

PROJECT 10073 RECORD

1. DATE - TIME GROUP 21 August 65 21/0600Z	2. LOCATION Island Park, New York (2 witnesses)
3. SOURCE Civilian	10. CONCLUSION Other (Ground Lights) <i>likely, JAC</i> <i>✓ JAC</i>
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS Several	
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION 2 hours	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS Observer noted lights that appeared for almost 2 hours above a neighbor's house. Lights were like a bright moon appearing one at a time. 4 lights were observed during the 2 hour period. Photo analysis identified the UFOs as point sources of light. Camera movement probably caused each point of light to appear as a larger irregular image.
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Ground Visual Bx	
7. COURSE ESE	
8. PHOTOS ☒ Yes ☐ No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE ☐ Yes ☒ No	

ENGINEERING SUPPORT WORK REQUEST

☐

ENGINEERING ANALYSIS

☐

PHOTO ANALYSIS

☐

MACHINE COMPUTATION

PPT NUMBER:

DESCRIPTION OF WORK:

REFERENCE MATERIAL:

REQUESTED BY _____ SYMBOL _____ PHONE _____

I CERTIFY THAT THIS WORK IS AUTHORIZED BY ME AND THAT IT HAS THE FOLLOWING PRIORITY AND DEADLINE WITHIN MY DIVISION.

PRIORITY

DEADLINE DATE

SIGNATURE OF APPROVING DIVISION CHIEF

DATE

ENGINEERING SUPPORT MONITOR _____

ASSISTANT _____

FINAL WORK TO BE IN FORM OF :

☐

ENGINEERING NOTE

☐

COMPUTATION

☐

PI REPORT

☐

DRAWING

☐

PROGRAM

WORK REQUEST APPROVED BY: _____

DEADLINE DATE _____

PRIORITY NUMBER

☐

ONE

☐

TWO

☐

THREE

WORK ORDER NUMBER

PHOTO ANALYSIS REPORT

NR 66-34

PAGE 1 OF 1 PAGES

DATE OF REPORT 2 August 1966

SUBJECT UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT

LOCATION ISLAND PARK, NEW YORK

DATE 21 AUGUST 1965

PHOTOGRAPHY

AF _____ IR _____ QUALITY Poor

P NRS _____

Four negatives, 3 prints (3x5), 4 prints (8x10), UFO Questionnaire

1. PURPOSE: This report is a joint answer by the Photo Processing and Photo Analysis Divisions to Work Order 66-64 from Major H. Quintanilla (TDEW/UFO) who asked for an analysis of UFOs portrayed on three original prints and four original negatives.

2. ANALYSIS: The UFOs in question cannot be identified beyond the fact that they are point sources of light. The larger, yellow-orange images are considered to be the UFOs in question. These images were probably caused by tungsten-type lights, while the blue-white images were probably caused by mercury vapor or fluorescent-type lights. The irregular-shaped images on each print were caused by point sources of light. The movement of the camera through multiple-exposures probably caused each point of light to appear as a larger, irregular image. Microscopic analysis of the original Kodacolor negatives indicates there were between 24 and 30 exposures on each negative. The questionnaire submitted stated "30" as shutter speed used. It is believed the observer, in expending approximately 30 exposures, may have meant to use 30 in this sense rather than as 1/30 of a second, or as a 30 second exposure. One image in the upper left quadrant of the original print number 3 was noted to have been altered, possibly with a pen.

PHOTO ANALYSIS BY:

Gerald A. Scheiman
GERALD SCHEIMAN
Intelligence Research Specialist

APPROVED BY:

Richard L. Chance
RICHARD L. CHANCE
Capt., USAF
Chief, Photo Analysis Division

Wilber Price, Jr.
WILBER PRICE, JR.
Director
Photo Exploitation Directorate

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
HEADQUARTERS 52D FIGHTER WING (AIR DEFENSE) (ADC)
SUFFOLK COUNTY AIR FORCE BASE, NEW YORK 11973



REPLY TO
ATTN OF: 52CIO

SUBJECT: UFO Sighting, 21 August 1966

22 June 1966

TO: Colonel Eric T. deJonckheere
Deputy for Technology and Subsystems
Hq. Foreign Technology Division (AFSC)
Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433

1. Reference your letter re: UFO sighting of 21 August 1966.
2. Enclosed is the information in the original form IAW AFR 200-2, para. 14. Also included are the negatives of the UFO and the facts which you requested IAW AFR 200-2, para. 18a(3).
3. I believe you already have the original prints which I trust you will return along with your conclusions and evaluations.

Willard D. Campbell
WILLARD D. CAMPBELL, 1 Lt., USAF
Assistant Information Officer

- 3 Atch
1. Negatives
2. Questionnaires
3. Note

UFO QUESTIONNAIRE

AFR 200-2/NYADS Sup 1

a. Description of the object(s):

(1) Shape

Round

(2) Size compared to a known object (use one of the following terms: head of a pin, pea, dime, nickel, quarter, half dollar, silver dollar, baseball, grapefruit or basketball) held in the hand at about arm's length. 1/4 SIZE OF M-100

(3) Color

BRIGHT LIKE MOON

(4) Number

4

(5) Formation, if more than one.

O O O O

(6) Any discernible features or details.

(7) Tail, trail, or exhaust, including size of same compared to size of object(s).

None

(8) Sound. If heard, describe sound.

None

(9) Other pertinent or unusual features.

OBJECTS APPEARED

ONE AT A TIME

b. Description of course of object(s):

(1) What first called the attention of observer(s) to the object(s)?

LIGHTS FROM OBJECTS
WOKE ME UP.

✓

(2) Angle or elevation and azimuth of object(s) when first observed.

?

(3) Angle or elevation and azimuth upon disappearance.

?

(4) Description of flight path and maneuvers of object(s).

ENE → ESE

(5) How did the object(s) disappear? (Instantaneously to the North, etc.)

INT. HORIZON

(6) How long was (were) the object(s) visible? (Be specific - five minutes, one hour, etc.)

APPROX. 2 hrs. ✓

c. Manner of Observation:

(1) Use one or any combination of the following items: ground-visual, air-visual, ground-electronic, air-electronic. (If electronic, specify type of radar).

GROUN-D-VISUAL

(2) Statement as to optical aids (telescopes, binoculars, etc.) used and description thereof.

BINOCULARS FOR BETTER VISION 7x50 WIDE FIELD

(3) If the sighting occurred while airborne, give type of aircraft , identification number , altitude , heading , speed , and home station

d. Time and Date of Sighting:

(1) Zulu time-date group of sighting.

0200-0400 2. Local Time 21 August 1964

(2) Light conditions - night, day, dawn, dusk.

e. Location of Observer(s): Give exact latitude and longitude of each observer and/or geographical position. NOTE: In electrical reports, give a position with reference to a known landmark, such as "2 mi N of Deeville;" "3 mi SW of Blue Lake."

f. Identifying Information on Observer(s):

(1) Civilian: Name:
Age: 38 Mailing Address:
Phone No: , Occupation: SIP ANALYST
Estimate of Reliability: EXCELLENT

g. Weather and Winds - Aloft Conditions at Time and Place of Sightings:

(1) Observer(s) account of weather conditions.

CLEAR

*(2) Report from nearest AWS or U. S. Weather Bureau Office:

WIND DIRECTION

VELOCITY IN DEGREES AND KNOTS

SURFACE:

6,000'

10,000'

16,000'

20,000'

30,000'

50,000'

80,000'

UNK

- (3) Ceiling
- (4) Visibility
- (5) Amount of cloud cover
- (6) Thunderstorms in area and quadrant in which located.
- (7) Vertical temperature gradient.

h. Any other unusual activity or condition, meteorological, astronomical, or otherwise, which might account for the sighting.

i. Interception or identification action taken (such action is authorized whenever feasible, and in compliance with existing air defense directives).

j. Location, approximate altitude, and general direction of flight of any air traffic or balloon releases in the area which might possibly account for the sighting.

*k. Position title and comments of the preparing officer, including his preliminary analysis of possible cause of sighting.

l. Existence of physical evidence, such as materials and photographs.

COLOR PHOTOGRAPHS ALREADY SUBMITTED

COLOR NEGATIVES ENCLOSED

*These items will be checked by Intelligence if necessary.

(Please answer the following questions in the space provided)

1. Type and make of camera used: 35mm Fujica

2. Type, focal length, and makes of lens:
Fujinon ~~1:2.8~~ 1:2.8

3. Brand and type of film:
Kodakcolor ASA 64

4. Shutter speed used:
30

5. Lens opening used ("f" stop):
f 2.8

6. Filter used:
none

7. Was tripod or solid stand used:
WINDOW SILL

8. Was "panning" used:
No

9. Exact direction camera was pointing with relation to true North, and its angle with respect to the ground:
123° ^{from} TRUE NORTH 90° ANGLE

my ability. If you have any
further questions to ask, you
may reach me at home in
the evenings, or at my office
during the day. My office
number is [REDACTED]

I hope you will advise
me as to the findings.
Very Truly Yours,
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Dear Dr. Campbell,
When you were
here in Apr., we figured
out the date of the sign-
ing. I forgot to make a
note of it. Will you
please fill in the quest-
ionnaire where I left out
the date. I answered the
questions to the best of
my ability.

21 Aug 65

TDEW/UFO

UFO Sighting, 21 August 1965

MAY 26 1966

52d Fighter Wing (ADC)
52C10
Suffolk County AFB, New York 11978

1. Reference the unidentified flying object observation of [REDACTED] on 21 August 1965. Further information is needed for an accurate evaluation. Request your office obtain information on the sighting in accordance with AFR 200-2, paragraph 14.

2. Photographs were submitted on this sighting, however, the original negatives are needed for analysis. Request you obtain the original negatives along with information outlined in AFR 200-2, paragraph 18a(3). The negatives and prints will be returned upon completion of analysis.

FOR THE COMMANDER

Eric T. de Jongkheere
ERIC T. de JONCKHEERE, Colonel, USAF
Deputy for Technology and Subsystems

COORDINATION:

ORIGINATOR:

H. Quintanilla, Jr.
TDEW/UFO MAJ H QUINTANILLA, JR

DATED *20 Oct 66*

N. H. Perl
TDEW

DATED *25 May 66*

TDEW/UFO OFFICIAL FILE CY


OFFICE OF THE COMMANDER
52 FTR WG (52CCR)
SUFFOLK COUNTY AFB NY 11978

UNITED STATES AIR FORCE
OFFICIAL BUSINESS




POSTAGE AND FEES PAID
DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE

RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED



Colonel Eric T. deJonckheere
Deputy for Technology and Subsystems
Hq. Foreign Technology Division (AFSC)
Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
HEADQUARTERS 52D FIGHTER WING (ADC)
SUFFOLK COUNTY AIR FORCE BASE, NEW YORK 11978



REPLY TO
ATTN OF: 52CIO

SUBJECT: Photos of UFO

16 May 1966

TO: Hq FTD (AFSC)
Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433

In conjunction with our TWX 52ODC 00141, May 66, the three photos mentioned in the message are hereby enclosed.

Willard D. Campbell
WILLARD D. CAMPBELL, 1st Lt., USAF
Assistant Information Officer

UNCLASSIFIED

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
STAFF MESSAGE BRANCH
INCOMING MESSAGE

AF IN : 29658 (13 May 66) A/rph

ACTION: NIN-7

Pg 1 of 2

INFO : XOP-1, XOPX-2, SAF-OS-3, DIA-1, RDC-4 (19) ADV CY DIA
SMB A197RTTU JAW RUEDGMA5682 1331841-UUUU--RUEDHQA.

ZNR UUUUU

R 131808Z

FM 52FTRWG SUFFOLK COUNTY AFB NY

TO RUEDHQA/CSAF

RUEDHQA/SECRETARY OF THE AF WASH DC

RUWMFVA/ADC

RUEDMAA/1AF STEWART AFB NY

RUEDBOA/21AIRDIV MCGUIRE AFB NJ

RUCDSQ/HQ FTD AFSC WRIGHT PATTERSON AFB OHIO

BT

UNCLAS 520DC 00141 MAY 66.

FOR AFSC (FTD), USAF (AFNIN), 21AIRDIV (21ADOIN). UFO RPT.

INFO SUBMITTED ON UFO SIGHTING AT 0600-0730Z 21 AUG 65, BUT NOT

REPORTED UNTIL NOW. UNIDENTIFIED LIGHTS APPEARED

FOR AN HR AND A HALF ABOVE THE HOUSE OF A NEIGHBOR

(3 PHOTOS OF THESE LIGHTS ARE BEING FORWARDED BY

REGISTERED MAIL TO FTD-TDEW-UFO WPAFB). CAMERA

USED CONTAINED AN F-9 LENSE AND EXPOSURE WAS TWO

SECONDS. MANNER OF OBSERVATION: MAN ALONG WITH

TO	CYS
OS	
OSA	
OSP	
US	
RR	
IL	
ITA	
ITI	
ILP	
ILS	
ILT	
FM	
RD	
MP	
MPP	
MPR	
AA	
AAA	
AAE	
EO	
EOI	
LL	
SS	
DSMG	

AFHQ

FORM
JAN 65

O-309C

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
STAFF MESSAGE BRANCH
INCOMING MESSAGE

AF IN : 29658 (13 May 66)

Pg 2 of 2

WIFE HAPPENED TO LOOK OUT WINDOW AND SAW LIGHTS.

PAGE 2 RUEDGMA5682 UNCLAS

HE PICKED UP CAMERA AND TOOK THE SHOTS FROM BED-
ROOM WINDOW. [REDACTED] ASKED NEIGHBORS
BUT COULD NOT FIND ANYONE ELSE WHO SAW THE LIGHTS.

NAME: [REDACTED] AGE, UNK, ADDRESS:

[REDACTED] PHONE: [REDACTED]

THE PICTURES PRESENT THE IMAGE AS TWO LIGHTS WHICH
MOVE AS CAMERA MOVES. NO OTHER INFO AVAIL.

BT

NNNN

UNCLASSIFIED

21 Aug 65

AUG 12 1966

TDETR/Maj Quintanilla, Jr/70916/hl/11 Aug 66
UFO Sighting, 21 August 1965

52nd Fighter Wing (ADC)
Attn: 52C10

1. Reference the unidentified observation of [REDACTED] August 1965. Analysis has been completed on these negatives which you forwarded to our office on 22 June 1966. We are returning the original negatives, original prints plus four enlargements.
2. The following is extracted from the photo analysis report:
 - a. The UFOs in question cannot be identified beyond the fact that they are point sources of light. The larger, yellow-orange images are considered to be the UFOs in question. These images were probably caused by tungsten-type lights, while the blue-white images were probably caused by mercury vapor or fluorescent-type lights. The irregular-shaped images on each print were caused by point sources of light. The movement of the camera through multiple-exposures probably caused each point of light to appear as a larger, irregular image. Microscopic analysis of the original Kodacolor negatives indicates there were between 24 and 30 exposures on each negative. The questionnaire submitted stated "30" as shutter speed used. It is believed the observer, in expending approximately 30 exposures, may have meant to use 30 in this sense rather than as 1/30 of a second, or as a 30 second exposure. One image in the upper left quadrant of the original print number 3 was noted to have been altered, possibly with a pen.

FOR THE COMMANDER

W. S. Wheeler
WARREN S. WHEELER, Colonel, USAF
Deputy for Technology & Subsystems

- 3 Atchs
1. Four original negatives
 2. Three original prints
 3. Four enlarged prints

COORDINATION
ORIGINATOR:


M. Quintanilla, Jr DATED 11 AUG 66
TDETR MAJ H QUINTANILLA, JR
Ind B. Van Dams DATED 11 Aug 66
TDET

TDETR OFFICIAL FILE COPY

This case contains 2,
8"x10" color photos.

FOREIGN TECHNOLOGY DIVISION, AFSC

UNCLASSIFIED



UNCLASSIFIED

