## PROJECT 10073 RECORD CARD

1. DATE	2. LOCATION	12. CONCLUSIONS
29 Jun 60	Wilmington, Massachusetts	D. Was Balloon Probably Balloon
3. DATE-TIME GROUP	4. TYPE OF OBSERVATION	- D. Possibly Balloon
Local	Ground-Visual Ground-Radar	Was Aircraft Probably Aircraft Possibly Aircraft
5. PHOTOS /	D Air-Vi sual D Air-Intercept Radar  6. SOURCE	- Was Astronomical
D Yes D No	Civilian	D Probably Astronomical D Possibly Astronomical
7. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION	8. NUMBER OF OBJECTS   9. COURSE	Other
5 min	ODE	Unknown

## 10. BRIEF SUMMARY OF SIGHTING

Object which appeared to be fuzzy on opposite sides which caused its appearance to be egg shaped, about the size of a match head. White in color when first seen, appeared metallic as it turned. Appeared to be above 50,000 ft, but larger than a bomber would appear at that altitude. No sound or trail. Estimated speed 1000 mph or better. Seemed to disappear moving skyward.

## 11. COMMENTS

Possible balloon sighting since balloon was released and appeared over Wilmington at 1957Z. Possible that time error resulted in reporting. Other characteristics point to balloon sighting with the exception of the speed of 1000 mph. Object appeared overhead and disappeared overhead, but direction not mentioned in report.

Nine minutes is a normal time error. Case listed as possible balloon sighting.

ATIC FORM 329 (REV 26 BEP 52)

HEADQUARTERS 3245TH OPERATIONS GROUP 3245TH AIR BASE WING UNITED STATES AIR FORCE

LAURENCE G. HANSCOM FIELD, BEDFORD, MASSACHUSETTS

ATTN OF: CWO

SUBJECT: U.F.O. Investigation Report

12 Jul 60

To: Air Technical Intelligence Center Wright Patterson Air Force Base Ohio

> Commander, 3245th Air Base Wing (CWG) L G Hanscom Fld Bedford, Mass.

1. In lieu of AF Form 112, the attached report of investigation, as prepared by the assigned Investigation Officer is forwarded to your headquarters in accordance with AFR 200-2.

2. This investigation was conducted in response to an initial inquiry sent to this organization from Detachment 102, 1st District Office of Special Investigations. A copy of this request is made Attachment #2 to this letter.

Joseph B. BONNER Lt. Colonel, USAF Commander

Invest Rpt: U.F.O. by Capt A J Mariconda

Ltr: 1DB-OSI INV 24-0 Subj Unidentified Flying Object

Ltr directing investigation

3. On 30 June 1960, Colonel Hundt requested that the information reported herein be referred to Commander, or Executive Officer, 3245th Air Base Wing.

WILLIAM B. O'DOMNELL For: MARK H. STUART Major, USAF Commander Detachment 102 L. G. Hanscom Field, Bedford, Massachusetts

IDB-OSI INV 24-0

Unidentified Flying Objects

30 June 1960

Commander, 3245th Air Base Wing, LG Hanscom Field, Bedford, Mass.

1. Confirming verbal notification of 29 June 1960, to Colonel George R. Hundt, DCS/Intelligence, Air Force Command and Control Development Division, and in accordance with Paragraph 4, AFR 200-2, as amended, be advised that at 1635 hours, 29 June 1960,

the plumbing and heating business, telephonically reported that he had sighted what he believed was an unidentified flying object, or a "flying saucer."

explained that at approximately 1625 hours, 29 June 1960, while seated in the yard of his property and looking skyward, he observed a dark, ball-shaped object flying at an extremely high altitude. At the time he did not consider it unusual, but observed it as it flew into a cloud. He kept watch for the object anticipating its exit from the cloud from the direction from which it had entered and was not disappointed when it did so after approximately thirty seconds. pointed out that since he resides near a USAF installation and has certain expectations regarding aircraft in flight, he continued to observe the object expecting to detect sunlight reflection from its surface which would normally occur from flying aircraft. However, after watching the object for a full five minutes, he observed no reflection from its surfaces and was surprised to find that the object just disappeared. Although he was unable to estimate its altitude or rate of speed, I advised that in the past he has seen USAF bombers flying in the area and estimated that this particular object flew three times faster than a bomber and insisted that it was clearly distinguishable although he was unable to furnish any additional descriptive information. I concluded with the information that immediately after the object vanished, two swept-winged jets, one red and one blue, flew past at a much lower altitude. He added that the sighting occurred directly over his home in Wilmington, Massachusetts, and the weather was clear with high, scattered clouds.

AIL

CWO/Capt Connor/3255)

Investigation of Reported UF 0

5 July 1960

Captain Albert J. Mariconda 3245th Aircraft Control and Warning Squadron (CWDE) L G Hanscom Field, Bedford, Mass.

1. You are hereby directed to conduct an immediate investigation of a reported sighting of a U.F.O. in the vicinity of Wilmington, Massachusetts on 29 June 1960 at about 2125 hours. Necessary preliminary information is afforded in a letter from Det 102, 1st District Office of Special Investigations which will be made available to you.

- 2. The investigation will be conducted in accordance with AFR 200-2.
- 3. Report of findings will be submitted to this office not later than 12 July 1960.
- 4. This letter is issued as authority to conduct this investigation in accordance with paragraph 2c AFM 120-3 in lieu of published Special Orders which are precluded by the time element involved requiring immediate investigation.

THOMAS G. A. WELSH, Major, USAF Executive Officer

47043

## HEADQUARTERS 3245TH AC&W SQUADRON (EXPER.) 3245TH OPERATIONS GROUP (ARDC) UNITED STATES AIR FORCE

LAURENCE G. HANSCOM FIELD BEDFORD, MASSACHUSETTS

REPLY TO ATTN OF: (CWOE/Capt Mariconda/2648)

11 July 1960

SUBJECT: U. F. O.

Commander
3245 Operations Group
L G Hanscom Fld, Bedford, Mass.

- 1. In compliance with par 15 AFR 200-2 the following information is submitted with reference to the siting of an U. F. O. by Mr. son at 1625, 29 June 1960.
  - a. Description of the Object:
- 1. Object was egg shaped. Mrefit stated that the object appeared to be fuzzy on opposite sides which caused its appearance to be egg shaped. For a lack of ability to describe it properly over the telephone he described it as round to Col Hundt in his original report.
- 2. Size appeared to be the size of a match head held at arms length.
- 3. Color: Upon first noticing the object Mr said it was white in color then appeared metalic as it turned.
  - 4. Only one object was seen.
  - 5. No formation
- 6. Object appeared to be above 50,000 feet but larger than a bomber would appear at that altitude. This conclusion was reached when I informed Mr that our aircraft are generally above 30,000 feet when they make vapor trails. He stated he had seen vapor trails many times and related how it was almost impossible to see the aircraft making them and felt that 50,000 feet was a reasonable estimate of the object altitude.
  - 7. No tail, trail or exhaust was seen.
  - 8. No sound or noise was heard.
- 9. Speed was unusually fast as compared to the relatively slow speed bombers appear to be moving when high enough to make vapor trails as observed by Mr. Estimated speed to be 1000 mph or better.

11.1

CWO/Capt Connor/3255)

Investigation of Reported U F O

5 July 1960

Captain Albert J. Mariconda 3245th Aircraft Control and Warning Squadron (CWOE) L G Hanscom Field, Bedford, Mass.

- 1. You are hereby directed to conduct an immediate investigation of a reported sighting of a U.F.O. in the vicinity of Wilmington, Massachusetts on 29 June 1960 at about 2125 hours. Necessary preliminary information is afforded in a letter from Det 102, 1st District Office of Special Investigations which will be made available to you.
- 2. The investigation will be conducted in accordance with AFR 200-2.

3. Report of findings will be submitted to this office not later than 12 July 1960.

wrong in a part of the second of the second

TEAL NEST DOS STRUCK RELIGIONES STRUCKS OF STREET STRUCKS OF STRUC

AND THE REAL PROPERTY SERVE SERVE SERVE STATE OF THE STATE OF THE SERVE SERVE

4. This letter is issued as authority to conduct this investigation in accordance with paragraph 2c AFM 120-3 in lieu of published Special Orders which are precluded by the time element involved requiring immediate investigation.

THOMAS G. A. WELSH, Major, USAF Executive Officer

4+ch 3

- b. Description of Course of Object:
- l. Mr casually observed the object while sitting in the back yard of his home.
  - 2. The object appeared directly overhead.
  - 3. It also disappeared directly overhead.
- 4. The object moved in a north easterly direction and seemed to disappear moving skyward.
- 5. The object disappeared instantly which prompted Mr Harrison to report it.
- 6. Mr said he watched the object "for a good five (5) minutes" before it disappeared completely, with the exception of the time it was behind a cloud which was only momentarily.
  - c. Manner of Observation
    - 1. Ground visual
    - 2. No optical aids.
  - d. Time and Date of Sighting
    - 1. 2125Z, 29 June 1960
    - 2. Observation was made during daylight hours.
- e. Location of Observer, 1.

  North 71° 10' 15" West.
  - f. Identifying Information on Observer:
- l. Civilian, w. Wilmington, Mass., self-employed plumbing and heating contractor. Estimate of reliability, good.
  - g. Weather and Winds Aloft Conditions at Time and Place of Sighting.
- 1. New account of the cloud cover and visibility agrees with the report listed below.
- 2. This weather information was extracted from reports maintained by Detachment 6, 4th Weather Group, L G Hanscom Fld, Bedford, Mass. Winds aloft: surface winds south 16 with gusts to 24, 6000 ft 240° 35, 10,000 ft 250° 30,

16,000 ft 230° 35, 20,000 ft 220° 40, 30,000 ft 250° 50, 50,000 ft 250° 25.

- 3. Ceiling 3000 ft scattered variable broken with high broken (above 20,000 ft)
  - 4. Visibility 15 miles.
  - 5. 6/10 to 9/10 of sky covered.
  - 6. No thunderstorms or other unusual weather was present or forecast.
- 7. Temperature gradient: surface  $80^{\circ}$ F, 5000 ft  $13^{\circ}$ C, 10,000 ft  $14^{\circ}$ C, 15,000 ft  $-4^{\circ}$ C, 20,000 ft  $-15^{\circ}$ C, 25,000 ft  $-28^{\circ}$ C, 30,000 ft  $-41^{\circ}$ C, 35,000 ft  $-50^{\circ}$ C, 40,000 ft  $-51^{\circ}$ C, 45,000 ft  $-53^{\circ}$ C, 50,000 ft  $-55^{\circ}$ C.
- h. No unusual meteorological, astronomical or other activity was reported that could account for the siting.
- i. A check with Major Watson, the Senior Director of the Boston Air Defense Sector, revealed that no unusual radar tracks were observed nor were any intercepts made at the time, date and place of the reported object.
- j. Due to the location of Wilmington, Mass., which is in the greater Boston area and almost at the junction of Airway Blue 4 and Airway Red Il a high density air traffic area, it would be impossible to list the aircraft in the vicinity at the time. The radar presentation of that particular area is too cluttered, making identification of a single target extremely difficult unless the radar observer was tracking a given target or an unusually fast target appeared. Mr Aldrich, Deputy Chief of the Boston Air Traffic Control Center, revealed there were negative reports by pilots or his personnel in reference to this object. Lt Robert W Burns of the National Space Surveillance Control Center, revealed there were no sattelites in a position to be observed at the date, time and place reported by Mr Sgt Maggar, Test. Engineering, this base, informed me that a weather balloon, oblong in shape 5' by 9' in size was released at 1443 EDST (1943Z). The balloon burst at 1616 EDST (2116Z) after attaining an altitude of 110, 000 ft. The wind direction and velocity at the time was such that the balloon would have passed over the vicinity of Wilmington at 1457 EDST (1957Z) at an altitude of 16, 100 ft expanded to approximately 12' in diameter due to decreased atmospheric pressure, and easily visible with the naked eye from Wilmington. The balloon collapsed nine minutes prior to the time Mr. sighted the object and according to calculation the balloon fell in the ocean or about 35 miles NE of Wilmington, so this possibility is eliminated.
- k. My position is Strike Control Officer assigned to the 3245 AC&W Squadron (Exper). I am also a rated pilot. Considering the above listed statements and information I am unable to classify the object sighted by Mr.

- 2. Additional Comments: Immediately upon receipt of this assignment (1100 5 July) I contacted Mr home and made an appointment to see him the following morning (0900 6 July). During a two hour interview Mr related his observation to me exactly as it appeared in Major Stuart's letter, Subject: U.F.O. Dated 30 June 1960, with only two minor deviations. One was the shape of the object as explained in paragraph la (1) above. The other was the color of the two jet aircraft that flew over after the object vanished. I escorted Mr to the flight line after he described the two jets as "looking like a piece of pie" where we viewed the F-101, F-102 and F-106. He identified the aircraft that flew over as being F-102's or F-106's which appear identical while in flight. He added that each entire aircraft was not red and blue in color but rather the tails were painted, one red and one blue. Mr. stated that the aircraft here at Hanscom were not painted like the ones he saw. I checked with So. Weymouth N.A.S. where the Douglas F4D-1 is based (also a delta winged aircraft) with negative results. During a phone conversation with the Base Operations Officer at McGuire AFB in New Jersey I found that none of the F-102's or F-106's based there are painted. I further checked with Boston Air Route Traffic Control Center to determine if they had any of the above type aircraft under their control at the time and was informed by Mr I that they had no information on file to indicate the above type aircraft were under Boston Control at the time specified. I also contacted the 27th Fighter Squadron at Loring AFB Maine (F-102's) with negative results.
- 3. Conclusion: Mr. Is a mature male, 38 years old, with an impressive knowledge, for a layman, of todays fighter and bomber operational altitudes and speeds. He was a "Sea Bee" during WorldWar II. He spoke intelligently during our interview about many subjects. I feel he saw something—an aircraft, balloon or optical illusion. For lack of any concrete evidence to support his claim I must classify his observation as an "Unidentified Flying Object". I feel certain that the siting by Mr. If the two jets after the disappearance of the object was coincidental and had no bearing on the sited object.

ALBERT J MARICONDA

albert Amaricada

Capt

USAF

Investigating Officer