## PROJECT 10073 RECORD CARD

1. DATE	2 LOCATION		12. CONCLUSIONS
14 May 1952	Yelllow So	rings, Ohio	O Was Balloon Probably Balloon
3. DATE-TIME GROUP	4. TYPE OF OBSERVATION	•	D Possibly Balloon
Local0050 E	M Ground-Visual	D Ground-Radar	Was Aircraft Probably Aircraft
GMT 0550 4	- Air-Yisual'	D Air-Intercept Rodar	D Probably Aircraft D Possibly Aircraft
5. PHOTOS	6. SOURCE		Was Astronomical
DY OS DNO	Senior in coll	lege	Probably Astronomical (METER) Possibly Astronomical
7. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION	8. NUMBER OF OBJECTS		D Sihor
4-5 Seconds		2200	Insufficient Data for Evaluation Unknown
10. BRIEF SUMMARY OF SIGHTING		11. COMMENTS	
Maneuvers: Straight and level		Size of half mod No sound.	on. Clear night.
		AART	
ACT			

1.	Date	e object was observed <u>May 14, 1952</u>		
2.	Time	e object was first seen Between 12:50 & 12:55 AM		
3.	Pla	ce from which you observed the object Steps of a building		
4.	How	was object observed (naked eye, binoculars, etc.) Naked eye		
		t were you doing when the object was first observed? Walking		
		the steps of a building.		
		t attracted your attention to the object? It was a beautiful night		
0	ut	and I had glanced up at the skypurely luck that I saw it.		
7.	Path of object.			
	a.	Direction from which object appeared (degrees or direction)		
		Approximately from the East.		
	b.	Direction in which object disappeared (degrees or direction) Heading		
		almost due West; would have passed over Wright-Patterson Field if it continued on course.  Elevation object appeared (degrees above horizon) It was approxi- elv ten degrees off the zenith.		
	d.	Elevation object disappeared (degrees above horizon) Did not have		
		an unobstructed viewdisappeared behind some trees.		
	e.	Shape of flight path of object (straight and level, arched, etc.)		
		Straight and level.		
	f.	How did object disappear (grow dimmer, go out suddenly, etc.)		
		The glow was constant while in my line of vision.		
8.	Len	gth of time the object was observed Four to five seconds at most.		
9.	Des	cription of object.		
	a.	How many objects were seen? One.		
	b.	Apparent size of object? See * on second page.		

c.	Apparent speed of object? Double that of a jetsee.
d.	Apparent altitude of object? Could not be determined.
e.	Shape of object? Elliptical
f.	Color of object? Glowed with a dull brownish-green light.
g.	Did object perform any maneuvers, if so describe No.
h.	Did object make any sound, if so describe Completely silent.
	Were any tails or trails seen? None. Object sharply defined.
j.	Draw sketch.
	The thing was roughly this shape. The glow was most clearly defined around the edges, shading offinto darkness towards the interior of the object, definitely giving the impression that there was more, unlighted, surface area.
	at type of day was it (hazy, clear, etc.)? Describe. Beautifully ar with no moon or clouds in the sky.
Clo	ouds.
a.	Were any clouds in sky at time of observation? No.
	If so, how much of sky was covered (overcast, scattered, etc.)
b.	Did object pass above, below, or near any clouds?
c.	Did object reflect light on the clouds?
1.iha	at is your occupation? Senior college student.
Any	y details that cannot be fully explained by the above questions.
TI	e size of the object appeared to be that of a half-moon om my vantage point. The speed of the object, if it had en traveling at two thousand feet, would have been about

10.

11.

12.

13.

。 第一章 dcuble that of a jet traveling at the same altitude. If it was higher (which I think is more likely) the speed would be increased proportionately. If you were to take a complete circle, light it and send it horizontally and, at the same time, have the forward edge tilted upward in a climbing position, you would get the same half-moon effect I saw, with the attendant shading of light. I am not saying that this is what the thing was doing. This is just my impression of how I would reproduce the sight I saw.

Name

Address Anticch College Yellow Springs, O.

Date May 16, 1952