

4602D AISS UFOB LOG

REPORT NO.	DATE/TIME OF SIGHTING	RECD HQ 4602D
AISS-UFOB-392-55	29/2350Z Oct 55	10 Nov 55
LOCATION OF SIGHTING		LOGGED BY
Fairfield, California		S/Sgt DeRudder
MEANS RECD	ACTION	
AF Form 112	No investigation authorized	
INVS UNIT	DATE INVS ASSIGNED	ASSIGNING OFFICER
N/A	N/A	N/A
DATE IR RECD HQ	DATE IR APPROVED	DATE IR TO ATIC
N/A	N/A	N/A
DATE OF FORM 5		
10 November 1955		
COMMENTS		
Due to the delay in receiving the report and the discrepancies in the report no investigation authorized. This report is forwarded to ATIC as unknown.		
CONCLUSIONS		
UNKNOWN		

AF FORM 112—PART I
APPROVED 1 JUNE 1948

UNCLASSIFIED
(CLASSIFICATION)

COUNTRY	UNITED STATES	REPORT NO.	IR 1-55	(LEAVE BLANK)
AIR INTELLIGENCE INFORMATION REPORT				
SUBJECT UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT (UFOB)				
AREA REPORTED ON FAIRFIELD, CALIFORNIA		FROM (Agency) 5TH BOMB WING INTELLIGENCE		
DATE OF REPORT 1 NOV 1955	DATE OF INFORMATION 29 OCT 1955		EVALUATION F-6	
PREPARED BY (Officer) 2/LT HERBERT F. ARNBERGER, JR		SOURCE CIVILIAN OBSERVER		
REFERENCES (Control number, directive, previous report, etc., as applicable) AFR 200-2				
SUMMARY: (Enter concise summary of report. Give significance in final one-sentence paragraph. List inclosures at lower left. Begin text of report on AF Form 112—Part II.) 1. On 29 Oct 1955, 1550 hrs. PST, Mr. [REDACTED] reported to Air Police Operations, Travis AFB, California the sighting of what he believed to be a flying saucer (UFOB). Air Police Operations informed the Operations Officer, 5th Air Base Group on 31 Oct 1955. 5th Wing Intelligence was notified for the first time at 1000 hrs. PST, 1 NOV 1955. 2/Lt Herbert F. Arnberger, Jr. interviewed Mr. [REDACTED] at 1140 hrs. PST 1 NOV 1955. 2. Since there is no material evidence to this report, the significance cannot be reliably judged.				
4602D AISS UFOB REPT. 392 DATE/TIME GROUP 29/2350Z Oct 55 Unknown APPROVED: Michael C. Jacobs MICHAEL C. JACOBS Major, USAF Wing Intelligence Officer				
I INCL. Part II, Form 112				
DISTRIBUTION BY ORIGINATOR One copy to Commander, Continental Air Defense Command.				

NOTE: THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS INFORMATION AFFECTING THE NATIONAL DEFENSE OF THE UNITED STATES WITHIN THE MEANING OF THE ESPIONAGE ACT, 50 U. S. C.—31 AND 32, AS AMENDED. ITS TRANSMISSION OR THE REVELATION OF ITS CONTENTS IN ANY MANNER TO AN UNAUTHORIZED PERSON IS PROHIBITED BY LAW. IT MAY NOT BE REPRODUCED IN WHOLE OR IN PART, BY OTHER THAN UNITED STATES AIR FORCE AGENCIES, EXCEPT BY PERMISSION OF THE DIRECTOR OF INTELLIGENCE, USAF.

UNCLASSIFIED
(CLASSIFICATION)

AF FORM 112—PART II
APPROVED 1 JUNE 1948

UNCLASSIFIED
(CLASSIFICATION)

AIR INTELLIGENCE INFORMATION REPORT

FROM (Agency)	REPORT NO.	PAGE	OF	PAGES
5TH BOMB WG INTELLIGENCE	IR 1-55	1	3	

1. Description
 - A. Box Kite shape possibly due to two (2) objects in close proximity or one object giving this appearance.
 - B. Size when first sighted was approximately that of a pin head. As it passed over head the size was reported to be closer to that of a No. 2 or No. 4 BB. Object appeared as only one instead of two according to the observer.
 - C. The color was reported to be similar to the dull metal appearance of sheetmetal.
 - D. The observer stated that at first sight there appeared to be two (2) objects. While passing overhead, the observer reported that it appeared as only one object, possibly one partially blocking his vision from the other.
 - E. At first sighting, the observer reported the possibility of the two objects being in trail formation.
 - F. The observer stated that there were no visible exhaust trails noted at any time.
 - G. The observer stated that no sounds were noted.
 - H. The altitude of the object(s) was reported to be in the vicinity of 5,000 feet. (see comment 1)
2. Description of course of the object(s)
 - A. The observer stated that he just happened to notice the object(s) while looking at the television antenna atop of the house he was standing near.
 - B. The observer estimated the elevation angle to be approximately 20° , and the azimuth to be approximately 225° when first sighted.
 - C. The observer estimated the angle of elevation to be 10° and the azimuth to be 045° upon disappearance.
 - D. The observer stated that the object(s) appeared to be on a straight and level flight path.
 - E. The observer, whom by this time had shown the object to another witness, stated that the disappearance was due to the object going out of sight rather than being lost due to the horizon.
 - F. The reported length of time of flight witnessed was 12 seconds.
3. Manner of Observation
 - A. The observer stated that only ground-visual contact was made.

NOTE: THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS INFORMATION AFFECTING THE NATIONAL DEFENSE OF THE UNITED STATES WITHIN THE MEANING OF THE ESPIONAGE ACT, 50 U. S. C.—31 AND 32, AS AMENDED. ITS TRANSMISSION OR THE REVELATION OF ITS CONTENTS IN ANY MANNER TO AN UNAUTHORIZED PERSON IS PROHIBITED BY LAW. IT MAY NOT BE REPRODUCED IN WHOLE OR IN PART, BY OTHER THAN UNITED STATES AIR FORCE AGENCIES, EXCEPT BY PERMISSION OF THE DIRECTOR OF INTELLIGENCE, USAF.

UNCLASSIFIED
(CLASSIFICATION)

AF FORM 112—PART II
APPROVED 1 JUNE 1948

UNCLASSIFIED
(CLASSIFICATION)

AIR INTELLIGENCE INFORMATION REPORT

FROM (Agency) 5TH BOMB WG INTELLIGENCE	REPORT NO. IR 1-55	PAGE 2 OF 3 PAGES
---	---------------------------	-------------------

4. Time and Date of Sighting

A. Reported time and date of sighting was 2350Z, 29 Oct 1955.

B. Observer stated that it was a clear, cloudless day at time of sighting.

5. The exact location of the observers was 313 East Kentucky St., Fairfield, California.

6. Names of the observers are:

A. Mr. [REDACTED]
Vallejo, California
Electronics Engineer
Phone [REDACTED]

B. Mr. [REDACTED]
Fairfield, California

7. Weather at Time of Observation

A. The observers' account of the weather was clear with unrestricted visibility.

B. The 9th Weather Squadron, Detachment 4, attached to Travis AFB gave the following weather conditions for 2100Z, 29 Oct 1955: Visibility 10 miles plus, 30,000 feet scattered conditions with the following winds noted:

ALTITUDE	DIRECTION	VELOCITY
SURFACE	NORTH	5K
6,000'	010°	15K
10,000'	310°	25K
16,000'	310°	30K
20,000'	300°	25K
30,000'	300°	30K
40,000'	290°	30K

COMMENTS of preparing officer:

1. Since there was no way of accurately judging the size of the object(s), in reference to one of a known size, the altitude reported (5000') could be incorrect.

NOTE: THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS INFORMATION AFFECTING THE NATIONAL DEFENSE OF THE UNITED STATES WITHIN THE MEANING OF THE ESPIONAGE ACT, 50 U. S. C.—31 AND 32, AS AMENDED. ITS TRANSMISSION OR THE REVELATION OF ITS CONTENTS IN ANY MANNER TO AN UNAUTHORIZED PERSON IS PROHIBITED BY LAW. IT MAY NOT BE REPRODUCED IN WHOLE OR IN PART, BY OTHER THAN UNITED STATES AIR FORCE AGENCIES, EXCEPT BY PERMISSION OF THE DIRECTOR OF INTELLIGENCE, USAF.

UNCLASSIFIED
(CLASSIFICATION)

AF FORM 112—PART II
APPROVED 1 JUNE 1948

UNCLASSIFIED
(CLASSIFICATION)

AIR INTELLIGENCE INFORMATION REPORT

FROM (Agency)	REPORT NO.	PAGE	OF	PAGES
5TH BOMB WG INTELLIGENCE	IR 1-55	3	3	

COMMENTS of preparing officer (cont'd)

2. No other reports of such sightings have been made in the local area.

Herbert F. Arnberger, Jr.
HERBERT F. ARNBERGER, JR.
2/Lt, USAF
Reporting Officer

NOTE: THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS INFORMATION AFFECTING THE NATIONAL DEFENSE OF THE UNITED STATES WITHIN THE MEANING OF THE ESPIONAGE ACT, 50 U. S. C.—
31 AND 32, AS AMENDED. ITS TRANSMISSION OR THE REVELATION OF ITS CONTENTS IN ANY MANNER TO AN UNAUTHORIZED PERSON IS PROHIBITED BY LAW.
IT MAY NOT BE REPRODUCED IN WHOLE OR IN PART, BY OTHER THAN UNITED STATES AIR FORCE AGENCIES, EXCEPT BY PERMISSION OF THE DIRECTOR OF
INTELLIGENCE, USAF.

UNCLASSIFIED
(CLASSIFICATION)

Long Distance Telephone Call

Commander
THRU: OPS

Major Randle

10 November 1955
1420, Capt Shere to Maj
Randle

1. Capt Shere requested that IO 197, 8 Nov be amended to authorize for UFOB investigation by T/Sgt Morgan. He said that S/Sgt DeRudder had authorized [redacted] by telephone and Morgan had taken his car on a 300 mile trip to perform the investigation.

2. S/Sgt DeRudder denies authorizing [redacted]. Says that he authorized investigation on a [redacted] but no mention made of [redacted].

3. Capt Shere claims he asked DeRudder twice about [redacted] and it was verified both times. DeRudder's record of telephone calls do not mention [redacted].

4. Request decision regarding authorizing [redacted].

RICHARD B. RANDLE, Major, USAF