PROJECT 10073 RECORD

1. DATE - TIME GROUP	2. LOCATION			
6 June 60 07/0155Z	Union City, Indiana (1 Witness)			
3. SOURCE Civilian	CONCLUSION INSUFFICIENT DATA FOR EVALUATION			
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS One	PHOTO: No discernible can be identified.			
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS			
Not Reported	Object was flying W to E. It was quite high. Flying king fa			
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Ground-Visual	and made no conconsation trail, but the pattern that appears around it was not visible to the naked eye. The sun was behind the observer which when he took the picture. Observe			
7. COURSE	stated the photo was not a double exsposure.			
8. PHOTOS MXY In File				
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE				
TO Yes TO Yes				

FORM
FTD SEP 63 0-329 (TDE) Previous editions of this form may be used.

77070

Union City, Indiana 6 June 1960

FTD (TDETR)
Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433
14 October 1966




Please excuse the delay in replying to your letter of 25 April 1966. Your photo and camera data was sent to photo analyst personnel, here at Wright-Patterson AFB. Their only comments were that there was no discernible object that could be identified on the photograph and that the light colored areas on the photograph could have been caused by sun flare.

Since you stated in your letter that we may keep the photo, we are placing it in the case files with your report. Thank you for reporting your observation to the Air Force.

Sincerely,

MECTOR QUINTANILLA, Jr, Major, USAF Chief, Project Blue Book



Major Hector Quintanella Jr. Wright Patterson Air Force Base Dayton, Ohio

Dear Magor Quintanella,

After trying in vain to find an explaination to this photograph I am sending a print of the slide to you. I hope you will be able to explain it to me.

I am a member of the Professional Photographers of Ammarica and the National Press Photographers Association. I was resently employed by the local news paper, for 8mo.

DATA: Object was flying west to east, camera was looking east—south—east; so object was going from right to left in the fram, and was photographed after it reached the far left side of fram. It was quiet, high flying, fast, and made no con trail; but of course the pattern that appers around it was not there to the naked eye. The sun was behind me. This is not a double exposure. You may keep this print if you like.

Film; Kodacrome Type F 35mm

Filter; Daylight (color corection)

(Kodak Pony IV; Camera)

Date; June 6, 1960

Time; 7:55 P.M.

Place; Courner of Columbia and Pearl st., Union City, Indiana. I personaly have given up trying to explain the pattern that developed on the film and hope you can help me.




PHOTO ANALYSIS REPORT

NR 66-32

PAGE __ OF __ PAGES

DATE OF REPORT _ L August 1966

OCATION UNION CITY, INDIANA	DATE 6 JUNE 1960
	PHOTOGRAPHY
AFIR	QUALITY
NRS	

1. PURPOSE: This report is a joint response by the Photo Processing and Photo Analysis Divisions to Work Order Number 66-61 from Major H. Quintanilla, Jr. (TDEW/UFO) who requested photo analysis of one color print taken in Union City, Indiana on June 6, 1960 by Market

2. ANALYSIS: No discernible object can be identified on the attached photograph. The light colored areas on the photograph could have been caused by sun flare.

PHOTO ANALYSIS BY:

Levalet a. Scheiman

GERALD SCHEIMAN

Intelligence Research Specialist

APPROVED BY:

Richard L. Chance

RICHARD L. CHANCE

Capt, USAF

Chief, Photo Analysis Division

WILBER PRICE, JR.

Director,

Photo Exploitation Directorate

ENGINEERING SUPPORT WORK REQUEST

ENGINEERING ANALYSIS X PHOTO ANALYSIS MACHINE C	OMPUTATION			
PPT NUMBER: A6010301 DESCRIPTION OF WORK: Request photo analysis be performed on the attached				
print. What are the possible causes for the images				
in this print.				
REFERENCE MATERIAL: One colored print, letter framework 25 Apr 66. Request all material be returned upon completion of / analysis.				
REQUESTED BY Maj H Quintanilla, Jr SYMBOL TDEW/UFO PHONE	70916/78678			
I CERTIFY THAT THIS WORK IS AUTHORIZED BY ME AND THAT IT HAS THE FOLLOWING DEADLINE WITHIN MY DIVISION.	3 PRIORITY AND			
PRIORITY DEADLINE DATE SIGNATURE OF APPROVING DIVISION CHIEF	DATE			
ENGINEERING SUPPORT MONITOR ASSISTANT ASSISTANT SINCE IN FORM OF:				
ENGINEERING NOTE COMPUTATION PI REPORT DRAWING	PROGRAM			
WORK REQUEST APPROVED BY:	WORK ORDER NUMBER			
FORM				

This care includes one (1) 5" 17" color photograph.

