[redacted]

PROJECT 10073 RECORD CARD

1. DATE 12 Apr 60	2. LOCATION Saratoga Springs, New York	12. CONCLUSIONS ☐ Was Balloon ☐ Probably Balloon ☐ Possibly Balloon ☐ Was Aircraft ☐ Probably Aircraft ☐ Possibly Aircraft ☐ Was Astronomical ☐ Probably Astronomical ☐ Possibly Astronomical ☐ Other_____ ☒ Insufficient Data for Evaluation ☐ Unknown
3. DATE-TIME GROUP Local _____ GMT 1303202 Apr 60	4. TYPE OF OBSERVATION ☒ Ground-Visual ☐ Ground-Radar ☐ Air-Visual ☐ Air-Intercept Radar	
5. PHOTOS ☐ Yes ☒ No	6. SOURCE Military	
7. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION 2 1/2-3 min	8. NUMBER OF OBJECTS one	9. COURSE SE

10. BRIEF SUMMARY OF SIGHTING

Round, yellow object, larger than a star, had bright, steady glow. Continued steady arc below tree line.

11. COMMENTS

Due to lack of corroborating witness this report classified as insufficient data. General description, speed and duration of sighting suggest aircraft observation. Due to delay in receipt of report no aircraft information was obtainable through normal channels.

ATIC FORM 329 (REV 26 SEP 52)

RECEIVED
15 APR 60 0 8 0
ATIC

1960 APR 15 04 31

(39)

CSQA547ZCJYP285

FP RJEDSQ

DE RJEZKNG 2

P 141626Z

FM COMDR 556TH RADRON SAGE

TO RJWFAI/AIR DEFENSE COMMAND

RJEZSN/HQ 26T ADIV

RJEDSQ/AIR TECHNICAL INTELLIGENCE CENTER

RJEZHQ/HQ USAF (AFCIN)

RJEZHQ/SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE (SAFIS)

BT

/UNCLASSIFIED/FROM RADOPS 4-37.

IN COMPLIANCE WITH PAR 15, AFR 200-2 DTD 14 SEP 59,

THEFOLLOWING REPORT (UFO) IS SUBMITTED: A. DESCRIPT-

TION OF OBJECT. (1) ROUND. (2) LARGER THAN A STAR.

(3) YELLOW (BRIGHT GLOW). (4) ONE. (5) N/A. (6) NONE.

(7) NONE. (8) NONE. (9) STEADY GLOW. B. DESCRIPTION

OF COURSE OF OBJECT. (1) BRIGHTNESS OF GLOW. (2) 40

DEGREE ANGLE AT 270 DEGREES. (3) 15 DEGREE ANGLE AT

150 DEGREES. (4) ARC ACROSS THE SKY. (5) CONTINUED

STEADY ARC-BELOW TREE LINE. (6) TWO AND ONE-HALF TO 3 MINUTES. C.

UFO

124E2
3
44Ka

PAGE TWO RJEZKNG 2

MANNER OF OBSERVATION. (1) GROUND - VIUAL. (2)

NONE. (3) N/A. D. TIME AND DATE OF SIGHTING: (1) 0320Z,

13 APRIL 60. (2) NIGHT. E. 43 DEG 00 MIN NORHH, 73 DEG

42 MIN WEST. SARATOGA SPRINGS, NEW YORK. F. IDENTIFYING

INFORMATION OF OBSERVER. (1) MR. [redacted]

(FORMER LT/JG. UNITED STATES NAVY). ADDRESS: [redacted]

SARATOGA SPRINGS, NEW YORK. OCCUPATION: OFFICE MANAGER.

RELIABILITY: GOOD.; &. WEATHER AND WINDS--ALOFT CONDITIONS

MANNER OF OBSERVATION. (A) GROUND - VIUAL. (2) NONE. (3) N/A. D. TIME AND DATE OF SIGHTING: (1) 0320Z, 13 APRIL 60. (2) NIGHT. E. 43 DEG 00 MIN NORHH, 73 DEG 40 MIN WEST. SARATOGA SPRINGS, NEW YORK. F. IDENTIFYING INFORMATION OF OBSERVER. (1) MR. [redacted] (FORMER LT/JG. UNITED STATES NAVY). ADDRESS: [redacted] SARATOGA SPRINGS, NEW YORK. OCCIPATION: OFFICE MANAGER. RELIABILITY: GOOD.; &. WEATHER AND WINDS--ALOFT CONDITIONS AT TIME AND PLACE OF SIGHTING. (1) CLEAR, STARS VISIBLE, OBJECT NOT OBSTRUCTED BY CLOUDS AT ANY TIME. (2) ALT OF WINDS, VELOCITY AND TEMP, TRUE NORTH. 6000/310/400, 10000/310/45/-10, 16000/310/45/-12, 20000/300/42/-24, 30000/300/40/-45, 50000/00/3/NOT AVAILABLE, 80000/NOT AVAILABLE. (3) 5000 FT SCAT ALBANY AREA, 4500 FT SCAT GLENS FALLS NY AREA. (4) 15 MI. (5) LESS THAN FOUR TENTHS. (6) N/A. (7)48 DEG ALBANY NY. H. UNKNOWN. I. UNKNOWN. J. UNKNOWN. K. OPERATIONS/OFFICE THE PRELIMINARY ANALYSIS ON THE POSSIBLE IDENTITY OF THE REPORTED SIGHTING REVEALS NO KNOWN CAUE. ONLY ONE SIGHTING WAS REPORTED TO THIS

ORANIZATION. NO INFORMATION IS AVAILABLE CONCERNING TESTS, AIRCRAFT, OR BALLOONS RELEASES WICH MIGHT EXPLAIN THE CAUSE.

BT

14/2135Z APR RJEZKNG

UFO ANALYSIS SHEET

Location Saratoga Springs, New York

Date 13 April 1960 Hour (Z) 0320Z

WX Scattered, 15 mi vis, winds NW at 40 to 45 knots

Description Round, larger than a star, bright yellow glow, first seen 270 deg 40 deg elev, disapp 150 deg at 15 deg elev, continued steady arc below tree line, seen for 2½ to 3 minutes.

Direction of Motion SE

Satellite: (AFCIN-4F3, Phone 69219) Ruled out

Astronomical Phenomena (Meteor, Comet, Planet, etc) Ruled out

Radar Analysis (AFCIN-4E1) None

Natural Phemomena (Ball Lightning, etc) Ruled out

Aircraft, Balloons, Airships, etc. Poss an acft

Other Insufficient Data (1 witness)

Evaluation of Source Reliability Poss reliable

Analysis and Conclusions: It was unknown to the reporting official if there was any aircraft in the area of the sighting. There are airline routes over the witnesses location, therefore it is possible that he witnessed an acft flying along one of these airways. However, the presence of such ~~xx~~ acft is unknown. Due to the lack of such info and other coorborating witnesses, this report is evaluated as insufficient data, 1 witness.