PROJECT 10073 RECORD CARD

1. DATE 12 August 1958	**2. LOCATION** Cleveland, Ohio	**12. CONCLUSIONS** ☐ Was Balloon ☐ Probably Balloon ☐ Possibly Balloon ☐ Was Aircraft ☐ Probably Aircraft ☐ Possibly Aircraft XX Was Astronomical Meteor ☐ Probably Astronomical ☐ Possibly Astronomical ☐ Other_____ ☐ Insufficient Data for Evaluation ☐ Unknown
3. DATE-TIME GROUP Local 9:22 P.M. GMT 13/0222Z	**4. TYPE OF OBSERVATION** XX Ground-Visual ☐ Ground-Radar ☐ Air-Visual ☐ Air-Intercept Radar	
5. PHOTOS ☐ Yes XX No	**6. SOURCE** Civilian	
7. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION 17 secs	**8. NUMBER OF OBJECTS** one	**9. COURSE** East

10. BRIEF SUMMARY OF SIGHTING

Medium red obj, near perfect circle, edges sharply outlined, had a steady movement fm West to East, size of dime.

11. COMMENTS

Meteor Sighting.

ATIC FORM 329 (REV 26 SEP 52)

Data

Witness

United States Air Force Technical Information Office

(1) Object sighting

Day 12 Month August Year 1958

(2) Time of Day

Hour 9:(2122) Minutes :22 (a)

(3) Time Zone

(a) Eastern Standard

(a) Daylight Saving Time

(4) Reported place of seeing object (a.m.o.)

Home [redacted] Columbus (2) Ohio

(5) An estimate of length of sighting was

(a) approximately 5' 17, ~~seven~~ ~~seven~~

2-or-3 seconds either way

I gave the object an appearance ~~[illegible]~~.

(6) Condition of sky

(It (the sky) was dark. (a)

(7) Did not see object at daylight, twilight, or dawn. The sky was dark.

(8) I saw the object at night

8.1. Stars were many. (a)

8(2.) Bright Moonlight (a)

(9) Background of the sky to the object's brightness

(a) Yes.

(10) The answer to #10 question is: The object was not the brightness of any of the first five points,

It was more [illegible] "[illegible]"
(like the brightness of a sun-setting glow)

12. The object seen moved behind anything else.
It was a bell-clear night and the object moved level in a "drawn" pattern horizontal plane.



Last it PT. B

(11) Did the object:
a. appear to stand still at any time? Yes (No)
b. Suddenly speed up and rush away at any time? Yes (No)
c. Break up into parts or explode? Yes (No)
d. Give off embers? Yes (No)
e. Change brightness? Yes (No)
f. Change shape? Yes (No)
g. Flicker, throb, or pulsate? Yes (No)

(The object, as stated in question 10, did not change in any way, up, or down, stop, go; it moved at a steady pace of motion, or speed, as if being "drawn," like to a magnetic field of force, horizontally.

(12) Did the object move in front of something at any time, particularly a cloud?
Ans. (No) (The sky was bell-clear.)

(13) Did the object move in front of anything
Ans. (No)

(14) Did the object appear:
(a) solid

(continued)

(15) Did you observe the object through any of the following?

Ans. Not with any of these.

Just with the naked eye.

The only background was the stars.

(16) Tell us a few words the following things about the object.

a. Sound. There was no sound.

B. Color. The color was ~~[illegible]~~ red at all times there the sighting.

(17)



The object was a near perfect circle I believe I seen its as a bottom view as I looked up.

There were no exhaust or vapors + trails.

No wings, or protrusions, etc, just a golden red circle object.

(18) The edges of the objectwere

(c) sharply outlined

19. There was of only one object.

(20)



The motion the object made was akin to a horizontal. "drew" motion swiftly yet constantly moving such as in a controlled magnetic horizontal field of

(over)

(21) The object was circular in dimension.
As for the length of the object, being round in dimension, I could not say.

(22) (c) dime
(I had a penny in my pocket and having of the arms length experiment, I held up the penny and it covered the area of the object.

(22).1 Answer to Question 22
(a) Certain.

(23) How did the object disappear from your view?
Ans. The neighboring trees, etc, obliterated my view.
Also - The object came from the west on a straight parallel horizontal line of motion and left my view to the east in the same manner.

(24) This is a hypothetical question obviously to view as many facets of the disc as possible, but, I'll give my view for what it's worth.
To start with my theory of the flying saucer is this:
I have believed, for years, that it is composed, or "made" of a flexible, non-metallic material which gives a "degree of elasticity" to the object thus its mode of travel, which I think, is a force field; that to be exact, an electro-magnetic field. If this, [illegible] "hull" [illegible]

that being the "travel" or "motion" of the arrows into direct degree lines of "motion." I believe there is a "give" in its body when transmuting from a horizontal to a vertical magnetic field. Being as we know it electro-magnetism is 270°, therefore why can't there be an "elect" el-magnetic field in front, back, and side of the sameer at all times, thus making a line a lines of elec-magnetic "constancy." As such diagrammed below.



(PT. A.) Would evolve at say, 15% of electro-magnetic "constancy"

(PT. B.) Would evolve at say, 45% of electro-magnetic "constancy."

(PT. C.) Would evolve at say, 80% of "constancy."

Also the side-way "motion," or travel could be either from within, or without, as the field of force is lengthened, or shortened.

Of course, concerning the shape of the object it would be of a circular nature, such as the circular pattern make up of our universe and space beyond of our universe. The "Sun" is follows. Pattern of constituents

of W.F.O's in relationship to the ... 6

pattern and construction of our universe and space beyond. A circular pattern.

The size of the object is not important. It could be any size.

(25) Where were you located when you saw the object? (C) Outdoors

(26) (3) Residential section of the city.

(27) I was waiting to view the new Sputnik, which came from the Russian Sputnik, which appeared previously, the last 5 nights in Cleveland's area. I was scanning the sky to the North-Northwest when through my head North-West I caught a flash of this object, and followed it, which was about 3:5° from directly overhead until it disappeared from view to the east, and lost with natural surroundings, trees, etc.

(28) Answer to #28 question.

I was not in an automobile

(29) What direction were you looking when you first saw the object? Answer (G) West.

(30) What direction were you looking when you last saw the object? Answer (C) East

(31).1. Appearance
(a) not sure
(B) 45° from western horizon, moving east.
(I did not see it continuously)

(31) 2.
(a) not sure
(b) approximately 55° to 60° due east to disappearance

(32)



(33)



(34)

34.1. (a)
34.2. (a)
34.3. (a)
34.4. (a)

(35) When did you report to some official that you had seen the object?

day 17 month August year 1958

I reported my sighting to Earl J. Neff, member of N.I.C.A.P. in the Greater Cleveland area. (National Investigating Committee for Aerial Phenomena.)

(36) Was anyone with you at the time you saw the object? (No)

(over)

(37) Was this the first time that you [illegible] an object, or objects like this? Answer (Yes)

(38) In your opinion what do you think the object was? And what might have caused it?

Answer: I believe the object was an U.F.O.

Why? Because it was travelling too fast for an jet air-plane, it left not a vapor trail in a very clear sky. It was not a weather balloon, because at the tremendous height it was not wavering in motion, nor off at an angular course at any time. And a plane that high would not have a red light the size of a penny. The only back it had was the stars themselves. It was not of natural cause.

(39) Do you think you could estimate the speed of the object?

(Answer:) At the time I saw the object, which approximately lasted for 17 seconds, I would say after watching later that night approximately, 10 minutes later, that correlating the two objects, I'd say the thing traveled at some thousands miles per hour, though it was not as high as the [illegible] the time element is the differential [illegible]

(40) Do you think you can estimate how far away the object was?

Answer: I cannot give an accurate estimate of the object sighted.

(41) My name is

[redacted]

[redacted] - Cincinnati, Ohio

Telephone is: [redacted]

Presently among the unemployed.

Age - 35 Sex - Male

Grade School - Kentucky Elementary

Junior High School - Williams Run Township

High School - Aiken Technical High

(42) Date questionaire completed:

9-9-58

(43) Date questionaire sent to U. S. G. F. T. I. S.

9-25-58

P.S. A further thought on Question Number Ten. The object I saw was of a complete medium red color. As for the reference to the sun-light reflection upon the object, it may have been the reflected sun-light on the object to an earth curvature of a degree to the height of the object in relation to the curvature of the earth-

(over)

and reflection of the sun on the object to a degree. But after it got into the earth's shadow it still remained a medium red color.

P.P.S. As a backing-up to my statement of Section 24 I would like to point out an interesting fact in relationship to my theory of the electro-magnetic environment.

That [redacted] in with the "Leyden Jar" experiment.

[redacted] used an magnetic setup in his experiment and got the same results of the well-known, "angelic hair" that fell from the sky on the arrival of the [illegible].

Also: