~~[illegible]~~ R7C

[illegible] Incident #150

DATE OF INFO 1 Mar 48

ATIC NO. ----

AF NO. ----

REPORT NO. ----

DATE OF REPORT ----

TIME OF SIGHTING 0930

CREW ----

SIZE ----

COURSE Along Swedish coast SE disappearing over Norway

NO. IN GROUP 1

WORLD ----

PICTURE ---- SKETCHES ----

LOCATION Swedish Coast

SOURCE Norwegian Pilot

DATE IN TO ATIC ----

COLOR ----

SPEED ----

ALTITUDE 20,000'

LENGTH OF TIME OBSERVED 4 secs

TYPE OF OBSERVATION aerial

MANEUVERS flight path followed Earth's surface

Prob Meteor (Witness)

Temporary ATIC Form 329 (Jan 52)

Incident #150 -- Swedish coast -- 1 March 1943

The description given here is entirely too fragmentary for any conclusions to be drawn. The object sighted could have been a meteor, a rocket, or a guided missile. The fact that it left no trail in the sky argues against its having been a meteor.

Note the similarity of this incident to #149 and #133.

UNCLASSIFIED

FORM 112—PART I
APPROVED 1 JUNE 1948

COUNTRY	REPORT NO.	(LEAVE BLANK)
SWEDEN	IR 115-48	

AIR INTELLIGENCE INFORMATION REPORT

SUBJECT: Project "SIGN"

AREA REPORTED ON	FROM (Agency)
Sweden	OAA-Sweden

DATE OF REPORT	DATE OF INFORMATION	EVALUATION
30 December 1948	29 December 1948	B-2

PREPARED BY (Officer)	SOURCE
Donald L. Hardy, Colonel, USAF	Swedish Defense Staff

REFERENCES (Control number, directive, previous report, etc., as applicable)

Source Control No. AMC-435

SUMMARY: (Enter concise summary of report. Give significance in final one-sentence paragraph. List inclosures at left. Begin text of report on AF Form 112—Part II.)

1. The Swedish Defense Staff has no proof that any unidentified flying objects, missiles or vehicles have passed over or near Sweden to date.

2. All reports of sightings in Sweden during the past year, irrespective of to whom they were submitted, have been funneled to a special section of the Defense Staff, where the sightings were plotted. In each case an exhaustive interrogation of the sighter followed, somewhat along the lines of the EEI for Project "SIGN". All evidence obtained of sightings was explicable in terms of astronomical phenomena.

3. In addition, 3 ground radar stations and 2 radar equipped destroyers cooperated in maintaining watch. The radar equipments were Swedish modified British sets of fairly short range and were not operated on a 24 hour basis; however, no unexplained sightings were made with this equipment.

4. Conclusion: Although the possibility exists that objects have traversed Sweden and its adjacent waters, no proof has been obtained to confirm such flight in any instance.

COMMENT: The cooperation of the Defense Staff in securing this information was undoubtedly given in an effort to emphasize their need for additional U.S. radar equipment. The Swedish Air Board had previously negotiated with the Bendix Corporation for 20 TIS-1a sets but had been refused an export license by our State Department. The members of the Defense Staff, to whom I talked, were eager to point out the good use to which such equipment could be put for both ourselves and the Swedes as instanced by our mutual interest in unidentifiable flying objects. However, I am convinced that our refusal to grant an export license for such equipment will do more good in implementing our national policy, as expressed in the National Security Council document NSC 23/1, than would the information we might receive from the use of such equipment here.

DONALD L. HARDY
Colonel, USAF
Air Attache

DOWNGRADED AT 3 YEAR INTERVALS;
DECLASSIFIED AFTER 12 YEARS.
DOD DIR 5200.10

INCLS.

CASE-LAW = UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT

Incident # 1[illegible]

1. Date: August 1 [illegible]
2. Time: 0330 hours
3. Location: Scottish Coast
4. Type of observer: N/A = a foreign pilot
5. Occupation of observer: Jet pilot
6. Address of observer: N/A Material taken from his logbook with [illegible]
7. Place of observation: Air = seconds from Aalborg, Denmark in Cold Sunny
8. Number of objects: 1
9. Distance of object from observer: N/A
10. Time in sight: 4 seconds
11. Altitude: 25,000 ft
12. Speed: N/A
13. Direction of flight: southeast = passing along Scottish Coast and disappearing over Atlantic
14. Motion: N/A = flight path followed easily a motion
15. Sound: N/A
16. Size: N/A
17. Color: N/A but connected a bluish-orange flame
18. Shape: N/A
19. Odor detected: N/A
20. Apparent construction: N/A
21. Exhaust trails: None
22. Weather conditions: N/A
23. Effect on clouds: N/A
24. Existence of photographs: None
25. Number of disappearance: Over Harvey
26. Remarks: (none)

An SAS plane enroute from Aalberg, Denmark, to Oslo, Norway, sighted a projectile coming from the southeast, passing along the Swedish Coast and disappearing over Norway. The missile was at 20,000 ft and disappeared over the horizon in four seconds. It emanated a bluish-green flame but left no trail in the sky. The projectile seemed to ~~then~~ follow the earth's surface.

NOTE: Similar missiles have been observed at Rjukan, Norway & Finse, Norway.
In this connection, it is of interest to know that heavy water is currently being produced in Rjukan.

Observers: A Norwegian pilot and his co-pilot.
The pilot served with the Royal Norwegian AF in Great Britain.

Dr HYNEK'S EVALUATIONS EXTRACTED FROM PROJECT GRUDGE REPORT.

INCIDENT INDEX

1. Astronomical

a. High probability:
#26, 27, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 48, 49, 59, 60, 66, 69, 70, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 101, 102, 103, 104, 116, 119, 132, 136, 140, 147, 148, 158, 174, 184, 185, 187, 197, 203, 204, 208, 216, 219, 238.

b. Fair or low probability:
#19, 20, 23, 24, 28, 35, 36, 46, 50, 63, 67, 80, 82, 93, 100, 112, 120, 121, 129, 130, 144, 153, 165, 166, 167, 175, 192, 199, 202, 205, 220, 230, 240.

2. Non-astronomical but suggestive of other explanations

a. Balloons or ordinary aircraft:
#3, 11, 22, 41, 42, 53, 54, 73, 81, 83, 91, 92, 113, 114, 115, 126, 131, 138, 141, 145, 155, 156, 157, 159, 160, 161, 163, 169, 171, 173, 178, 180, 182, 188, 190, 194, 195, 196, 198, 200, 201, 209, 210, 217, 222, 235, 237, 239.

b. Rockets, flares or falling bodies:
#4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 25, 56, 65, 78, 106, 107, 108, 109, 133, 170, 211, 218.

c. Miscellaneous (reflections, auroral streamers, birds, etc.):
#39, 89, 123, 124, 128, 146, 164, 181, 189, 214, 221, 231, 234.

3. Non-astronomical, with no explanation evident

a. Lack of evidence precludes explanation:
#38, 44, 45, 47, 55, 57, 72, 86, 87, 88, 90, 99, 110, 117, 118, 125, 127, 137, 139, 149, 150, 177, 179, 191, 206, 212, 213, 229, 232, 233.

b. Evidence offered suggests no explanation:
#1, 2, 10, 17, 21, 29, 37, 40, 51, 52, 58, 61, 62, 64, 68, 71, 75, 76, 77, 79, 84, 105, 111, 122, 135, 151, 152, 154, 162, 168, 172, 176, 183, 186, 193, 207, 215, 223, 224, 225, 226, 227, 236, 241, 242, 243, 244, 134.