PROJECT 10073 RECORD

1. DATE - TIME GROUP 21 Oct. 65 22/0010Z	2. LOCATION St. George, Minnesota multiple
3. SOURCE Civilian	10. CONCLUSION V/S ;Insufficient data for evaluation Photo: " " " " PHOTO: The lack of a definitive signature to the light spot precludes an interpretative type analysis.
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS One	
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION N/A	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS Observers spotted a bright orange to a brilliant white object that was hovering in the sky. The object changed colors as though pulsating from some inner power. While the object was being watched it moved from its stationary position into the wind for several hundred feet, stopped for a few seconds and with a tremendous burst of speed shot over observer's heads into the southeast. Lack of essential data precludes a comprehensive evaluation. The photograph lacked definite signature.
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Ground Visual	
7. COURSE SE	
8. PHOTOS ☒ Yes ☐ No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE ☐ Yes ☒ No	

FORM
FTD SEP 63 0-329 (TDE) Previous editions of this form may be used.

THIS CASE INCLUDES

1 PHOTO 5X7

2/ 00761

TDEW/UFO

JAN 14 1966

Slide and Prints

Hq USAF SAFOICC

We are returning the slide and two enlargement prints to Mr. [redacted]. [redacted] for 934th Troop Carrier Group, St. Paul 11, Minnesota, for return to Mr. [redacted]

FOR THE COMMANDER

ERIC T. de JONCKHEERE, Colonel, USAF
Deputy for Technology and Subsystems

4 Atchs
1. Ltr dtd 1 Dec 65
2. Slide
3. Two Prints
4. Photo Analysis Report

OFFICIAL FILE COPY
Office of Record

COORDINATION:

ORIGINATOR TDEW/UFO Maj. H. Quintanilla, Jr. DATED

TDEW DATE 13 Jan 66

UNCLASSIFIED

(when filled in)

PHOTO ANALYSIS REPORT

NR 65-59

DATE OF REPORT 29 DECEMBER 1965

SUBJECT UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT REPORT

LOCATION VICINITY NEW ULM, MINNESOTA DATE 21 OCTOBER 1965

PHOTOGRAPHY

AF _____ IR _____ QUALITY GOOD

P NRS ATTACHED (2) KODACOLOR NEGATIVES AND (2) PRINTS

1. PURPOSE: This report is in response to Work Order Number 65-134 submitted by Major H. Quintanilla, TDEW/UFO for an analysis of the attached negative and two prints. The following analysis represents a joint effort involving TDDPA (Photo Analysis) and TDDPP (Photo Processing).

2. ANALYSIS: The setting for the photograph of the alleged UFO was 1810 hours, 21 October 1965, vicinity of New Ulm, Minnesota. The photograph depicts a probable circular shaped spot of rather intense light surrounded by a conical shaped "halo" against a completely dense background.

The lack of a definitive signature to the light spot and the dense background completely devoid of objects of any kind, precludes an interpretative type analysis. Further, the determination of which is top/bottom of the object could not be made from the information and/or prints and negative furnished.

The following comments in reference to the negative/prints and information furnished are given in support of the aforementioned negative analysis.

a. The one negative furnished is a copy and in all proabability, not processed by Kodak Laboratories. Kodak uses only color internegative film (Type 5270) for transparancy reproductions. Further, the source letter indicates the original photograph was shot with Ektachrome film whereas the reproduced negative furnished shows Kodacolor film.

b. The reproduced negative furnished does not show a frame marking around the photographed area. It is extremely unlikely that a camera exposed negative will fail to show a frame marking.

UNCLASSIFIED

PHOTO ANALYSIS REPORT NO. 65-59 . DATED 29 DECEMBER 1965

c. Constant density of background surrounding the alleged UFO is questionable. Some contrast is normally evident even on a shot of a darkened sky.

In view of the foregoing, an analysis of the original negative might prove beneficial, even if only to clarify the above comments.

PHOTO ANALYSIS BY:
W.R. Kinney
WILLIAM R. KINNEY
Intelligence Research Specialist

APPROVED BY:
Richard L. Chance
RICHARD L. CHANCE
Captain, USAF
Chief, Photo Analysis Division

WILBER PRICE, JR.
Director, Photo Exploitation Directorate

HEADQUARTERS
934TH TROOP CARRIER GROUP, MEDIUM (RESERVE)
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE
MINNEAPOLIS-ST PAUL INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT
ST PAUL 11, MINNESOTA

REPLY TO
ATTN OF: OI

1 Dec 1965

SUBJECT: UFO

TO: Foreign Technical Division, AFSC, Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio

1. We are attaching a letter and a negative of a UFO sent by Mr. [redacted] on 26 November 1965 to this office.

2. We have informed him that we have forwarded them on to you and that his negative will be returned to him after we receive the report on your findings.

A. J. WEISBRICK
Information Officer

2 Atch
1. Ltr (dtd 26 Nov 65
M[redacted]
2. Negative

[redacted]

Dist to Ofcr. 44 931

[redacted] November 26, 1965
[redacted] Avenue
[redacted] Minnesota
55335

934 Troop Carrier Group
International Airport
St. Paul, Minnesota.

Information Officer
Dear Sir:

Inclosed please find a negative of a UFO that I took a picture of on October 21, 1965. Also, my report as to the circumstances.

On October 21, 1965, My wife [redacted], my son [redacted] age seventeen, and Mr.& Mrs. [redacted] Gaylord, Minnesota, were returning from a hunting trip from the Minnesota River Bottoms, near New Ulm, Minnesota.

Two miles west, and two miles north of St. George, Minnesota, we spotted a large bright orange object hanging in the sky . We were driving north. The object was hovering about two thousand feet in the sky and about a quarter mile to our left.

The light of the object changed from bright orange to a brilliant white and back to orange as though pulsating from some inner power. The time was just 6:10 pm.

We watched this object for all of five minutes while it was hovering. We got back into the car and drove closer and once more got out to watch it.

I took my camera and shot a picture of the strange object. While we watched, the object moved from its stationary position into the wind(the wind was from the north east) for several hundred feet, stopped for a few seconds and with a tremendous burst of speed, it shot over our heads into the south east.

I'm not a judge of speed in the air, but my guess as to the speed of this object from a dead stop to full speed would have to have been in excess of five or six hundred miles per hour. As it passed over our heads we could hear a high pitched whining sound, but no motor noise.

The light from the object continued to change and pulsate from orange to white to a dull red glow as it passed over head. It disappeared from our sight into the evening sky.

It was a clear evening, no clouds of any kind, only two stars were out. One bright star in the south west, the evening star and one very faint star showed to the right of the object. This does not show on this negative, but it does show on my color slide.

I was useing a 804 Instamatic Kodak with Ektachrome-X color slide film NO.# 126-20. I had my camera set at 60, with the lens set for shooting at infinity.

I will be happy to give any other information or answer any questions in regard to this UFO that you may have. I would appreciate it very much if I could have the negative back with your report or answer as to what this might have been.

Sincerely yours

[redacted]

[redacted]