1. DATE - TIME GROUP 12 Sept 64 12/0405Z	**2. LOCATION** Kent, England
3. SOURCE Police Officers	**10. CONCLUSION** INSUFFICIENT DATA FOR EVALUATION
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS One	Insufficient Data. Newsclipping not included. Third hand report.
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION Few Minutes	**11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS** Information on sighting forwarded for evaluation without the essential data. Newsclipping omitted. Only statement that the UFO was observed heading in a Northerly direction, was quite bright, and observed for a relatively few minutes.
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Ground-Visual	
7. COURSE Northerly	
8. PHOTOS ☐ Yes ☒ No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE ☐ Yes ☒ No	

FTD FORM SEP 63 0-329 (TDE) Previous editions of this form may be used.

12 SEP 64

COPY.

2nd. October. 1964.

Dear Sir,

Thank you for your letter of the 25th. September. 1964. There is little to add to the newspaper report which you enclosed with your letter, except to say that this object was seen at 4.5 am. on the 12th. September. 1964, and was observed by a number of police officers travelling in a northerly direction towards Essex. It was quite bright in appearance and was lost from sight after a relatively few minutes.

Yours Faithfully.

Chief Constable of Kent.

REPORT TO THE U.S.A.F. BLUEBOOK PROJECT.

As you will see from the enclosed (a copy), a U.F.O was observed on 12th Sept '64, by the Kent police.

My own personnel conclusion on this are, that the police are very reliable reporters & therefore it can be taken for granted that this was not an optical illusion.

This is the first U.F.O I have tried to get information on, & to date have not been very sucessfull, the reasons being stated below.

As soon as I can afford it, I'm going to place an advert in the national papers, asking people who think they have seen a U.F.O to write to me. I know that some will be fake, but with any luck be able to separate the bad from the good. Would you be interested in having copies of these (if any).

The main reason I can't pass on any more information is as follows:- (1) Lack of offical interest here in England. (2) Being a private worker I am, (as to coin a phrase) banging my head against an "offical brick wall" (3) People are inclined to think that offical would laugh at them, this latter statement is true.

Any-way if you follow this report up, please would you let me know the result.

Munro, Malcolm

CASE 12 SEPT 64

[redacted]

1 4th. September. 1 964.

Dear Sir,

I am doing some private research work into "Unidentfied Flying Objects" (U.F.O.). I have been in contacy with the U.S. Air Attache here in London, and he recomended that I write to you as regards information on this subject. I would there-fore be very grate-full if you would send me all the details on U.F.O.s that have been reported to the U.S.A.F.

Yours Faithfully.

[redacted]

[redacted]
ENGLAND.

6th. October. 1964.

Dear Sir,

I am doing some private search work into U.F.O.s. Enclosed, you will find a report of a U.F.O. The first time I herd of this one was a small artical in the national papers.

I hope you will find the report of use to you.

Yours Faithfully.

[redacted]

GREENWICH
3 3PM
14 SEP
1964

To: Office of Imformation,
Headquarters U.S. Air Force.,
Washington, D.C.,
U.S.A.