PROJECT 10073 RECORD CARD

1. DATE 12 July 1962 3. DATE-TIME GROUP Local 13/0420Z GMT 13/0420Z 5. PHOTOS CX No	Los Angeles, Ca 4. TYPE OF OBSERVATION ***Cround-Visual Air-Visual 6. SOURCE Civilian	arroura_	Was Astronomical Probably Astronomical Possibly Astronomical
	8. NUMBER OF OBJECTS		Other Insufficient Data for Evaluation Unknown
Two objs shaped like a sufficient size to carrobserved at might. Obse & not together. Objs had flashed with the freque light only much brighte charged in a direction of flight. Sound similar driven a/c. Estimated a phjs circled and at one to hover for 20-30 seconds.	y 49-50 passang rved individual d light that ncy of a Grimes r. Flames discopposite to that to engine t 1,000ft alt. time appeared.	observation analysis as sound rptd a/c and fla Flashing of appear bridges	cated 4 miles South eed air terminal. in description of in in conflict w/ s a/c except that as propeller dreven ame indicates jet. f Grimes light can ghter than expected ain atmospheric condi- earance of hovering to turn of a/c as it

ATIC FORM 329 (REV 26 SEP 52)

Ltr, 195TH ATS (H)(MATS), 24 Aug 62, Report of Unidentified Flying Objects

1st Ind (WOP)

5.4361.3025.45

146TH ATW (H) (MATS), Van Nuys ANG Base, California

TO: Air Technical Intelligence Center Wright Patterson AFB, Ohio

Forwarded in accordance with Par. 14 AFR 200-2.

FOR THE COMMANDER:

William H. Dendleton

Lt Col, USAF Director of Operations

l Atch n/c

195TH AIR TRANSPORT SQUADRON (H) (MATS) UNITED STATES AIR FORCE VAN NUYS AIR NATIONAL GUARD BASE 8030 Balboa Boulevard Van Nuys, California

ATTN OF: 195-0

24 August 1962

Report of Unidentified Flying Objects

TO: Commander 146th ATG(H) Van Nuys, California

- 1. In accordance with AFR 200-2, subj: UFO, dtd 14 Sep 59 and AFR 200-2A, subj: UFO, dtd 2 Feb 60, the following information is submitted.
- 2. On 12 July 1962, Mrs America, North Hollywood, California, reported to the Federal Aviation Agency that she had seen two unidentified flying objects. The information in this report was determined from her statements.
 - a. Description of Objects:
 - She described the objects as being in the shape of a hugh yo-yo. The objects were of sufficient size to carry 40 to 50 passengers.
 - As the objects were observed at night, no color was determined.

There were two objects observed.

The objects were observed individually.

The objects displayed flashing lights which flashed at approximately the same frequency as a Grimes Light, except they were much brighter.

(7) The objects discharged flames opposite to the direction of flight.
(8) She stated that from the sound of the objects they were engine driven similar to that of a propeller driven aircraft, but the sound was quite different from that made by a helicopter.

b. Description of Course of Objects:

(1) Her attention was called to the objects by the sound.
(2) The objects were approximately 1000 feet in altitude, azimuth not

reported. (5) The objects were headed west, in the first case circling in a counter clockwise direction, in the second instance in a clockwise direction. At one time the object hovered approximately 20 to 30 seconds. In both instances, the object was partially obscured by trees and houses.

(4) It was not reported in what direction or manner the objects disap-

peared. The total time of visibility of each object was not reported.

- c. Manner of Observation:
 - (1) It was a ground-visual observation with no optical aids used.

- d. Time and Date of Sighting:
 - Observations were made on 13 July 1962 at 0420 and 0445 Zulu. The observations were made at night.
- e. Location of Observer:
- (1) 34-10N 118-15W, approximately four miles southwest of Lockheed Air Terminal.
 - f. Identifying Information on Observers:
- North Hollywood, California; Housewife.
- The observations were confirmed by her husband, age 48 and her daughter, age 19.
- was reported by the FAA examiner to be a rational, intelligent observer.
 - g. Weather and Winds:
- (1) Clear with 15 miles visibility, winds on surface were southeast at 5 kmots.
 - h. Conclusions:
- (1) We were unable to contact Mr. Dersonally nor the FAA examiner who forwarded the report. The Lockheed Air Terminal reported no unusual sightings at the time of the observation. There were no photographs or other physical evidence presented. Therefore, we are unable to make any conclusions as to the cause or true nature of the objects.

FOR THE COMMANDER

JAMES R ROLLINS

1 Lt, USAF

UFO Project Officer

RICHARD E KOLLER

1 Lt, USAF

UFO Project Officer

Atch:

FAA Rept of a/s

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE HEADQUARTERS UNITED STATES AIR FORCE WASHINGTON 25, D.C.

REPLY TO

SUBJECT:

Report of Unidentified Flying Object



17 July 1962

146 ATW 8030 Balboa Blvd. Van Nuys, Calif.

The attached memorandum regarding the siting of an unidentified flying object is forwarded for your action in accordance with the provisions of AFR 200-2.

FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF:

M.C. Kronshage

Atch a/s

USAR

FEDERAL AVIATION AGENCY

RECORD OF VISIT, CONFERENCE, OR TELEPHONE CALL

CONFERENCE	NAME OF PERSON CONTACTED AND LOCA	TION	DATE	ROUTING
VISIT	Millia R		July 13,	1962 REFERENCE INITIALS
TELEPHONE			TIME 3:50	1 AM /- /- ///
NECT				
Report o	E Unidentified Flying	Object		2
				5
されているがんでんだったがというと		venue, forth l	ollwood, called	20
The state of the s	The state of the s	object. ne stated		
		identified flying obje		
		She stated that both		
		appearance of a huge y		tly
		In both instances,		
		obscured y trees en		
		for approximately 20		
		except it was in ch liri		
	the contract of the contract o	sufficier: size to ca		
		arged Hamboniste		
		neaded mest, in the fi		
		in the second instance		
l.rs.	peared to be a	rational, intelligent	t observer. She	stated that
		raft and balicopters		
		n by scuric ad parr		
the scun	d of the objects the	y were en ine driven	similar to that o	E a properter
		d was quite different		
		ach ties to be approx		
oround.	Her observations we	re confir by her h	usband. age 43. a	nd a daughter.
ace 19.	She stated that all	three vita ssen agre	ed on the above d	etails.
She stat	ed that she had call	ed the Grif ith Obser	vatory and that t	hey were not
		She folt that si		
startlin	ig nature that it show	uld be reported. I t	old her that I kn	ew of no
Covernne	nt office that might	be making study of	this type but th	at 1 mould
malie a r	ecord of her observal	tions and give them a	ppropriate disse.	lacton
	ne.himey. herebeer	ns had been confirmed	hy other resider	ts in the
paper in	Cas: Rer Observation			
			•	
cc. un-1				
177.25	90 /			
111:-5	591			
WI:-5	592			
	······································			
		TITLE		DATE
INATURE				DAIL