PROJECT 10073 RECORD CARD

1. DATE

7 July 1958

2. LOCATION

Uruguay

3. DATE-TIME GROUP

Local 1430,2030

GMT

4. TYPE OF OBSERVATION

XX Ground-Visual
☐ Ground-Radar
XX Air-Visual
☐ Air-Intercept Radar

5. PHOTOS

☐ Yes
XX No

6. SOURCE

CIOVI

7. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION

not reported

8. NUMBER OF OBJECTS

one

9. COURSE

not reported

10. BRIEF SUMMARY OF SIGHTING

Multiple reports from Uruguay of two objects with particular emphasis on the w030 sighting. Pricipal witness is one Barrios.

11. COMMENTS

Self luminous object. Reports from wide area and comparison to rpt of U.S. evaluated as Meteor indicates that this was also a meteor sighting.

12. CONCLUSIONS

☐ Was Balloon
☐ Probably Balloon
☐ Possibly Balloon

☐ Was Aircraft
☐ Probably Aircraft
☐ Possibly Aircraft

XX Was Astronomical Meteor
☐ Probably Astronomical
☐ Possibly Astronomical

☐ Other
☐ Insufficient Data for Evaluation
☐ Unknown

ATIC FORM 329 (REV 26 SEP 52)

Country: Uruguay
Subject: REPORT OF UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS
Source: Uruguayan Air Force
Preparing Officer: Lt Colonel J. Craig Teller

UNCLASSIFIED
MC #: 26706-08
AF #: 1205695
Rpt #: IR-71-58
Agency: OAirA, Montevideo, Uruguay
D.R.: 29 October 1958
D.I.: 7 July 1958
Eval: F-6
Ref: BAIR Reference Unknown

1/4

Set 1/1, Card 1/8

Info Spec: DE/dr
Distr: 4A2a/4B/4B1a/4E4g

Entire Document and Entire English Translation of Incl #1
[See Tech Library for Spanish Language of Incl #1 and Inclosures 2 through 15]

Attached is a report of the C.I.O.V.I. (Center for Investigating Unidentified Flying Objects) concerning a case which occurred 7 July 1958.

This report was given to this office by the Chief of Intelligence, Uruguayan Air Force. It was indicated that this is the first of several reports on unidentified flying objects which are under investigation by the C.I.O.V.I. and being prepared for the UAF. The C.I.O.V.I. is a civilian group of experts whose purpose is to render an impartial investigation of flying phenomena. The UAF is the only recipient of its reports of investigation. The UAF, however, professes no interest in these reports other than furnishing them to the United States Air Force.

Set 1/1, Card 2/8 Uruguay

MC #: 26706-08
Rpt #: IR-71-58

1/4

COMMENT: All reports of unidentified flying objects over Uruguay in the past have excited intense public interest and extensive press coverage. This is the first incident, however, which has been considered to be worthy of investigation.

Entire English Translation of Enclosure #1

REPORT OF THE INVESTIGATING COMMITTEE OF THE C.I.O.V.I. (INVESTIGATING CENTER OF UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS) ON THE CASE WHICH OCCURRED 7 JULY 1958.

This investigating committee, after holding five special meetings to consider this case, where all the members were present and after having made all the proper technical investigations finds it necessary to make the following remarks:

CONSIDERATIONS:

1) That the phenomenon which occurred on the stated date was observed in a vast zone of the Republic which extends from the Department of Rocha to the Department of Soriano. The observers indicated some changes in position with respect to this object.

2) That the observers agree that it was a spheric object (except the observation by [redacted] and family which can be found in a different report), autoluminous, and which at its path illuminating vast extensions.

3) That there are differences in descriptions concerning size and color of the object.

4) That in spite of that, the light that it gave was a bluish white, it did not produce shades and it illuminated the objects at distances of more than 60 kilometers, making all the objects of the same color.

5) That one person who lives in Pan de Azucar reported that the population of the zone could hear on the afternoon of the 7th a sound similar to an explosion. They had commented on this fact, not giving it importance.

6) That to our suggestion to the time in which this sound was heard, this person agreed that it was around 1430.

7) That at 1433 on the same day the crew of an AIR FRANCE plane reported to the authorities of Carrasco Airport stating that they had observed a strange luminosity over the zone (a phenomenon that took place again at 2030 according to a report made by the crew and passengers of an IBERIA airlines plane).

8) The people which were in this moment at the airport could hear a strange sound and saw the luminosity.

9) That persons who were on the observation terrace reported that they had heard at 1430 a noise similar to that described by the resident from Pan de Azucar.

10) That Mr. [redacted] and family observed at 2030 on the road to Minas, Kilometer 76, an object of different characteristics than those described above (as it is stated on the corresponding report), having certain physical effects of which

there are antecedents in other parts of the world.

11) That by all this which has been exposed, this case has very special characteristics which make it difficult to understand in all its complex phenomenon, although there are antecedents with respect to certain aspects that this object presents which are considered isolated.

This investigating committee has arrived at the following conclusions:

1) That the object seen in various localities of the Departments of Rocha, Lavalleja, Maldonado, Canelones, Montevideo, San Jose and Soriano is the same (except for the observation by Mr. [redacted] and family).

2) That this object performed maneuvers which reveal that it was directed by an intelligence.

3) That the C.I.O.V.I. stated publicly by the press (without being disproved) that it was not a natural phenomenon such as a meteorite or a thunderbolt, which were the explanations given to the case.

4) That the difference in the appreciation of the size of the object is due to the distance of the observers with respect to the same.

5) That the object changed color (which, according to one of the most widespread theories, would be the product of the method used for its propulsion, electromagnetism).

6) That the luminosity which this object emits has other antecedents in our own country but which is phenomenon difficult to explain due to its peculiar characteristics.

7) That taking into consideration its luminosity and the sound which it sometimes produces, this could be the same one which appeared on the afternoon of the same dry.

8) That the sound as well as something similar to sparks or bubbles which were emitted on certain paths would be phenomenous produced by a special type of propulsion which has not been created by man up to this date.

9) That everything which has been exposed has the characteristics of an unidentified flying object, therefore it is difficult to determine the type of the unidentified flying object although it is similar to the "FOO-FIGHTERS" observed by the pilots in the second world war.

NOTE: The pilots of both sides reported, after returning from fighter and bomber missions, that strange balls of different sizes and colors would accompany them almost continuously including when they dived. The explanation given by high military authorities was that it concerned a secret weapon of the opposite side. But after the war this was contradicted and such phenomenons continued.

10) That the observation of Mr. [redacted] and family is a different case within the same day.

11) That evidently that which occurred to these persons happened at the same time as the passage over the Republic of the unidentified flying object which had been discussed.

12) That the object seen by Mr. [redacted] must have been fulfilling some specific mission within a field of action more reduced than that of the other unidentified flying object.

13) That for the same reason and for the topographical characteristics of the place in which the facts occurred which are stated in the observation files, it is completely justified that no one else but Mr. [redacted] and family have been able to observe what occurred. It is necessary to point out that the tremendous luminosity of the "FOO-FIGHTER" produced and annulment of the luminosity of the object seen by Mr. [redacted]

14) That there are antecedents in different parts of the world, especially in the United States and in Brazil, with respect to the electrical annulments verified principally in vehicles, which is produced by the type of unidentified flying object which is of the same characteristics of those called flying saucers. The form indicated by the observers (Mr. [redacted] and family) confirms such statements.

15) That this was the cause for the annulment of the electrical system in Mr. [redacted] car.

NOTE: This is a strong statement to indicate that there were two objects since only in the [redacted] case such an electrical disturbance happened. This did not happen with the passage of the "FOO-FIGHTERS" even if it was flying at a very low altitude.

16) That the physical effects that Mr. [redacted] experienced could have a radioactive origin.

17) That this object was also controlled by an intelligence since it made a maneuver.

18) That the blue extension which was emitted from the center of the object which later exploded producing a ripping sound has antecedents concerning color and the explosive characteristics.

19) That the luminosity in this case could not only be due directly to the "disc" but to the speric object previously referred to in this study and which made its passage by that locality (Mr. [redacted] observation) at the same time.

20) That by Mr. and Mrs. [redacted] report, the "disc" was nevertheless auto-luminous.

21) That due to all the exposed characteristics this was an unidentified flying object type flying saucer whose diameter is calculated approximately at 20 or 30 meters.

NOTE: This investigating committee does not consider this case finished with this study since if there are any other alternatives they would be added and a new reconsideration of the same would take place.

15 Inclosures:

1. Report of the C.I.O.V.I. w/English Translation
2. Statement of [redacted] JAN 58
3. Statement of [redacted] 11 Sept 58
4. Statement of [redacted] 13 Oct 58
5. Statement of [redacted]
6. Statement of [redacted]
7. Statement of [redacted]
8. Statement of [redacted]
9. Statement of [redacted]
10. Statement of [redacted]
11. Statement of [redacted] Casella
12. Statement of [redacted]
13. Statement of [redacted]
14. Statement of [redacted]
15. Statement of [redacted]

[4BX-3 Annotation: Inclosures Received]

February 18, 1959