

PROJECT 10073 RECORD CARD

1. DATE <u>31 Aug 52</u>		2. LOCATION <u>Albany, New York</u>		12. CONCLUSIONS ☐ Was Balloon ☐ Probably Balloon ☒ Possibly Balloon ☐ Was Aircraft ☐ Probably Aircraft ☐ Possibly Aircraft ☐ Was Astronomical ☐ Probably Astronomical ☐ Possibly Astronomical ☐ Other ☐ Insufficient Data for Evaluation ☐ Unknown	
3. DATE-TIME GROUP Local _____ GMT <u>0131</u>		4. TYPE OF OBSERVATION ☒ Ground-Visual ☐ Ground-Radar ☐ Air-Visual ☐ Air-Intercept Radar			
5. PHOTOS ☐ Yes ☒ No		6. SOURCE <u>GOC</u>			
7. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION <u>2 min.</u>		8. NUMBER OF OBJECTS <u>1</u>		9. COURSE	
10. BRIEF SUMMARY OF SIGHTING <u>Circular. Very fast.</u>				11. COMMENTS <u>Sketchy report.</u>	

ACTION

AUG 31 04 36

1952

2 SEP 52 10

RECEIVED

1. Atia

2. Atia

3. Files

30

1952 AUG 1

08:11

INFOR

ATIC

YDC1107

YDC1108

YDC1109

YDC1110

YDC1111

JEPN0 JEDWP JEDEN JEPNB 444

JEPN 26

319330Z

CG 356TH ACW SC BARATOGA SPRINGS NY

JEPN0/DIRECTOR OF INTELLIGENCE HQ USAF WASHINGTON 25 DC

JEDWP/AIR TECHNICAL INTELLIGENCE CEN WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB OHIO

JEDEN/CG ADC ENT AFB COLORADO SPRINGS COLORADO

JEPN2/CG EADF STEWART AFB NEWBURGH NY

INFO JEPN0/CG 32D AIR DIV (D) MANCOCK FLD EASTWOOD STA SYRACUSE NY

ACN 121 FLYOBRPT PD ATTN ATIAA-WC PD (1) CIRCULAR

CMA LARGE CMA ORANGE CMA PD ONE OBJECT PD SPEED CMA UNUSUALLY FAST

PD (2) 319131Z CMA TWO MINUTES PD (3) VISUAL CMA WLSANY GOC PLOT PD

(4) BM 1939 OVERHEAD PD (5) WILLIAM ELLSWORTH CMA ALBANY GROUND

RESERVER PD (6) VIS SIX MILES CMA WINDS ALOFT CMA TWO THOUSAND FEET

FIVE KNOTS CMA HEADING ONE PD AT FIVE THOUSAND FEET CMA TEN KNOTS

CMA MOVING ESE PD (7) UNKNOWN PD (8) NEGATIVE PD (9) NONE CMA OBJECT

NOT REPORTED UNTIL AFTER DISAPPEARANCE PD (10) NO OTHER KNOWN AIRCRAFT

IN AREA AT THAT TIME PD

319330Z AUG JEPN

7-3717-16

Cy 1

PROJECT 10073 WORKSHEET

I. GENERAL

1. DATE <i>31 Aug 52</i>	2. LOCATION <i>Albany, N.Y.</i>	3. TIME Local: <i>302031</i> Zebra: <i>0131</i>
4. WAS OBJECT OBSERVED FROM THE GROUND? ☒ Yes ☐ No		
☒ Naked Eye ☐ Binoculars ☐ Telescope ☐ Theodolite		
5. WAS OBJECT OBSERVED BY GROUND RADAR? ☐ Yes ☒ No		
☐ By One Set ☐ By Two Sets ☐ By Three Sets		
6. WAS OBJECT OBSERVED FROM THE AIR? ☐ Yes ☒ No		
☐ A/C Observed Object ☐ Interception Attempted ☐ No Intercept Attempted		
7. WERE AIRCRAFT SCRAMBLED TO INTERCEPT? ☐ Yes ☒ No		
☐ A/C Scrambled ☐ Visual Contact Made ☐ A/I Contact Made ☐ No Contact Made		
8. DID OBJECT CHANGE DIRECTION AT ANY TIME? ☐ Yes ☒ No		
☐ Normal ☐ Violent		
9. IF OBJECT WAS A "LIGHT", WAS IT: ☐ Blinking ☐ Steady		
10. LENGTH OF TIME IN SIGHT: ☐ 1-15 Seconds ☒ 1-5 Minutes ☐ Over 10 Minutes		
11. REPORTING AGENCY (Unit Number and Mailing Address) <i>656TH AC & W Sq., Saratoga Springs, N.Y.</i>		

II. ASTRONOMICAL DATA

12. WHAT ASTRONOMICAL ACTIVITY WAS NOTED? <i>None</i>		
13. DID OBJECT APPEAR TO ARCH DOWNWARD? ☐ Yes ☒ No		
14. DID OBJECT HAVE A TAIL? ☐ Yes ☒ No		
15. DID OBJECT APPEAR TO DISINTEGRATE? ☐ Yes ☒ No		
16. TIME OF SIGHTING RELATIVE TO SUNRISE OR SUNSET (Data From Air Almanac)		
☐ Night ☐ Day ☐ Sunrise ☐ Sunset		

III. AIRCRAFT DATA

17. WERE AIRCRAFT NOTED IN AREA? ☐ Yes ☒ No		
☐ One Aircraft ☐ More Than One Aircraft		
18. WAS ANY SOUND HEARD? ☐ Yes ☒ No		
19. WERE THERE INDICATIONS OF HIGH BACKGROUND NOISE? ☐ Yes ☒ No		
20. WAS THE OBJECT VIEWED ABOVE 45° ELEVATION? ☐ Yes ☒ No		

IV. BALLOON DATA

21. WERE BALLOONS RELEASED IN AREA? ☐ Yes ☒ No

22. TIME SINCE SCHEDULED BALLOON RELEASE: _____ Minutes

23. POSSIBLE BALLOON LAUNCH SITES DOWNWIND OF SIGHTING:

	Location	Type	Launching Agency	Lighted?		Describe Lighting
				Yes	No	
a.	<i>Synapse</i>					
b.	<i>20 Miles NE B. Rome</i>					
c.	<i>11</i>					
d.						

(attach overlay)

V. EVALUATION

21. EVALUATION OF SOURCE:

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Fair
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Unreliable
- ☐ Extremely Doubtful
- ☐ Hoax

22. DETAILS OF REPORT:

- ☐ Good
- ☐ Fair
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Insufficient to Evaluate

23. FINAL EVALUATION:

- ☐ Was Balloon
- ☐ Probably Balloon
- ☒ Possibly Balloon

- ☐ Was Aircraft
- ☐ Probably Aircraft
- ☐ Possibly Aircraft

- ☐ Was Astronomical
- ☐ Probably Astronomical
- ☐ Possibly Astronomical

- ☐ Other: _____
- ☐ Insufficient Data For Evaluation
- ☐ Unknown

24. COMMENTS:

PROJECT 10073 WEATHER DATA SH

1. DATE OF OBSERVATION <i>31 Aug 52</i>		2. TIME OF OBSERVATION <i>0131Z</i>		3. STATION OBSERVING <i>Syracuse</i>	
4. WINDS ALOFT:					
ALTITUDE (feet)	VELOCITY (knots)	DIRECTION (degrees)	ALTITUDE (feet)	VELOCITY (knots)	DIRECTION (degrees)
0			25,000		
1,000			30,000		
2,000	<i>5</i>	<i>335</i>	35,000		
3,000			40,000		
4,000			45,000		
5,000	<i>10</i>	<i>335</i>	50,000		
6,000			55,000		
7,000			60,000		
8,000			65,000		
9,000			70,000		
10,000			75,000		
12,000			80,000		
14,000			85,000		
16,000			90,000		
18,000			95,000		
20,000			100,000		
5. WAS AN INVERSION LAYER NOTED? (If yes, at what altitude? _____)			☐ Yes ☒ No		
6. WERE ANY THUNDERSTORMS NOTED IN AREA? (If yes, at what quadrant? _____)			☐ Yes ☒ No		
7. CLOUD COVER:				8. VISIBILITY WAS	
_____ tenths at _____ feet.		_____ tenths at _____ feet.		_____ MILES.	
_____ tenths at _____ feet.		_____ tenths at _____ feet.			
9. COMMENTS:					