

# PROJECT 10073 RECORD

|                                                                                                    |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1. DATE - TIME GROUP<br>24 September 1954<br>24/2334Z                                              | 2. LOCATION<br>Neosho, Missouri                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
| 3. SOURCE<br>Civilian                                                                              | 10. CONCLUSION<br>INSUFFICIENT DATA FOR EVALUATION                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| 4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS<br>1                                                                          |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
| 5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION<br>Approx 24 Seconds                                                      | 11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS<br><br>Saucer-shape obj with four quadrature markings. Varied on speed. Top side had a projection resembling the conning tower of a sub. Obj disappeared after 24 seconds.<br><br>Odd circumstances concerning the sighting. Report in May 1955 of sighting in Sept 1954. Insufficient Data. |
| 6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION<br>Ground Visual                                                            |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
| 7. COURSE                                                                                          |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
| 8. PHOTOS<br><br><input type="checkbox"/> Yes<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No            |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
| 9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE<br><br><input type="checkbox"/> Yes<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |



[REDACTED]

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE  
HEADQUARTERS UNITED STATES AIR FORCE  
WASHINGTON

THE INSPECTOR GENERAL, USAF  
5TH DISTRICT OFFICE OF SPECIAL INVESTIGATIONS  
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO

UNCLASSIFIED

23 May 1955

IN REPLY REFER TO: 5D 24-21-172

SUBJECT: (Unclassified)  
Unidentified Flying Object at  
Neosho, Missouri

TO: Commander  
Air Technical Intelligence Center  
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base  
Ohio  
ATTN: ATIAA-2C

1. Transmitted herewith for your information is one (1) copy of Spot Intelligence Report, dated 17 May 1955, from the 11th OSI District (IG), Tinker AFB, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, concerning subject matter.

2. Your attention is invited to the provisions of AFR 124-1, which prohibit the release of investigative information to unauthorized persons.

1 Incl  
Spot Rpt dtd 17 May 55  
cc: Dir OSI w/o Incl

*Roberts P. Johnson, Jr.*  
ROBERTS P. JOHNSON, JR.  
Colonel, USAF  
District Commander

DOWNGRADED AT 3 YEAR INTERVALS;  
DECLASSIFIED AFTER 12 YEARS.  
DOD DIR 5200.10

When Inclosure ☒ is withdrawn or not  
attached, the classification **CONFIDENTIAL**  
will be cancelled (or this will be  
( ) in accordance with Par 25g  
AFR 225-1

UNCLASSIFIED

55-OSI-1928



~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

The Inspector General USAF  
11th District Office of Special Investigations  
Tinker Air Force Base, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma

UNCLASSIFIED

17 MAY 1955

11D 24-0

SPOT INTELLIGENCE REPORT

SUBJECT: Unidentified Flying Object at  
Neosho, Missouri

DOWNGRADED AT 3 YEAR INTERVALS;  
DECLASSIFIED AFTER 12 YEARS.  
DOD DIR 5200.10

TO: Director, Special Investigations  
Headquarters USAF  
Washington 25, D. C.

1. SYNOPSIS: Special Agent in Charge, Little Rock, Arkansas, Field Division Federal Bureau of Investigation, advised this district that [redacted] Little Rock, Arkansas, had submitted a written report in which it is reflected that at approximately 5:34 P. M., 24 September 1954, he observed an unidentified flying object near Neosho, Missouri.

2. Mr. [redacted], Little Rock, Arkansas, voluntarily appeared and submitted a typewritten report to the Little Rock, Arkansas, Field Division, Federal Bureau of Investigation, for whatever purpose it might serve. In this report [redacted] claims to have sighted an unidentified flying object. The contents of this Report are set forth below:

Report on Unidentified Flying Object

Date: Sept. 24, 1954

Time: 5:34 p. m.


Location: Approx. one mile south of main entrance to Camp  
Crowder, just south of Neosho, Mo., on U. S. Highway  
71

Apparent height at first observation: 10,000 to 12,000 feet.

Apparent diameter of object: 20 to 30 feet.

Circumstances: The undersigned, together with his wife, was driving along Highway 71 en route from Carthage, Mo., to Fayetteville, Ark. I happened to glance upward after driving around a slight curve, observed the object, and immediately pulled off the highway, starting counting seconds at the same time. I have had considerable training in counting

UNCLASSIFIED



~~CONFIDENTIAL~~



UNCLASSIFIED

"seconds and seldom err more than plus or minus 10%. It required about 6 seconds to stop and I observed the object intermittently during this short interval. It had a slight rolling motion, but never more than 90 degrees, first counter-clockwise, then the reverse, before making the first loop (as per the attached sketch.) The visible surface was presented somewhat obliquely during the first lateral travel, more so after making the first loop and almost edge-on at the second loop (seconds 11-12). During the first 6 seconds in trying to stop and also note the actions of the object, it seemed that the side presented to view had four quadrature markings in the saucer-shaped object, but whether they were projections, depressions or merely coloration, is doubtful. This is what gave the impression of the rolling motion. During the span of the first 6 seconds, speed of the object was comparatively leisurely, being 6 to eight times its own diameter per second. It then increased its speed and also receded at a rapid rate and by the time it had intersected its first point of observation at 11 seconds, it was traveling 20 to 30 times its own diameter per second and also presented the edge-wise view. The apparent diameter was now a half to a third of its first-observed size, and during the fleeting glimpse I had of the top-side, there was possibly a projection somewhat resembling the conning tower of a submarine. The speed with which things were happening and the uncertainties of lighting at this time of day make such an observation of little value. After completing the second loop at seconds 14, speed of the object increased still further both transversely and receding, and at seconds 24 it had become completely invisible. There was no sign of smoke or vapor emanating from the object, nor was there any sound, but this could not be strictly determined because of the noise of oncoming traffic while observation was in progress.

#### "CONCLUSIONS

"For what they may be worth, this reporter submits the following conclusions regarding the above observation:

"First, occupants of the object decided to ascend to heights beyond visibility when they found they were being observed. It is likely that others were interested, like the undersigned, and there may have been radar or other means of determining location and size at work on the Camp Crowder reservation.

"Second, means of propulsion of the object was some form of power, not generally known, probably atomic, because of the sudden acceleration and terrific speed developed.

UNCLASSIFIED



## UNCLASSIFIED

"Third, the object did not depend on air for support or guidance, because the loops at seconds 11-12 and 21-22 were too abrupt for air-supported devices. That is, unless the object was considerably smaller than it appeared.

"This reporter recognizes that the suddenness of the occasion for the observation and the lack of means for establishing true distances and sizes make this observation of doubtful value, but it may lead to more substantial information."

3. The evaluation of this report has been placed at F-4, since it is impossible to properly evaluate the information without further interview of Mr. KELLY.

4. ACTION: Copies of this report with inclosure have been furnished the Commanding General, Air Materiel Command.

5. This report is classified CONFIDENTIAL pursuant to authority contained in paragraph 24a, AFR 205-1.

BERNARD J. DUFFY  
Lt Colonel, USAF  
District Commander

1 Incl:  
Photostatic copy of Report of WALKER KELLY

cc: CG, AMC (MCIS) (dup)

UNCLASSIFIED



Little Rock, Ark.

Report on Unidentified Flying Object

Date: Sept. 24, 1954

Name: [redacted]

Location: [redacted] on Highway 71

Apparent height at first observation: 10,000 to 12,000 feet

Apparent diameter of object: 20 to 30 feet

Circumstances: The witness, [redacted], was driving along

Highway 71 en route to-on Carthage, Mo., to Parkersville, Ark. I happened to glance toward after driving around a slight curve, observed the object, and immediately pulled over the highway, starting counting seconds at the same time. I have had considerable experience in counting seconds and seldom am more than plus or minus 10%. It required about 5 seconds to stop and I observed the object intermittently during this short interval. It had a slight rolling motion, but never more than 90 degrees, first counter-clockwise then the reverse, before making the first loop (as per the attached sketch). The visible surface was presented somewhat obliquely during the first lateral travel, more so after making the first loop and almost edge-on on the second loop (seconds 11-12). During the first 5 seconds in trying to stop and also note the actions of the object, it seemed that the side presented to view had four quadrants matching in the saucer-shaped object, but whether they were projections, depressions or merely coloration, is doubtful. This is what gave the impression of the rolling motion. During the span of the first observation the speed of the object was comparatively leisurely, being 5 to eight times the one displacement per second. It then increased its speed and also rotated at a rapid rate and by the time it had intersected its first point of observation at 11 seconds, it was traveling 25 to 30 times the one displacement per second and also presented this saucer-like shape. The apparent diameter was now a third to a fourth of its first observed size, but during this



fleeting glimpse I had of the top-side, there possibly was a projection somewhat resembling the conning tower of a submarine. The speed with which things were happening and the uncertainties of lighting at this time of day make such an observation of little value.

After completing the second loop at seconds 14, speed of the object increased still further both transversely and receding, and at seconds 24 it had become completely invisible.

There was no sign of smoke or vapor emanating from the object, nor was there any sound, but this could not be strictly determined because of the noise of oncoming traffic while observation was in progress.

### CONCLUSIONS

For what they may be worth, this reporter submits the following conclusions regarding the above observation:

First, occupants of the object decided to ascend to heights beyond visibility when they found they were being observed. It is likely that others were interested, like the undersigned, and there may have been radar or other means of determining location and size at work on the Camp Chaffee reservation.

Second, means of propulsion of the object was some form of power not generally known, probably atomic, because of the sudden acceleration and terrific speed developed.

Third, the object did not depend on air for support or guidance, because the loops at seconds 11-12 and 21-22 were too abrupt for air-supported devices. That is, unless the object was considerably smaller than it appeared.

This reporter recognizes that the suddenness of the occasion for the observation and the lack of means for establishing true distances and sizes make this observation of doubtful value, but it may lead to more substantial information.

Little Rock, Ark.