

1. DATE - TIME GROUP 10 July 64 11/0435Z	2. LOCATION Mankato, Minnesota
3. SOURCE Civilian	10. CONCLUSION INSUFFICIENT DATA FOR EVALUATION.
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS One	Passage did not conform to ECNO I or II schedules. Possible a high flying a/c or one of the lesser Satellites. Insufficient Data for evaluation.
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION 8 Minutes	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS Object appearing like a Satellite passing from South to North.
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Ground-Visual	
7. COURSE North	
8. PHOTOS ☐ Yes ☒ No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE ☐ Yes ☒ No	

FORM
FTD SEP 63 0-329 (TDE) Previous editions of this form may be used.

July 14, 1964

National Aeronautics and Space Administration
400 Maryland Avenue S. W.
Washington, D. C

Dear Sirs:

I am a student at Mankato State College in Mankato, Minnesota, and I am studying a course in astronomy. I am writing this letter to your office to obtain information on an object that I observed on the night of Saturday, July 10, at approximately 11:27 to 11:35 P.M., C.D.T. (Central Daylight Time). This object appeared like a satellite and passed through the following constellations, passing from south to north. The constellations that this object passed through were: first, passing above the gamma star in Cygnus and secondly through the gamma star in Cassiopeia.

I was advised to ask your advice on this site observation in that there was no knowledge obtainable as to the source of the sited object.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely yours,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Mankato, Minnesota
56002

HEADQUARTERS
FOREIGN TECHNOLOGY DIVISION
AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO



REPLY TO
ATTN OF: TDEW

SUBJECT: UFO Sighting, 10 Jul 64, Mankato, Minnesota

27 JUL 1964

TO: Hq USAF SAFOI PB (Mrs Gaiser)
Wash D C

Reference the attached letter from [REDACTED] re-
questing information on an unidentified flying object observed
on 10 Jul 64. The following is a suggested reply.

"Your letter to NASA has been forwarded to the Air Force for
reply. The satellite like object observed on 10 Jul 64 at approx-
imately 1135 pm was not Echo I nor Echo II, the two satellites on
which we have schedules. It is possible that your observation
was one of the other satellites of lower magnitude than first or
second. It is also possible that the object was an extremely
high flying aircraft.

"We regret that we cannot offer a more concrete explanation."

FOR THE COMMANDER

Eric T de Jonckheere, Maj.
ERIC T de JONCKHEERE
Colonel, USAF
Deputy for Technology
and Subsystems

1 Atchs
a/s

ECU II

CSI

ECU I

47 JULY 13 SOUTH OF CITY, 45 DEGREES ABOVE HORIZON

10/24
August 14, 1964

Dear Mr. [REDACTED]

This is in response to your letter of July 14, 1964, addressed to NASA, which has been forwarded to this Headquarters for reply.

The satellite-like object you observed on July 10, 1964 at 11:35 p.m. was neither Echo I nor Echo II. It is possible that your observation was one of the other satellites of lower magnitude than first or second. It is also possible that the object was an extremely high-flying aircraft.

We regret that we cannot offer a more concrete explanation.

Sincerely,

MASTON M. JACKS
Major, USAF
Public Information Division
Office of Information

Mr. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
Mankato, Minnesota 56002