IP

12 APR 1966

SUBJECT: Report of UFO

TO: AFSC (FTD)
Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433

The following information is submitted as required in paragraph 14, AFR 200-2.

a. Description of the object:

(1) Shape: Round like a light bulb.

(2) Size compared to known object: 4 foot diameter, 6 foot long.

(3) Color: White.

(4) Number: One.

(5) Formation, if more than one: Not applicable.

(6) Any discernible features or details: Light bulb shape.

(7) Tail, trail, or exhaust, including size of same compared to size of object: This is included in the light bulb shape.

(8) Sound, if heard, describe sound: Not accompanied by sound.

(9) Other pertinent or unusual features: Object appeared bright to the observer and just across the street, but light emitted did not illuminate the area.

b. Description of course of object:

(1) The movement and brightness of the object attracted the observers attention.

(2) Object appeared to be across the street from the observer and at telephone line heighth, moving from left to right (East to West) with some descent.

(3) Object was still at approximately the same relative position except slightly lower when it disappeared.

(4) The flight pattern of the object was from left to right (East to West) with some descent and was not accompanied by any other maneuvers.

(5) The object disappeared instantly to the right (West) of the observer approximately one block.

(6) The object was visible to the observer less than one minute.

c. Manner of observation:

(1) Ground - visual.

(2) Optical aids used: None.

(3) Not applicable, observer was standing on the ground.

d. Time and date of sighting:

(1) 0500± 7 April 1966.

(2) Light conditions: Night with moon.

e. Location of observer: In driveway of home, Enid, Oklahoma, Latitude 36°24'N, Longitude 97°53'W.

f. Identifying information on observer:

(1) Civilian, Mrs [redacted], age 71, [redacted], Enid, Oklahoma, Phone [redacted]. Occupation: Part time baby sitter. Reliability of observer - excellent.

g. Weather and winds - aloft condition at time and place of sighting:

(1) Observer stated weather was clear.

(2) Wind direction and velocity in degrees and knots were as follows: Surface - Calm, 6,000' 280° 12 Knots; 10,000' 320° 34 Knots; 16,000' 320° 53 Knots; 20,000' 320° 55 Knots; 30,000' 300° 62 Knots; 40,000' 290° 61 Knots; 50,000' 290° 66 Knots; 80,000' (not available).

(3) Ceiling clear.

(4) Visibility 15 miles.

(5) Amount of cloud cover: None.

(6) No thunderstorms in the area.

(7) Verticle temperature gradient: A shallow temperature inversion existed between 3,800' and 6,000' on the Tinker AFB Sounding.

h. Any other unusual activity or condition: None.

i. Interception or identification action taken: None.

j. Location, approximate altitude, and general direction of flight of any air traffic or balloon releases in the area: None.

k. Position, title and comments of the preparing official: Chief, Operations Plans Branch. On the evening and at the time of the observation other people observed a meteorite in the sky at the same relative position as described by the observer. The observer had spent the evening in the house watching television at the home of a neighbor. As she opened the car door to ride home she observed the reported UFO. The only significant difference in her description and others can be explained by depth perception. The observer does not wear glasses and her descriptions do appear reliable. The depth perception error could be explained by the change from watching television inside and then coming outside suddenly into the darkness.

l. Existance of physical evidence: None.

FOR THE COMMANDER

Thomas S. Reynolds

THOMAS S REYNOLDS, 2dLt, USAF
Chief of Administrative Services