PLEASE DO NOT WRITE ON THIS

JAG USAF

PERMANENT RECORD OF TRIAL

OR

RELATED PAPERS

ACM 14595

RETURN TO JAG-USAF

COURTS – MARTIAL

RECORDS

JAG USAF

AFHQ FORM 0-5
27 SEP 48

C 37646

ROUTING CHECK LIST

CM RECORD SECTION

ACM 14595	ADAMS, George F.
Flag correspondence attached _____ Family inquiry _____ Other	Case assigned to: BOARD OF REVIEW NUMBER: _____ Date: _____
Accused has requested appellate counsel -- 2 copies of R/T forwarded to Control Office, Appellate Services, on _____.	Case assigned to: MILITARY JUSTICE DIVISION: _____ Date: 31 OCT 1957
Accused does not desire appellate counsel -- 2 copies of R/T filed in CMR Section.	Prior ACM Record Number (if any) _____
No statement regarding appellate representation before B/R included in B/T.	
In cases involving a punitive discharge and confinement, 1 copy of SJA review and 1 copy of preliminary CMO to AGO Corrections Branch.	Date confinement expires (if any) None

TOTAL NUMBER OF DAYS ACCUSED KEPT IN PRETRIAL CONFINEMENT BEFORE SENTENCE: 0
TOTAL NUMBER OF DAYS FROM DATE OF CHARGES (JURAT) TO DATE OF SENTENCE: 105

All court-martial records check sheets have been properly completed in this cases. _____ (Initials of CMR Section Clerk)

APPROVED FOR FILE: 13 DEC 1957	EXAMINED BY SURVEY SECTION: _____

Final entries have been made on Statistical Code Sheet and record of trial has been approved for permanent file: 19 DEC 1957 (Date)

APPROVED

ACM 14595

	Original L/T and 2 copies thereof, plus 2 copies of decision of B/R, 1 CMO, and, if applicable, 1 copy of "Action of TJAG" under Art 74(a), forwarded to C/A on _____. (Send one copy of memorandum opinion if such was preparedd.)
	Inasmuch as accused has left jurisdiction of C/A, original L/T and 2 copies thereof, plus 2 copies of decision of B/R, 1 CMO, and if applicable, 1 copy of "Action of TJAG" under Art 74(a), forwarded to officer exercising jurisdiction over place where accused now confined _____. Information copy of L/T, 1 copy of the decision of B/R, and, if applicable, 1 copy of "Action of TJAG" under Art 74(a) forwarded to C/A on _____. (Send one cipy of memorandum of opinion if such was prepared.)

	The following additional papers will accompany the original L/T:	
A		1 copy of L/T, 1 copy of decision of B/R notifying accused as to his right to petition USCMA, and, if applicable, 1 copy of "Action of TJAG" under Art 74(a) -- stapled into a blue backing sheet marked "Accused's Copy."
		2 copies of receipt
		If applicable, 1 copy of L/T, 1 copy of decision of B/R marked "For Accused's Prison File", 1 CMO, and, if applicable 1 copy of "Action of TJAG" under Art 74(a) -- stapled together.
B	In Appellate Counsel cases the following papers will be forwarded to Appellate Defense & Appellate Government Counsel.	
		1 copy of L/T
		1 copy of decision of B/R
		1 copy of Memo of Opinion, if prepared
		1 copy of "Action of TJAG" under Art 74(a), if applicable
C	The following papers will be forwarded to B/R concerned:	
		1 copy of L/T
		1 copy of decision of B/R
		1 copy of Memo of Opinion of B/R, if prepared
		1 copy of "Action of TJAG" under Art 74(a), if applicable
D	The following papers will be forwarded to USCMA:	
		1 copy of decision of B/R
		1 copy of Memo of Opinion of B/R, if prepared
		1 copy of CMO
		1 copy of "Action of TJAG" under Art 74(a), if applicable
E	In cases involving a punitive discharge AND confinement, the follwoing papers will be forwarded to AGO Corrections Branch:	
		1 copy of decision of B/R --this decision will be stamped and signed by Chief, Adm Office, when TJAG has NOT taken action pursuant to Art 74(a) or Art 67(b) (2). When TJAG has taken action pursuant to Art 74(a) or Art 67(b) (2), 1 copy of such action will be forwarded to Corrections Branch -- in this case, however, the decision WILL NOT be stamped and signed by Chief, Adm Office. In cases where TJAG has taken action under Art 74(a), mark on Page 1 of the dicision in red pencil "Action taken by TJAG under Art 74(a)".
		1 copy of CMO signed by B/R member
		1 copy of L/T in those cases where TJAG recommends Amarillo AFB as place of confinement.
F	The following papers will be forwarded to Publications Division	
		1 copy of L/T
		1 copy of B/R decision
		1 copy of Memo of Opinion of B/R, if prepared
		1 copy of "Action of TJAG" under Art 74(a), if applicable
G	The following papers will be retained in CM Record Section with Orig R/T:	
		1 copy of L/T
		ORIGINAL B/R decision
		1 copy of Receipt
		ORIGINAL Memo of Opinion of B/R, if prepared
		If applicable, ORIGINAL "Action of TJAG" under Art 74(a)

The above was accomplished on: _____ BY: _____
(Clerk, CMR Section)

PUBLISHED ORDER CASES CHECKED BY B/R (Article 66)

	The following final distribution will be made of CMOs in cases involving a DD, BCD and confinement for 1 day or more and confinement only for one year or more without pumtive discharge, if no petition has been filed with USCMA, be sure that "No Petition filed" stamp is affixed to orders before distribution is made.
	1 copy of CMO to AGO Corrections Branch -- In those cases where a US penitentiary, reformatory, or disciplinary barracks has been designated as place of confinement and a prisoner has been committed to the custody of The Attorney General or his designated representative, the 1 copy of the CMO forwarded to AGO Corrections Branch must bear the Clemency Stamp and, in addition, 1 copy of the CMO, with clemency stamp thereon will be retained on the original R/T.
	2 copies of CMO to officer exercising GCM jurisdiction over place where accused now assigned.
	DATE ACCOMPLISHED: _____ BY: _____ (Initials)

	The following final distribution will be made of CMOs in cases involving a DD, BCD with NO confinement; as well as confinement only cases -- if no petition has been filed with USCMA, be sure that "No Petition Filed" stamp is affixed to orders before distribution is made.
	1 copy of CMO to 201 files, Air Adjutant General
	2 copies of CMO to officer exercising GCM jurisdiction over place where accused now assigned
	DATE ACCOMPLISHED: _____ BY: _____ (Initials)

DISTRIBUTION OF SUPPLEMENTARY CMOs PROMULGATING RESULTS OF AFFIRMING ACTION

	The following distribution will be made of signed supplementary CMOs (in cases involving a DD or BCD and confinement for 1 day or more) after such CMOs have been checked by MJD. If no petition has been filed with USCMA, be sure that "No Petition Filed" stamp is affixed to orders before distribution is made.
	2 stamped copies of CMOs to officer exercising GCM jurisdiction over place where accused now assigned.
	2 copies to Corrections Branch - one with stamps one without stamps (In those cases where a US penitentiary, reformatory, or disciplinary barracks has been designated as place of confinement, and a prisoner has been committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative, 1 copy of the CMO forwarded to AGO Correction Branch must bear the Clemency Stamp and 1 copy of the CMO, with Clemency Stamp thereon, will be retained on the original R/T.)
	1 copy to JAG order file (without stamps.)
	DATE ACCOMPLISHED: _____ BY: _____ (Initials)

The following distribution will be made of signed Supplementary CMOs (in cases involving a DD or BCD with NO confinement; confinement only cases, charg dismissed) after such CMOs have been checked by MJD: (If no petition has been filed with USCMA, be sure that "No Petition Filed" stamp is affixed to CMOs before distribution is made.)	
	2 stamped copies of CMOs to officer exercising GCM jurisdiction over place where accused now assigned
	1 copy of CMO to 201 files, Air Adjutant General, plus 1 copy of preliminary CMO
	1 copy to JAG Order file,(without stamps)
DATE ACCOMPLISHED: _____ BY: _____(Initials)	

PUBLISHED ORDER CASES CHECKED BY MJD-- Article 61 And Article 69

The following distribution will be made of CMOs involving acquittals, disapprovals, reprimands, forfeitures, and confinement only cases -- NO punitive discharge:	
	1 copy of CMO to 201 files, Air Adjutant General
	2 copies of CMOs to officer exericsing GCM jurisdiction over place where accused now assigned.
DATE ACCOMPLISHED: 13 DEC 1957 _____ BY: _____(Initials)	

HEADQUARTERS, UNITED STATES AIR FORCE ORDERS

The following special distribution will be made of Hqs, USAF General Court-Martial Orders in cases involving Dismissal with confinement for more than 1 day	
	2 copies to Corrections Branch -- In those cases where a US penitentiary, reformatory, or disciplinary barracks, has been designated as place of confinement, and a prisoner has been committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative, 1 copy of the CMO forwarded to AGO Corrections Branch must bear the Clemency Stamp and 1 copy of the CMO, with Clemency Stamp thereon will be retained on the original R/T.
DATE ACCOMPLISHED: _____ BY: _____(Initials)	

The following special distribution w ill be made of Hqs, USAF General Court-Martial Orders in cases involving a Dismissal with NO confinement, or confinement only cases, or changes dismissed.	
	1 copy to 201 files, Air Adjutant General
DATE ACCOMPLISHED: _____ BY: _____(Initials)	

The following distribution will be made on all Hqs, USAF General Court-Martial Orders:
1 copy to AFPMP-4A-Promotions Branch
1 copy to AFPMP-4A-Records Review Branch
1 copy to AFCAG-62R-AAG Register
1 copy to General Accounting Office - Reproduction & Publication Section

PLEASE ADVISE MAJ WREN CMR

Promotions & Separations Div

AFPMP X73460 or X71603

When action under Art 69

Completed.

BURCH

Maj Wren informed 12 Dec 57

Burch.

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
STAFF MESSAGE DIVISION

UNCLASSIFIED MESSAGE

DE RJWFLK 20A

R 142314Z

FM COMAIRDIV 47 WALKER AFB NMEX

TO RJEPHQ!HEDUSAF WASH DC

INFO RJEDMH!CINCSAC OFFUTT AFB NEB

RJWPRH/COMAF 15 NARCH AFB CALIF

BT

UNCLAS FROM BJA 7-15489. FOR THE

JUDGE ADVOCATE GENERAL, SUBJECT: PROGRESS OF

COURT-MARTIAL ACTION, RE: LTCOL GEORGE F. ADAMS,

A0257543. TRIAL COMMENCED 13 AUGUST 1957 AND IS

PRESENTLY IN PROGRESS, ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE

IS 15 AUGUST 1957. FURTHER NOTIFICATION WILL BE

MADE.

BT

14/2345Z AUG RJWFLK

ACTION: CJA

AF IN : 19147 (15 Aug 57) M/lc

15 Aug.
No action
Burke

ACTION COPY

RECEIVED

1957 AUG 15 AM 8 25

AFCJA

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
STAFF MESSAGE DIVISION

HQBØ54 WTCØ56EØ4Ø WWDØ14LKAØ48

UNCLASSIFIED MESSAGE

RR RJEPHQ RJEDMH RJWPRH

DE RJWFLK 16A

R Ø816ØØZ

ACTION COPY

FM COMDR 47TH AIRDIVISION, WALKER AIR FORCE BASE, NMEX

TO RJEPHQ/HEDUSAF, WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

INFO: RJEDMH/CINCSAC, OFFUTT AFB, NEBRASKA

INFOR: RJWPRH/COMAF 15, MARCH AFB CALIFORNIA

BT

UNCLASSIFIED FROM BJA 7-15249. FOR THE JUDGE ADVOCATE GENERAL. SUBJECT: PROGRESS OF COURT-MARTAIL ACTION. RE: LT COL GEORGE F. ADAMS, AO257543. FIRM DATE OF TRIAL IS TUESDAY, 13 AUGUST 1957. NON-AVAILABILITY OF PSYCHIATRIST, AN ESSENTIAL WITNESS TO THE TRIAL, NECESSITATED DELAY TO DATE INDICATED.

BT

Ø923ØØZ AUG RJWFLK

ACTION: CJA

AF IN : 15990 (10 Aug 57) F/blc

hqs named
Lt Col. Ripenza PMP
X56002. No other action
required. 12 Aug 57
Bmc.

RECEIVED

1957 AUG 12 AM 8 20

AFCJA

QEØ79 WTC153 WWEØ73[illegible]ØØ8

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
STAFF MESSAGE DIVISION

UNCLASSIFIED MESSAGE

RR RJEPHQ

DE RJWFLK 25A

R 1Ø2217Z

FM COMAIRDIV 47 WALKER AFB NMEX

TO RJEPHQ/HEDUSAF WASHINGTON D C

INFO RJEDMH /CINCSAC OFFUTT AFB NEBR

RJWPRH/COMAF 15 MARCH AFB CALIF

BT

UNCLASSIFIED FROM BJA 7-13946. FOR THE JUDGE ADVOCATE GENERAL. SUBJECT: PROGRESS OF COURT-MARTIAL ACTION. RE: LTCOL GEORGE F. ADAMS, AO257543. WITH CONCURRENCE OF PRESIDENT OF COURT-MARTIAL THE DEFENSE COUNSEL REQUESTED A THIRT-SEVEN (37) DAY DELAY. EXPECTED DATE OF TRIAL 8 AUGUST 1957. NO FURTHER REPORT WILL BE MADE UNTIL THAT DATE UNLESS REQUESTED.

BT

11/ØØ34Z JUL RJWFLK

ACTION COPY

ACTION: CJA

AF IN : 23025 (11 Jul 57)

K/jdc

11 July 57. No action required. cl checked c PMP (Sgt Brown) X56002 and they see no need for additional interim reports between now & date of trial 8 Aug.

Bud.

RECEIVED

1957 JUL 11 AM 8 03

AFCJA

SMD C 007

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
STAFF MESSAGE DIVISION

TCB006 WTB006 WWE125LKA048

UNCLASSIFIED MESSAGE

RR RJEPHQ

DE RJWFLK 63A

R 031542Z

FM COMAIRDIV 47 WALKER AFB NMEX

TO RJEPHQ/HEDUSAF WACH DC

INFO RJEDMH/CINCSAC OFFUTT AFB NEBR

RJWPRH/COMAF 15 MARCH AFB CALIF

BT

UNCLAS FROM BJA 7-13672. FOR THE JUDGE ADVOCATE GENERAL. SUBJECT: PROGRESS OF COURT-MARTIAL ACTION. RE: LTCOL GEORGE F. ADAMS, A0257543. WITH CONCURRENCE OF PRESIDENT OF COURT-MARTIAL THE DEFENSE COUNSEL REQUESTED A THIRTY-SEVEN (37) DAY DELAY. EXPECTED DATE OF TRIAL 8 AUGUST 1957.

BT

03/2034Z JUL RJWFLK

ACTION: CJA

AF IN : 18109 (4 Jul 57)

ACTION COPY

K/jtd

Info passed
to PMP Sgt Brown
X56002. 5 July 57
No action required.

RECEIVED

1957 JUL 5 AM 8 51

AFCJA

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
STAFF MESSAGE DIVISION

UNCLASSIFIED MESSAGE

RR RJEPHQ

DE RJWFLK 11A

R 262246Z

FM COMDRADIV 47 WALKER AFB NMEX

TO RJEPHQ/HEDUSAF WASHINGTON D C

RJEDMH/CINCSAC OFFUTT AFB NEBR

RRWPRH/COMAF 15 MARCH AFB CALIF

BT

UNCLAS/FROM BJA 7-13260 FOR THE JUDGE ADVOCATE

GENERAL SUBJECT PROGRESS OF COURT MARTIAL ACTION. ARTICLE

32 INVESTIGATION ON LT COL GEORGE F ADAMS AO 257543 WAS

RETURNED THIS HEADQUARTERS 25 JUNE 1957. AWAITING ARRIVA L OF

APPOINTED DEFENSE COUNSEL. PROBALBE DATE OF TRIAL 2 JULY 0957.

SUBJECT TO ANY REQUEST FOR DELAY BY DEFENSE

BT

27/0001Z JUN RJWFLK

ACTION: CJA

AF IN : 12793 (27 Jun 57) M/mrk

ACTION COPY

attention of Mr. Dispenza, PMP who said he would get a copy of this and future similar messages.

27 June
Noted & Called to the
Maj E.C. Helverston

RECEIVED

1957 JUN 27 AM 8 07

AFCJA

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
STAFF MESSAGE DIVISION

UNCLASSIFIED MESSAGE

mj

SMD C90

HQB103WTB135 WWD025LKA015

RR RJEPHQ

DE RJWFLK 21A

R 192211Z

FM COMDR 47 WALKER AFB NMEX

TO RJEPHQ/HEADUSAF WACH DC

INFO RJEDMH/CINCSAC OFFUTT AFB NEBR

RJWPRH/COMAF 15 MARCH AFB CALIF

BT

UNCLAS FROM BJA 7-12925. FOR THE JUDGE ADVOCATE GENERAL. SUBJECT: ARTICLE 32 INVESTIGATION. ARTICLE 32 INVESTIGATION ON LTCOL GEORGE F. ADAMS, A0257543, WAS FORWARDED TO THE STAFF JUDGE ADVOCATE, HEADQUARTERS 15TH AIR FORCE ON 10 JUNE 1957 FOR NECESSARY ACTION.

BT

20/0042Z JUN RJWFLK

ACTION: CJA

AF IN : 7782 (20 Jun 57) C/tb

WT

ACTION COPY

20 June 57

Brot the above to attention of Mr Dispenza, X56002, Records Review Branch PRP, who said he would get a copy from Communications of above & future messages re Adams.

E. G. Halverson

RECEIVED

1957 JUN 20 AM 9 40

AFCJA

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
STAFF MESSAGE DIVISION

UNCLASSIFIED MESSAGE

SMD C 037

HQD028 WTC011LKA002

RR RJEPHQ

DE RJWFLK 25A

R 122050Z

FM STAFF JUDGE ADVOCATE WALKER AFB NMEX

TO RJEPHQ/HEDUSAF WASHDC

INFO RJEDMH/CINCSAC OFFUTT AFB NEBR

RJWPRH/COMAF 15 MARCH AFB CALIF

BT

UNCLAS FROM BJA 7-12432. FOR THE JUDGE ADVOCATE GENERAL. SUBJECT: ARTICLE 32 INVESTIGATION. ARTICLE 32 INVESTIGATION ON LTCOL GEORGE F. ADAMS, A0257543, WAS COMPLETED ON 7 JUNE AND AFTER REVIEW BY COMMANDER WAS FORWARDED TO STAFF JUDGE ADVOCATE, HEADQUARTERS 15TH AIR FORCE ON 10 JUNE 1957.

BT

12/2213Z JUN RJWFLK

ACTION: CJA

INFO : PMP

AF IN : 1885 (13 Jun 57)

ACTION COPY

MJC/jtr

No action necessary.
13 Jun 57
Bmk.

RECEIVED

1957 JUN 13 AM 9 44

AFCJA

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
STAFF MESSAGE DIVISION

UNCLASSIFIED MESSAGE

SMD D 030

HQC041 WTC044LKA001

PP RJEPHQ

DERJWFLK 42A

P 052149Z

FM COMDRADIV 47 WALKER AFB NMEX

TO RJEPHQ/HEDUSAF WASH D C

INFO RJEDMH/CINCSAC OFFUTT AFB NEBR

RJWPRH/COMAF 15 MARCH AFB CALIF

BT

UNCLAS/ FROM BJA 7-12064.

FOR THE JUDGE ADVOCATE GENERAL SUBJECT ARTICLE 32

INVESTIGATION. REFERNCE MESSAGE DPRPO 37163 FROM COMDR

15AF MARCH AFB CALIF ARTICLE 32 INVESTIGATION

OF LIEUTENANT COLONEL GEORGE F ADAMS, AO 257543 IS IN PROGRESS

THE EVIDENCE HAS BEEN GATHERED BY THE INVESTIGATING OFFICER AND THE

REPORT IS NOW BEING COMPLIED. THE REPORT OF INVESTIGATION WILL BE

FORWARDED TO THE STAFF JUDGE ADVOCATE HEADQUATERS 15AF NOT LATER

THAN 7 JUNE 1957.

BT

05/2300Z JUN RJWFLK

NOTE : 37163 is AF IN 25684 (5 Jun 57)

ACTION: CJA

INFO : PMP

AF IN : 26495 (6 Jun 57)

ACTION COPY

M/jtr

File 6 June 57
E.G. Ackerston

RECEIVED

1957 JUN 6 AM 10 06

AFCJA

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
STAFF MESSAGE DIVISION

UNCLASSIFIED MESSAGE

SMD A92

HQB080 XTB063 XXD083RHB011

RR RJEPHQ

DE RJWPRH 207

R 041958Z

FM COMAF 15 MARCH AFB CALIF

TO RJWFLK/COMADIV 47 WALKER AFB NMEX

INFO RJEPHQ/COFS USAF WASHDC

RJEDMH/CINCSAC OFFUTT AFB NEBR

BT

/UNCLAS/DPRPO 37163. REFERENCE CASE OF LIEUTENANT COLONEL GEORGE F. ADAMS, A0257543. YOUR STATION WILL FURNISH A WEEKLY PROGRESS REPORT EACH WEDNESDAY, BY MESSAGE, DIRECT TO HEADQUARTERS UNITED STATES AIR FORCE WITH INFORMATION TO HEADQUARTERS STRATEGIC AIR COMMAND AND THIS HEADQUARTERS. THE FIRST REPORT WILL BE AS OF 5 JUNE 1957.

BT

04/2043Z JUN RJWPRH
INFO : PMP, CJA

AF IN : 25684 (5 Jun 57)

F/mjs

File
Apeja-22

RECEIVED

1957 JUN 5 AM 9 39

AFCJA

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
STAFF MESSAGE DIVISION

UNCLASSIFIED MESSAGE

SMDB067

HA029

MM RJEPHQ

DE RJEDMH 325

M 101733Z

FM CINCSAC OFFUTT AFB NEBR

TO RJWFLK/COMAIRDIV 47 WALKER AFB NMEX

INFO RJWPRH/;:COMF 15 MARCH AFB CALIF

RJEPHQ/COFS USAF WASH D C

BT

/UNCLAS/DPMPS 39813. SUBJECT: CONDUCT OF LIEUTENANT COLONEL GEORGE F. ADAMS. REFERENCE YOUR MESSAGE HA 7-10516, DATED 2 MAY 1957. AUTHORITY GRANTED TO RETAIN LIEUTENANT COLONEL ADAMS PAST HIS DATE OF SEPARATION PENDING OUTCOME OF ACTION UNDER UCMJ.

BT

10/1818Z MAY RJEDMH

NOTE : 7-10516 is AF IN 978 (2 May 57)

INFO : PMP, CJA

AF IN : 7722 (11 May 57)

M/f

Noted: No action required
Bruce
13 May 57

RECEIVED

1957 MAY 13 AM 9 30

AFCJA

SMD B 330

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
STAFF MESSAGE DIVISION

UNCLASSIFIED MESSAGE

B57

HQE220 WTC324LKA037

PP RJEPHQ

DE RJWFLK 66A

P 021715Z

FM COMAIRDIV 47 WALKER AFB NMEX

TO RJEDMH/CINCSAC OFFUTT AFB NEBR

INFO RJWPRH/COMAF 15 MARCH AFB CALIF

RJEPHQ/COFS USAF WASH DC

BT

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
(AFR 190-16)

BT

UNCLAS FROM HA 7-10516. FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY. SUBJECT:
CONDUCT OF LT COL GEORGE F. ADAMS, AO257543. YOUR DPMPS 36806.
ATTENTION IS INVITED TO OUR CONFIDENTIAL MESSAGE HA-7-1730, 1 MAY 57,
NOTAL, WHICH STATED AS FOLLOWS: "CHARGES UNDER ARTICLE 125, 133, AND 134,
UNIFORM CODE OF MILITARY JUSTICE HAVE BEEN PREFERRED AGAINST LT COL
GEORGE F. ADAMS ON 1 MAY 1957. SINCE HIS PRESENT DOS IS 31 MAY 57,
REQUEST THAT ACTION BE TAKEN TO EXTEND HIS DOS. YOU WILL BE ADISED
OF FURTHER ACTION IN THIS CASE."

ADAMS, GEORGE F.

BT

02/1851Z MAY RJWFLK

NOTE : 36806 and 1730 are not identified in SMD

INFO : CJA, PMP

AF IN : 978 (2 May 57)

H/rvp

RECEIVED

1957 MAY 3 AM 8 7

AFCJA

MHA022

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
STAFF MESSAGE DIVISION

UNCLASSIFIED MESSAGE

MM RJEPHQ

DE RJEDMH 403

M 011946Z

FM CINCSAC OFFUTT AFB NEBR

TO RJWFLK/COMAIRDIV 47 WALKER AFB N MEX

INFO RJWPRH/COMAF 15 MARCH AFB CALIF

RJEPHQ/COFS USAF WASHINGTON DC

BT

/UNCLAS/ DPMPS 36806. SUBJ: CONTTEMPLATED ACTION

IN THE CASE OF LT COL GEORGE F. ADAMS, AO 257 543. REFERENCE

TELEPHONE CONVERSATION 30 APR 57, CWO HULL, THIS HEADQUARTERS,

AND REPRESENTATIVE OF HQ 15TH AF INDICATING THAT

COURT-MARTIAL CHARGES WOULD BE PREFERRED AGAINST LT COL ADAMS

ON 2 MAY. REQUEST THIS HEADQUARTERS BE ADVISED BY PRIORITY

MESSAGE WITHIN 24 HOURS AFTER CHARGES ARE PREFERRED.

BT

01/2148Z APR RJEDMH

ADAMS, GEORGE F.

INFO : CJA, CSI, CDS, PMP

AF IN : 159 (2 May 57)

DB/wrh

noted in mf

RECEIVED

1957 MAY 2 AM 9 43

AFCJA

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
STAFF MESSAGE DIVISION

UNCLASSIFIED MESSAGE

HQB025 TTF011 WTC012LL 001

RR RJEPHQ

DE RJWFLK 11A

R 262246Z

FM COMDRADIV 47 WALKER AFB NMEX

TO RJEPHQ/HEDUSAF WASHINGTON D C

RJEDMH/CINCSAC OFFUTT AFB NEBR

RRWPRH/COMAF 15 MARCH AFB CALIF

BT

UNCLAS/FROM BJA 7-13260 FOR THE JUDGE ADVOCATE

GENERAL SUBJECT PROGRESS OF COURT MARTIAL ACTION. ARTICLE 32 INVESTIGATION ON LT COL GEORGE F ADAMS AO 257543 WAS RETURNED THIS HEADQUARTERS 25 JUNE 1957. AWAITING ARRIVA L OF APPOINTED DEFENSE COUNSEL. PROBALBE DATE OF TRIAL 2 JULY 0957. SUBJECT TO ANY REQUEST FOR DELAY BY DEFENSE

BT

27/0001Z JUN RJWFLK

ACTION: CJA
ADDED DIST: PMP
AF IN : 12793 (27 Jun 57)

ACTION COPY

M/mrk

RECEIVED
1957 JUN 28 AM 8 02
AFCJA

MILITARY JUSTICE DIVISION

DEC 10 1957

SUMMARY SHEET

ACM NUMBER	NAME OF ACCUSED	NAME OF EXAMINER
14595	ADAMS, GEORGE F.	MAJ. MONTOUR

GRADE - AFSN - ORGANIZATION

LT. COL. AO 257 543 6th TAC. HOSPITAL

DATE TRIED	PLACE TRIED	MAXIMUM SENTENCE AUTHORIZED
13,14,15 Aug.57	WALKER AFB. N.M.	DISMISSAL. TF. CHOL 8 Months

AGE OF ACCUSED YEARS	AGE OF ACCUSED MONTHS	LENGTH OF SERVICE YEARS	LENGTH OF SERVICE MONTHS	SENTENCE IMPOSED
49	2	25	4	F. $445.00 P/M for 6 Mos.

(At time of commission of offense)

PREVIOUS CONVICTIONS

None

SENTENCE APPROVED BY CONVENING AUTHORITY

As adjudged

Has Accused a Class Q Allotment?

Amount: N/A

ACTION OF EXAMINER

Affirmed

PLACE OF CONFINEMENT

N/A

GCM JURISDICTION

15th AF

OFFENSE OF WHICH CONVICTED

ARTICLE	GIST OF OFFENSE (abbreviate)	PLEAS	FINDINGS
133	2 specs of falsely registering as man & wife in motel with woman not his wife	NG	G
134	2 specs as above	NG	G

COMMENTS

1. Legally sufficient. Case proven by testimony beyond a reasonable doubt.

2. No errors appear that prejudiced the substantial rights of the accused. Only major question here is whether the specifications state an offense. I conclude they do. See Board of Review opinions dealing with an identical specification in XX:129; XXIX:100; and XXXV:28. In this regard, CM 233780, Bentley, XX BR 129 upheld a specification on all fours with the instant case. While I do not agree with the SJA review as to the applicability of the McGlone case to this factual situation, I do concur with his opinion as to the legal sufficiency of the case.

GILBERT E. MONTOUR
Major, USAF
Judge Advocate

VERBATIM

RECORD OF TRIAL[1]

(and accompanying papers)

of

ADAMS, GEORGE F. (Last name, first name, middle initial) A0257543 (Service number) Lieutenant Colonel (Rank or grade)

6th Tactical Hospital
6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, U.S. Air Force (Organization and armed force)

Walker Air Force Base
New Mexico (Station or ship)

By

GENERAL **COURT-MARTIAL**

Appointed by THE COMMANDER
(Title of convening authority)

FIFTEENTH AIR FORCE (SAC)
(Command of convening authority)

Tried at

Walker Air Force Base, New Mexico (Place or places of trial) on 13-14-15 August (Date or dates of trial) 19 57

OFFICE OF THE JUDGE ADVOCATE GENERAL
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE

DEC 10 1957

The record of trial in this case has been examined in this office and the findings and sentence have been found to be supported by law. The Judge Advocate General has not directed review by a board of Review.

FOR THE JUDGE ADVOCATE GENERAL:

Military Justice Division
GILBERT E. MONTOUR
Major, USAF
Judge Advocate

OFFICE OF THE JUDGE ADVOCATE GENERAL
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE

10 Dec 57

The record of trial in this case has been examined in this office and the findings and sentence have been found to be supported in law. The Judge Advocate General has not directed review by a board of Review.

FOR THE JUDGE ADVOCATE GENERAL:

Acting Chief Military Justice Division
THOMAS W. ISBELL, JR.
Major, USAF
Judge Advocate

IN 20 SEP 1957 JA- 15TH AF

DISPATCHED 25 OCT 57 HQ 15AF

RECEIVED 25 OCT 57

1957 OCT 30 PM 12 48 AFCJA RECEIVED

RC716108

See back cover for instructions as to preparation and arrangement.

DD FORM 1 MAY 51 490 Replaces DA AGO Form 114, 1 Feb 50, which is obsolete for cases tried after 30 May 1951.

ACM 11595
RC716108

CHRONOLOGY SHEET [1]

In the case of .....
(Name of accused)

Date of alleged commission of earliest offense tried: ..... 19.....

Date record forwarded to The Judge Advocate General: [2] ..... 19.....

.....
(Signature and rank of staff judge advocate or legal officer)

	Date 19.....	*Cumulative Elapsed Days* [3]
1. Accused arrested or confined by military authority of command in which trial held [4]	.....	0
2. Charges preferred (date of affidavit)	.....	.....
3. Article 32 investigation (date of report) [5]	.....	.....
4. Charges received by convening authority	.....	.....
5. Charges referred for trial	.....	.....
6. Sentence or acquittal	.....	.....
Less days: Accused sick, in hospital, or AWOL . . . . . ___		
Delay at request of defense ___		
Total authorized deduction [6] ___		
7. Net elapsed days to sentence or acquittal		.....
8. Record received by convening authority (if other than GCM authority in item 9)	.....	.....
Action [7]	.....	.....
9. Record received by officer authorized to convene GCM [2]	.....	.....
Action [2]	.....	.....

[1] In a case forwarded to The Judge Advocate General, the staff judge advocate or legal officer is responsible for completion of the Chronology Sheet. Trial counsel should report any authorized deductions and reasons for unusual delay in the trial of the case.

[2] Or supervisory authority under art. 65*c* (par. 94*a* (1), (2), MCM, 1951).

[3] In computing days between two dates, disregard first day and count last day. The actual number of days in each month will be counted.

[4] Item 1 is not applicable when accused is not arrested or confined or when he is in confinement under a sentence of court-martial at time charges preferred. Item 2 will be the zero date if item 1 is not applicable.

[5] May not be applicable to trial by special court-martial.

[6] Only this item may be deducted.

[7] If sentence, as approved by convening authority under item 8, does not involve BCD, items 1 to 8 will be completed, and chronology signed, by such convening authority or his representative.

REMARKS:

ACCUSED'S STATEMENT
REGARDING APPELLATE DEFENSE COUNSEL REPRESENTATION

Date 11 Sept 57

RECORD OF TRIAL OF	Last Name, First Name, Middle Initial	Service Number	Grade
	ADAMS, GEORGE F.	A0257543	Lt Col
	Organization: 6th Tactical Hospital 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy	Station: Walker Air Force Base New Mexico	

TO: THE JUDGE ADVOCATE GENERAL, UNITED STATES AIR FORCE.

APPELLATE RIGHTS

I HAVE BEEN ADVISED BY MY DEFENSE COUNSEL OF MY APPELLATE RIGHTS UNDER ARTICLE 70, UNIFORM CODE OF MILITARY JUSTICE, AND PARAGRAPH 48j, MANUAL FOR COURTS-MARTIAL, UNITED STATES, 1951. I UNDERSTAND THAT I AM ENTITLED TO REQUEST THAT APPELLATE DEFENSE COUNSEL REPRESENT ME BEFORE THE BOARD OF REVIEW IF THE RECORD OF MY TRIAL IS REFERRED TO THE BOARD UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF ARTICLE 66 OF THE CODE. I ALSO UNDERSTAND THAT I AM ENTITLED TO PROVIDE CIVILIAN COUNSEL TO REPRESENT ME BEFORE THE BOARD IF I SO DESIRE.

APPELLATE DEFENSE COUNSEL

(Check one only)

☒ ***I REQUEST APPELLATE DEFENSE COUNSEL TO REPRESENT ME*** BEFORE THE BOARD OF REVIEW *(If my case is referred to it)* AND TO URGE IN MY BEHALF **ALL ERRORS** OR OTHER MATTERS WHICH HE MAY DISCOVER FROM THE RECORD OF TRIAL AND ACCOMPANYING PAPERS, TOGETHER WITH THE ERRORS AND OTHER MATTERS LISTED BELOW *(if any)*: *(If none to be listed, so state. If brief by trial defense counsel is submitted in lieu of a list of errors in the record, so state.)*

(If more space is required, continue on additional pages)

THE NAME AND ADDRESS OF CIVILIAN COUNSEL *(if any)* PROVIDED BY ME TO REPRESENT ME BEFORE THE BOARD IS: *(If none, so state. If to be forwarded later, so state, giving date, which must be within 10 days.)*

☐ ***I DO NOT REQUEST APPELLATE DEFENSE COUNSEL*** TO REPRESENT ME.

Signature of Accused:

George F. Adams LK

15AF FORM 2 SEP 52 106

AIR FORCE – HQ 15AF, MARCH 3424

HEADQUARTERS
47TH AIR DIVISION (SAC)
United States Air Force
Walker Air Force Base
New Mexico

SPECIAL ORDERS)
NUMBER [illegible] 196)

5 September 1957

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *EXTRACT * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

16. DP, LT COL GEORGE F ADAMS, A0257543, (YOB - 1907) (PAFSC - 935) (FLT SURGEON, on flying status), PERM RES GR - LT COL is REL from ASG with 6th TACHOSP, 6th BOMWG, SAC this STA and from EAD EFF 10 SEP 57; ASG HQ CONAC (MARS) Air RES REC CEN, 3800 York ST, Denver COLO, EFF 11 SEP 57. HOR - 75 Borica ST, San Francisco, CALIF. All TEMP APT are TERM EFF date of REL from AD. TPA, POS. TDN. 5783500 048-141 P 531.11-02-03 S 99-999. AUTH - SDN 501, SEC I, PARA 33, AFR 36-22.

* *

FOR THE COMMANDER:

KENNETH R BEIDLEMAN
CWO (W-3), USAF
ASTADJ

CHRONOLOGY SHEET[1]

1. In the case of : *(Name of Accused)*

ADAMS, George F

2. Date of alleged commission of earliest offense tried:	3. Date record forwarded to [2] Judge Advocate General:	STAFF JUDGE ADVOCATE or LEGAL OFFICER	
		4. Rank	5. Signature
16 December 1955	25 OCT 1957	COLONEL	A. W. TOLEN

CHRONOLOGY DATA	DATE 19 57	CUMULATIVE[3] ELAPSED DAYS
6. Accused arrested or confined by military authority of command in which trial held.[4]		
7. Charges preferred *(date of affidavit.)*	2 May	0
8. Article 32 investigation *(date of report.)*[5]	7 Jun	36
9. Charges received by convening authority.	13 Jun	42
10. Charges referred for trial.	18 Jun	47
11. Sentence or acquittal. LESS DAYS: Accused sick, in hospital, or AWOL: ..... Delay at request of defense: ..... 46 Total authorized deduction:[6] 46	15 Aug	105
12. Net elapsed days to sentence acquittal.		59
13. Record received by convening authority *(if other than GCM authority in Item 14)* Action 7		
14. Record received by officer authorized to convene GCM.[2] Action [2]	20 Sep 18 Oct	95 123

15. REMARKS: Delays for add between numbers:

7 - 8 : Delay was caused by accused's attempt to obtain Individual Defense Counsel. Some delay caused by non availability of witnesses.
8 - 10 : Mail delay.
10 - 12 : Mail delay.
12 - 14 : Length of record. Mail delay. Non availability of Convening Authority.

FOOTNOTES

1. *In a case forwarded to the Judge Advocate General, the staff judge advocate or legal officer is responsible for completion of the Chronology Sheet. Trial counsel should report any authorized deductions and reasons for unusual delay in the trial of the case.*

2. *Or supervisory authority under Article 65c (Paragraph 94a(1), (2), MCM, 1951.)*

3. *In computing days between two dates, disregard first day and count last day. The actual number of days in each month will be computed.*

4. *Item 6 is not applicable when accused is not arrested or confined or when he is in confinement under a sentence of court-martial at time charges preferred. Item 7 will be the zero date if Item 6 is not applicable.*

5. *May not be applicable to trial by special court-martial.*

6. *Only this item may be deducted.*

7. *If sentence, as approved by convening authority under Item 13, does not involve BCD, Item 6 to 13 will be completed, and chronology signed, by such convening authority or his representative.*

COURT-MARTIAL DATA SHEET

OJAG NUMBER

LAST NAME - FIRST NAME - MIDDLE INITIAL	SERVICE NO.	RANK OR GRADE	ORGANIZATION
ADAMS, GEORGE F.	A0257543	Lt Colonel	6th TACHOSP 6th BOMWG(H)

INSTRUCTIONS

All items are applicable to a record of trial by general or special court-martial, except as follows:

BOLD FACE - Items in **BOLD FACE** are applicable to a **GENERAL**, but not to a *SPECIAL*, court-martial.

ITALIC - Items in *ITALICS* are applicable to a *SPECIAL*, but not to a **GENERAL**, court-martial.

When an item is not applicable to the record of trial being reviewed, the proper square will be marked with a diagonal line similar to the ones which appear in the SPCMCA squares for items 4 and 5.

KEY TO USE

TC - Counsel for the prosecution. This column will be completed in all cases.

SPCMCA - Special court-martial convening authority who is not empowered to convene a general court-martial. This column will be completed in each special court-martial case by the SPCMCA or his designated representative.

SJA OR LEGO - Staff judge advocate or legal officer of commander exercising general court-martial jurisdiction. This column will be completed in any case in which the record is forwarded by the commander exercising general court-martial jurisdiction to the Judge Advocate General of the armed force concerned. If a record of trial by special court-martial is not forwarded to the Judge Advocate General, this column will be completed by the judge advocate or law specialist of the supervisory authority *(par. 94a(1) and (2))*.

OJAG - Appropriate appellate agency in the Office of the Judge Advocate General of the armed force concerned. This column will be disregarded if a record of trial by special court-martial is not forwarded to the Judge Advocate General.

References - All references are to paragraphs or pages of the Manual for Courts-Martial, United States, 1951.

SECTION A - PROCEDURE IN COURT	TC		SPCMCA		SJA OR LEGO		OJAG	
	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO
1. DOES THE RECORD SHOW PLACE, DATE, AND HOUR THE COURT CONVENED AND EACH OPENING AND CLOSING THEREAFTER *(p.501)*?	✓		✓		✓		✓	
2. a. ARE ALL APPOINTING AND AMENDING ORDERS OF COURTS TO WHICH CHARGES WERE REFERRED--BY INDORSEMENT OR OTHERWISE--ENTERED IN RECORD *(pp. 526 and 531)*?	✓		✓		✓		—	
b. ARE ALL PERSONS NAMED IN THE APPOINTING ORDERS AND THE ACCUSED ACCOUNTED FOR AS PRESENT OR ABSENT *(pars. 60 and 61c; p. 501)*?	✓		✓		✓		✓	
c. WAS LESS THAN A QUORUM DETAILED OR PRESENT AT ANY MEETING *(pars. 4b and 41d(1))*?		✓		✓		✓		✓
d. DOES THE RECORD SHOW THAT AFTER EACH ADJOURNMENT, RECESS, OR CLOSING[1] DURING TRIAL, THE "PARTIES TO THE TRIAL" WERE ACCOUNTED FOR WHEN THE COURT REOPENED *(par. 61c; pp. 515, 519, 521)*?	✓		✓		✓		✓	
e. IF ANY MEMBER PRESENT AT THE ARRAIGNMENT WAS THEREAFTER ABSENT, WAS SUCH ABSENCE THE RESULT OF CHALLENGE, PHYSICAL DISABILITY, OR ORDER OF THE CONVENING AUTHORITY *(par. 41d(4))*?	✓		✓		✓			
3. WERE THE REPORTER AND INTERPRETER, IF ANY, SWORN *(par. 61d; p. 502)*?	✓		✓		✓		✓	
4. a. **IF A GENERAL COURT-MARTIAL, WAS THE LAW OFFICER PROPERLY CERTIFIED** *(par. 4e; p. 462)*?	✓						✓	
b. **WAS THE LAW OFFICER PRESENT DURING ALL OPEN SESSIONS OF THE COURT** *(par. 39d)*?	✓						✓	
5. a. **IF A GENERAL COURT-MARTIAL, WERE THE APPOINTED TRIAL COUNSEL AND DEFENSE COUNSEL PROPERLY CERTIFIED** *(par. 6b; p. 462)*?	✓						✓	
b. **WAS A PROPERLY CERTIFIED MEMBER OF THE PROSECUTION PRESENT DURING ALL OPEN SESSIONS OF THE COURT AND OUT-OF-COURT HEARINGS** *(pars. 45a, 57g (2), 61e, and 73c(2); pp. 514-515)*?	✓						✓	
c. **WAS A PROPERLY CERTIFIED MEMBER OF THE DEFENSE, OR COUNSEL EXPRESSLY REQUESTED BY THE ACCUSED, PRESENT DURING ALL OPEN SESSIONS OF THE COURT AND OUT-OF-COURT HEARINGS** *(pars. 47, 57g(2), 61f and 73c(2); pp. 514-515)*?	✓						✓	
6. a. *IF A SPECIAL COURT-MARTIAL, WERE THE LEGAL QUALIFICATIONS OF THE APPOINTED DEFENSE COUNSEL EQUIVALENT TO THOSE OF THE APPOINTED TRIAL COUNSEL IN THE SENSE OF ARTICLE 27c (pars. 6c and 61f)*?								
b. *IF ANY MEMBER OF THE PROSECUTION WAS LEGALLY QUALIFIED IN THE SENSE OF ARTICLE 27c, WAS A SIMILARLY QUALIFIED MEMBER OF THE DEFENSE, OR COUNSEL EXPRESSLY REQUESTED BY THE ACCUSED, PRESENT DURING ALL OPEN SESSIONS OF THE COURT (par. 61f; p. 503)*?								

[1] *Summarized record of trial by special court-martial does not require the record to reflect that parties to the trial were accounted for after each closing.*

SECTION A - PROCEDURE IN COURT (Continued)	TC		SPCMCA		SJA OR LEGO		OJAG	
	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO
7. DID ANY PERSON WHO HAD ACTED AS INVESTIGATING OFFICER, STAFF JUDGE ADVOCATE, LAW OFFICER, COURT MEMBER, OR MEMBER OF THE DEFENSE IN THE SAME CASE, OR AS COUNSEL FOR ACCUSED AT A PRETRIAL INVESTIGATION OR OTHER PROCEEDINGS INVOLVING THE SAME GENERAL MATTER, SUBSEQUENTLY ACT AS A MEMBER OF THE PROSECUTION (par. 61e; p. 502)?								
8. IF ANY MEMBER OF THE DEFENSE HAD ACTED AS A MEMBER OF THE PROSECUTION IN THE SAME CASE, WAS HE EXCUSED (par. 61f(4); p. 503)?								
9. a. IF ANY MEMBER OF THE DEFENSE HAD ACTED AS THE ACCUSER, INVESTIGATING OFFICER, LAW OFFICER, OR MEMBER OF THE COURT, WERE HIS SERVICES EXPRESSLY REQUESTED BY THE ACCUSED (par. 61f(4); p. 503)?								
b. IF NOT, WAS HE EXCUSED (par. 61f(4); p. 503)?								
10. a. IF ACCUSED WAS AN ENLISTED PERSON, DID HE MAKE A PROPER REQUEST THAT ENLISTED PERSONS BE INCLUDED IN COURT MEMBERSHIP (par. 36c(2) and 61g and i; p. 504)?								
b. IF SO, WERE AT LEAST ONE-THIRD OF THE MEMBERS WHO TRIED THE CASE ENLISTED PERSONS, OR DID CONVENING AUTHORITY DIRECT TRIAL WITHOUT ENLISTED PERSONS (par. 36c(2))?								
c. DID ANY ENLISTED MEMBER OF THE COURT BELONG TO THE SAME UNIT AS THE ACCUSED (par. 4a)?								
11. WERE THE MEMBERS OF THE COURT, **THE LAW OFFICER (IF ANY)**, AND THE PERSONNEL OF THE PROSECUTION AND DEFENSE SWORN (par. 61h; pp. 504, 505, and 526)?	✓		✓		✓		✓	
12. WAS ANY PERSON SITTING AS A MEMBER OF THE COURT **OR THE LAW OFFICER (IF ANY)** THE ACCUSER, A WITNESS FOR THE PROSECUTION, THE INVESTIGATING OFFICER, STAFF JUDGE ADVOCATE, OR COUNSEL, OR, UPON REHEARING OR NEW TRIAL, WAS HE A MEMBER AT THE FORMER TRIAL (pars. 4a, 62c and f)?								
13. a. WAS EACH ACCUSED EXTENDED THE RIGHT TO CHALLENGE **THE LAW OFFICER (IF ANY)** AND ANY MEMBER OF THE COURT FOR CAUSE AND TO EXERCISE ONE PEREMPTORY CHALLENGE AGAINST ANY MEMBER (par. 62a; p. 507)?	✓		✓		✓		✓	
b. WAS ACTION BY COURT UPON CHALLENGES PROPER (par. 62h; pp. 506-507)?	✓		✓		✓		✓	
c. DOES THE RECORD SHOW THAT A MEMBER EXCUSED AS A RESULT OF A CHALLENGE WITHDREW FROM THE COURT (par. 62h(4))?	✓		✓		✓		✓	
14. a. WAS THE ACCUSED PROPERLY ARRAIGNED (par. 65; pp. 507-508)?	✓		✓		✓		✓	
b. DO THE FOLLOWING APPEAR IN THE RECORD: THE CHARGES AND SPECIFICATIONS, THE NAME, RANK, AND ORGANIZATION OF PERSON SIGNING THE CHARGES, THE AFFIDAVIT, AND THE ORDER OF REFERENCE FOR TRIAL (pp. 507 and 533)?								
15. a. WERE ANY CHARGES OR SPECIFICATIONS AFFECTED BY THE STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS (par. 68c)?		✓						
b. IF SO, WAS ACCUSED ADVISED OF HIS RIGHT TO ASSERT THE STATUTE AND WAS HIS RESPONSE RECORDED (par. 68c)?								
16. DID THE COURT TAKE PROPER ACTION WITH RESPECT TO MOTIONS RAISING DEFENSES AND OBJECTIONS (par. 67; p. 508)?	✓		✓		✓		✓	
17. a. WERE PLEAS OF ACCUSED REGULARLY ENTERED (pp. 509 and 533)?	✓		✓		✓		✓	
b. IF PLEAS OF GUILTY WERE EXPLAINED, WAS ACCUSED'S RESPONSE RECORDED (pp. 509 and 533)?								
18. DOES THE RECORD SHOW THAT ALL WITNESSES WERE SWORN (par. 112b; pp. 510, 527, 533, and 534)?	✓				✓		✓	
19. DID THE **LAW OFFICER** OR ***PRESIDENT*** ADVISE THE COURT CONCERNING THE ELEMENTS OF EACH OFFENSE, EACH LESSER INCLUDED OFFENSE REASONABLY RAISED BY THE EVIDENCE, AND THE PRESUMPTION OF INNOCENCE, REASONABLE DOUBT, AND BURDEN OF PROOF, PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 51c (pars. 73a and b; pp. 518 and 534)?	✓		✓		✓		✓	
20. a. DID THE PRESIDENT ANNOUNCE THAT THE VOTE ON EACH FINDING WAS IN CLOSED SESSION AND BY SECRET WRITTEN BALLOT (pp. 518, 519, and 534)?	✓		✓		✓		✓	
b. UNLESS THE DEATH PENALTY WAS MANDATORY, DID THE PRESIDENT ANNOUNCE THAT AT LEAST TWO-THIRDS OF THE MEMBERS PRESENT AT THE TIME THE VOTE WAS TAKEN ON EACH FINDING OF GUILTY CONCURRED THEREIN (par. 74d(3); pp. 519 and 534)?	✓		✓		✓		✓	
21. a. WERE THE FINDINGS ANNOUNCED AS SOON AS DETERMINED (par. 74g; pp. 519 and 534)?	✓		✓		✓		✓	
b. WERE THE FINDINGS IN PROPER FORM (pp. 519-520)?	✓		✓		✓		✓	
22. a. WAS THE EVIDENCE, IF ANY, OF PREVIOUS CONVICTIONS ADMISSIBLE AND PROPERLY INTRODUCED IN EVIDENCE (par. 75b(2))?								
b. WAS THE DEFENSE PERMITTED TO INTRODUCE EVIDENCE IN EXTENUATION AND MITIGATION AFTER THE COURT ANNOUNCED FINDINGS OF GUILTY (par. 75c; pp. 520 and 534)?	✓		✓		✓		✓	
23. a. DID THE PRESIDENT ANNOUNCE THAT THE VOTE ON THE SENTENCE WAS IN CLOSED SESSION AND BY SECRET WRITTEN BALLOT (pp. 521 and 534)?	✓		✓		✓		✓	

SECTION A - PROCEDURE IN COURT (Continued)	TC		SPCMCA		SJA OR LEGO		OJAG	
	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO
b. DID THE PRESIDENT ANNOUNCE THAT THE MEMBERS PRESENT CONCURRED IN THE SENTENCE AS FOLLOWS: TO DEATH, ALL MEMBERS; TO LIFE IMPRISONMENT OR CONFINEMENT IN EXCESS OF TEN YEARS, AT LEAST THREE-FOURTHS OF MEMBERS; TO ANY OTHER PUNISHMENT, AT LEAST TWO-THIRDS (par. 76b(3); pp. 521 and 534)?	✓				✓		✓	
24. a. WAS THE SENTENCE ANNOUNCED AS SOON AS DETERMINED (par. 76c; pp. 521 and 534)?	✓		✓		✓		✓	
b. WAS THE SENTENCE IN PROPER FORM (pp. 541-542)?	✓		✓		✓		✓	
25. IS THE RECORD PROPERLY AUTHENTICATED (pars. 82f and 83c; p. 528)?	✓		✓		✓		✓	
26. a. DID ALL MEMBERS WHO PARTICIPATED IN PROCEEDINGS IN REVISION VOTE ON ORIGINAL FINDINGS AND SENTENCE (par. 80; p. 522)?								
b. AT PROCEEDINGS IN REVISION, WERE **THE LAW OFFICER (IF ANY)**, THE ACCUSED, AND COUNSEL FOR THE PROSECUTION AND DEFENSE PRESENT (par. 80; p. 522)?								
27. WAS EACH ACCUSED FURNISHED A COPY OF THE RECORD (pars. 82g(1) and 83d; pp. 525, 529, and 535)?	✓		✓		✓		✓	
28. WAS CLEMENCY RECOMMENDED BY THE COURT (par. 77a)?		✓		✓		✓		✓

SECTION B - PROCEDURE AFTER TRIAL	SPCMCA		SJA OR LEGO		OJAG	
	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO
29. WAS THE COURT CONVENED BY PROPER AUTHORITY (par. 86b)?	✓		✓		✓	
30. DID THE COURT HAVE JURISDICTION OF PERSON AND OFFENSE (par. 86b)?	✓		✓		✓	
31. DOES EACH SPECIFICATION STATE AN OFFENSE UNDER THE CODE (par. 86b)?	✓		✓		✓	
32. DID THE ACCUSED HAVE THE REQUISITE MENTAL CAPACITY AT THE TIME OF TRIAL AND THE REQUISITE MENTAL RESPONSIBILITY AT THE TIME OF THE COMMISSION OF EACH OFFENSE (par. 86b)?	✓		✓		✓	
33. ARE THE FINDINGS OF GUILTY SUSTAINED BY THE EVIDENCE (par 86b)?	✓		✓		✓	
34. DID ANY RULING DURING TRIAL ON THE ADMISSION OF EVIDENCE OR ON OTHER MATTERS MATERIALLY PREJUDICE THE SUBSTANTIAL RIGHTS OF THE ACCUSED (par. 86b)?		✓		✓		✓
35. IS THE SENTENCE WITHIN LEGAL LIMITS, AND IS IT SUSTAINED BY THE APPROVED FINDINGS OF GUILTY (par. 86b)?	✓		✓		✓	
36. IS THE ACTION OF THE CONVENING AUTHORITY PROPERLY ENTERED IN THE RECORD AND SIGNED (par. 89)?			✓		✓	
37. IF APPROPRIATE, IS A PROPER PLACE OF CONFINEMENT OR TEMPORARY CUSTODY DESIGNATED (pars. 89c(5) and (6); pp. 544-548)?			✓		✓	
38. IS THE ACTION, IF ANY, WITH RESPECT TO CLEMENCY, DEEMED ADEQUATE (par. 88b)?	✓		✓		✓	
39. a. DO THE ALLIED PAPERS INDICATE THAT THE ACCUSED WAS ADVISED OF HIS APPELLATE RIGHTS?	✓		✓		✓	
b. DO THE ALLIED PAPERS CONTAIN A STATEMENT INDICATING THE DESIRES OF THE ACCUSED WITH RESPECT TO APPELLATE REPRESENTATION IN THE EVENT HIS CASE IS REFERRED TO A BOARD OF REVIEW?	✓		✓		✓	

If Reduction Letter is Required, is Copy Attached.

SECTION C - COURT-MARTIAL ORDERS (See par. 90 and pp. 549-551)	SPCMCA		SJA OR LEGO		OJAG	
	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO
40. DOES THE CMO BEAR THE SAME DATE AS THE ACTION OF THE CONVENING AUTHORITY WHO PUBLISHED IT?			✓		✓	
41. ARE ALL THE ORDERS APPOINTING THE COURT WHICH TRIED THE CASE CORRECTLY CITED IN THE CMO?			✓		✓	
42. ARE THE ACCUSED'S NAME, RANK OR GRADE, SERVICE NUMBER, ORGANIZATION, AND ARMED FORCE CORRECTLY SHOWN IN THE CMO?			✓		✓	
43. ARE ALL CHARGES AND SPECIFICATIONS (INCLUDING AMENDMENTS) UPON WHICH THE ACCUSED WAS ARRAIGNED CORRECTLY SHOWN IN THE CMO?			✓		✓	
44. ARE THE PLEAS, FINDINGS, AND SENTENCE CORRECTLY SHOWN IN THE CMO?			✓		✓	
45. DOES THE CMO INDICATE THE NUMBER OF PREVIOUS CONVICTIONS CONSIDERED?			✓		✓	
46. DOES THE CMO SHOW THE DATE THE SENTENCE WAS ADJUDGED, OR ACQUITTAL ANNOUNCED?			✓		✓	
47. IS THE ACTION OF THE CONVENING AUTHORITY (*and of the officer exercising general court-martial jurisdiction, when appropriate under article 65b*) CORRECTLY SHOWN IN THE CMO?			✓		✓	
48. IS THE CMO PROPERLY AUTHENTICATED?			✓		✓	

DATE 15 AUG 1957	SIGNATURE OF TRIAL COUNSEL DOMINICK F. DRIANO, 1st Lt, USAF, Asst Trial Counsel
DATE 15 AUG 1957	SIGNATURE AND RANK OF CONVENING AUTHORITY OR HIS REPRESENTATIVE ALVIN C. VICTOR, Lt Colonel, USAF, Base Staff Judge Advocate
DATE 25 OCT 1957	SIGNATURE OF STAFF JUDGE ADVOCATE OR LEGAL OFFICER USAF

APPELLATE ACTION; OFFICE OF THE JUDGE ADVOCATE GENERAL

DATE	ACTION	SIGNATURE AND DESIGNATION OF INDIVIDUAL COMPLETING DATA SHEET
DEC 10 1957	Affirmed	GILBERT E. MONTOUR Major, USAF Judge Advocate

☆U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE 1956 O—402863

HEADQUARTERS
FIFTEENTH AIR FORCE (SAC)
March Air Force Base, California

GENERAL COURT-MARTIAL ORDER)
NUMBER 86)

18 October 1957

Before a general court-martial which convened at Walker Air Force Base, New Mexico, pursuant to paragraph 1, Special Orders 134, Headquarters Fifteenth Air Force (SAC), 19 June 1957, as amended by paragraph 10, Special Orders 170, Headquarters Fifteenth Air Force (SAC), 30 July 1957, and as amended by paragraph 10, Special Orders 171, Headquarters Fifteenth Air Force (SAC), 31 July 1957, was arraigned and tried:

LIEUTENANT COLONEL GEORGE F ADAMS, A02575543, United States Air Force, 6th Tactical Hospital.

CHARGE I: Violation of the Uniform Code of Military Justice, Article 134.

Specification 1: In that LIEUTENANT COLONEL GEORGE F. ADAMS, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, a married man, did at Vicksburg, Mississippi, on or about 16 December 1955, wrongfully have sexual intercourse with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough, a woman not his wife.

Specification 2: In that LIEUTENANT COLONEL GEORGE F. ADAMS, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, a married man, did, at Dalls, Texas, on or about 17 December 1955, wrongfully have sexual intercourse with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough, a woman not his wife.

Specification 3: In that LIEUTENANT COLONEL GEORGE F. ADAMS, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, a married man, did, at El Paso, Texas, on or about 19 April 1956, wrongfully, dishonorably, and falsely register at the La Fonda Motel with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough, a woman not his wife, as Doctor and Mrs. George F. Adams.

Specification 4: In that LIEUTENANT COLONEL GEORGE F. ADAMS, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, a married man, did, at El Paso, Texas, on or about 27 April 1956, wrongfully, dishonorably, and falsely register at the La Fonda Motel with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough, a woman not his wife, as Doctor and Mrs. George F. Adams.

CHARGE II: Violation of the Uniform Code of Military Justice, Article 125.

Specification 1: In that LIEUTENANT COLONEL GEORGE F. ADAMS, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, did, at Walker Air Force Base, New Mexico, during the month of January 1956, commit sodomy with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough.

OFFICE OF THE JUDGE ADVOCATE GENERAL
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE

DEC 10 1957

The record of trial in this case has been examined in this office and the findings and sentence have been found to be supported by law. The Judge Advocate General has not directed review by a board of Review.

FOR THE JUDGE ADVOCATE GENERAL:

Military Justice Division

GILBERT E. MONTOUR
Major, USAF
Judge Advocate

ACM 14595

Specification 2: In that LIEUTENANT COLONEL GEORGE F. ADAMS, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, did, at El Paso, Texas, on or about 19 April 1956, commit sodomy with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough.

Specification 3: In that LIEUTENANT COLONEL GEORGE F. ADAMS, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, did, at El Paso, Texas, on or about 27 April 1956, commit sodomy with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough.

CHARGE III: Violation of the Uniform Code of Military Justice, Article 133.

Specification 1: In that LIEUTENANT COLONEL GEORGE F. ADAMS, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, a married man, did, at Vicksburg, Mississippi, on or about 16 December 1955, wrongfully have sexual intercourse with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough, a woman not his wife.

Specification 2: In that LIEUTENANT COLONEL GEORGE F. ADAMS, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, a married man, did, at Dallas, Texas, on or about 17 December 1955, wrongfully have sexual intercourse with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough, a woman not his wife.

Specification 3: In that LIEUTENANT COLONEL GEORGE G. ADAMS, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, a married man, did, at El Paso, Texas, on or about 19 April 1956, wrongfully, dishonorably, and falsely register at the La Fonda Motel with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough, a woman not his wife, as Doctor and Mrs. George F. Adams.

Specification 4: In that LIEUTENANT COLONEL GEORGE F. ADAMS, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, a married man, did, at El Paso, Texas, on or about 27 April 1956, wrongfully, dishonorably, and falsely register at the La Fonda Motel with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough, a woman not his wife, as Doctor and Mrs. George F. Adams.

PLEAS

To all Specifications and Charges: Not Guilty.

MOTIONS

Motion of defense counsel for finding of not guilty as to Specifications 1 and 2 of Charge I granted by law officer.

Motion of defense counsel for finding of not guilty as to Specifications 2 and 3 of Charge II granted by law officer.

Motion of defense counsel for finding of not guilty as to Specifications 1 and 2 of Charge III granted by law officer.

FINDINGS

Of Specifications 3 and 4, Charge I: Guilty.
Of Charge I: Guilty.
Of Specification 1, Charge II: Not Guilty, and
Of Charge II: Not Guilty.
Of Specifications 3 and 4, Charge III: Guilty, and
Of Charge III: Guilty.

SENTENCE

To forfeiture of $445.00 per month for a period of six months. (No previous convictions considered.)

The sentence was adjudged on 15 August 1957.

ACTION

HEADQUARTERS
FIFTEENTH AIR FORCE (SAC)
March Air Force Base, California

18 OCT 1957

In the foregoing case of LIEUTENANT COLONEL GEORGE F. ADAMS, A0257543, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, the sentence is approved and will be duly executed.

The record of trial is forwarded to The Judge Advocate General, United States Air Force, for examination under Article 69.

/s/ Archie J. Old, Jr.
/t/ ARCHIE J. OLD, JR.
Major General, United States Air Force
Commander

FOR THE COMMANDER:

URBAN J. ZIELINSKI
Lt Colonel, USAF
Deputy Adjutant

General Court-Martial Order Number 86 18 October 1957

DISTRIBUTION:
1 - LTCOL ADAMS
1 - COL REARDON, Hq 47th Air Div, Pres
1 - LTCOL TATUM, Hq 15AF, LO
1 - CAPT FOX, Hq 4061st ABGru, TC
1 - CAPT ELLS, Hq 96th ABGru, DC
1 - FO, WAFB, NMex
2 - Comdr, 15AF
10 - TJAG, Hq USAF, Wash 25, D C
2 - TJAG, Hq USAF, ATTN: Admin Br, Wash 25, D C
1 - Comdr, 6th TacHosp
1 - Comdr, 6th BomWg
1 - Comdr, 812th ABGru
1 - Comdr, 47th AirDiv
1 - GAC, AF Aud Br, 3800 York St, Denver, Colo
1 - AAG, Hq USAF, ATTN: Mil Pers Rcd Div, Wash 25, D C
2 - SJA, SAC, Offutt AFB, Nebr
5 - Comdr, AFFC, ATTN: Sup Div, 3800 York St, Denver, Colo
5 - PM, DA, Wash 25, D C
2 - Prof of Law, USMA
2 - Prof of Law, USAFMA
2 - Comdr, AU, Maxwell AFB, Ala
2 - Dir of Mil Pers, Hq USAF, ATTN: Promotions & Separations Div, Wash 25, D C

HEADQUARTERS
FIFTEENTH AIR FORCE (SAC)
March Air Force Base, California

GENERAL COURT-MARTIAL ORDER)
NUMBER 86)

18 October 1957

Before a general court-martial which convened at Walker Air Force Base, New Mexico, pursuant to paragraph 1, Special Orders 134, Headquarters Fifteenth Air Force (SAC), 19 June 1957, as amended by paragraph 10, Special Orders 170, Headquarters Fifteenth Air Force (SAC), 30 July 1957, and as amended by paragraph 10, Special Orders 171, Headquarters Fifteenth Air Force (SAC), 31 July 1957, was arraigned and tried:

LIEUTENANT COLONEL GEORGE F ADAMS, AO257543, United States Air Force, 6th Tactical Hospital.

CHARGE I: Violation of the Uniform Code of Military Justice, Article 134.

Specification 1: In that LIEUTENANT COLONEL GEORGE F. ADAMS, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, a married man, did at Vicksburg, Mississippi, on or about 16 December 1955, wrongfully have sexual intercourse with First Lieutenant Charlean Goodnough, a woman not his wife.

Specification 2: In that LIEUTENANT COLONEL GEORGE F. ADAMS, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, a married man, did, at Dalls, Texas, on or about 17 December 1955, wrongfully have sexual intercourse with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough, a woman not his wife.

Specification 3: In that LIEUTENANT COLONEL GEORGE F. ADAMS, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, a married man, did, at El Paso, Texas, on or about 19 April 1956, wrongfully, dishonorably, and falsely register at the La Fonda Motel with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough, a woman not his wife, as Doctor and Mrs. George F. Adams.

Specification 4: In that LIEUTENANT COLONEL GEORGE F. ADAMS, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, a married man, did, at El Paso, Texas, on or about 27 April 1956, wrongfully, dishonorably, and falsely register at the La Fonda Motel with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough, a woman not his wife, as Doctor and Mrs. George F. Adams.

CHARGE II: Violation of the Uniform Code of Military Justice, Article 125.

Specification 1: In that LIEUTENANT COLONEL GEORGE F. ADAMS, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, did, at Walker Air Force Base, New Mexico, during the month of January 1956, commit sodomy with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough.

OFFICE OF THE JUDGE ADVOCATE GENERAL
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE

DEC 10 1957

The record of trial in this case has been examined in this office and the findings and sentence have been found to be supported by law. The Judge Advocate General has not directed review by a board of Review.

FOR THE JUDGE ADVOCATE GENERAL:

Military Justice Division

GILBERT E. MONTOUR
Major, USAF
Judge Advocate

ACM 14595

Specification 2: In that LIEUTENANT COLONEL GEORGE F. ADAMS, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, did, at El Paso, Texas, on or about 19 April 1956, commit sodomy with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough.

Specification 3: In that LIEUTENANT COLONEL GEORGE F. ADAMS, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, did, at El Paso, Texas, on or about 27 April 1956, commit sodomy with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough.

CHARGE III: Violation of the Uniform Code of Military Justice, Article 133.

Specification 1: In that LIEUTENANT COLONEL GEORGE F. ADAMS, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, a married man, did, at Vicksburg, Mississippi, on or about 16 December 1955, wrongfully have sexual intercourse with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough, a woman not his wife.

Specification 2: In that LIEUTENANT COLONEL GEORGE F. ADAMS, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, a married man, did, at Dallas, Texas, on or about 17 December 1955, wrongfully have sexual intercourse with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough, a woman not his wife.

Specification 3: In that LIEUTENANT COLONEL GEORGE G. ADAMS, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, a married man, did, at El Paso, Texas, on or about 19 April 1956, wrongfully, dishonorably, and falsely register at the La Fonda Motel with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough, a woman not his wife, as Doctor and Mrs. George F. Adams.

Specification 4: In that LIEUTENANT COLONEL GEORGE F. ADAMS, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, a married man, did, at El Paso, Texas, on or about 27 April 1956, wrongfully, dishonorably, and falsely register at the La Fonda Motel with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough, a woman not his wife, as Doctor and Mrs. George F. Adams.

PLEAS

To all Specifications and Charges: Not Guilty.

MOTIONS

Motion of defense counsel for finding of not guilty as to Specifications 1 and 2 of Charge I granted by law officer.

Motion of defense counsel for finding of not guilty as to Specifications 2 and 3 of Charge II granted by law officer.

Motion of defense counsel for finding of not guilty as to Specifications 1 and 2 of Charge III granted by law officer.

FINDINGS

Of Specifications 3 and 4, Charge I: Guilty.
Of Charge I: Guilty.
Of Specification 1, Charge II: Not Guilty, and
Of Charge II: Not Guilty.
Of Specifications 3 and 4, Charge III: Guilty, and
Of Charge III: Guilty.

SENTENCE

To forfeiture of $445.00 per month for a period of six months. (No previous convictions considered.)

The sentence was adjudged on 15 August 1957.

ACTION

HEADQUARTERS
FIFTEENTH AIR FORCE (SAC)
March Air Force Base, California

18 OCT 1957

In the foregoing case of LIEUTENANT COLONEL GEORGE F. ADAMS, A0257543, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, the sentence is approved and will be duly executed.

The record of trial is forwarded to The Judge Advocate General, United States Air Force, for examination under Article 69.

/s/ Archie J. Old, Jr.
/t/ ARCHIE J. OLD, JR.
Major General, United States Air Force
Commander

FOR THE COMMANDER:

URBAN J. ZIELINSKI
Lt Colonel, USAF
Deputy Adjutant

General Court-Martial Order Number 86 18 October 1957

DISTRIBUTION:

1 - LTCOL ADAMS
1 - COL REARDON, Hq 47th Air Div, Pres
1 - LTCOL TATUM, Hq 15AF, LO
1 - CAPT FOX, Hq 4061st ABGru, TC
1 - CAPT ELLS, Hq 96th ABGru, DC
1 - FO, WAFB, NMex
2 - Comdr, 15AF
10 - TJAG, Hq USAF, Wash 25, D C
2 - TJAG, Hq USAF, ATTN: Admin Br, Wash 25, D C
1 - Comdr, 6th TacHosp
1 - Comdr, 6th BomWg
1 - Comdr, 812th ABGru
1 - Comdr, 47th AirDiv
1 - GAO, AF Aud Br, 3800 York St, Denver, Colo
1 - AAG, Hq USAF, ATTN: Mil Pers Rcd Div, Wash 25, D C
2 - SJA, SAC, Offutt AFB, Nebr
5 - Comdr, AFFC, ATTN: Sup Div, 3800 York St, Denver, Colo
5 - PM, DA, Wash 25, D C
2 - Prof of Law, USMA
2 - Prof of Law, USAFMA
2 - Comdr, AU, Maxwell AFB, Ala
2 - Dir of Mil Pers, Hq USAF, ATTN: Promotions & Separations Div, Wash 25, D C

HEADQUARTERS
FIFTEENTH AIR FORCE (SAC)
March Air Force Base, California

GENERAL COURT-MARTIAL ORDER)
NUMBER 86)

18 October 1957

Before a general court-martial which convened at Walker Air Force Base, New Mexico, pursuant to paragraph 1, Special Orders 134, Headquarters Fifteenth Air Force (SAC), 19 June 1957, as amended by paragraph 10, Special Orders 170, Headquarters Fifteenth Air Force (SAC), 30 July 1957, and as amended by paragraph 10, Special Orders 171, Headquarters Fifteenth Air Force (SAC), 31 July 1957, was arraigned and tried:

LIEUTENANT COLONEL GEORGE F ADAMS, AO257543, United States Air Force, 6th Tactical Hospital.

CHARGE I: Violation of the Uniform Code of Military Justice, Article 134.

Specification 1: In that LIEUTENANT COLONEL GEORGE F. ADAMS, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, a married man, did at Vicksburg, Mississippi, on or about 16 December 1955, wrongfully have sexual intercourse with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough, a woman not his wife.

Specification 2: In that LIEUTENANT COLONEL GEORGE F. ADAMS, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, a married man, did, at Dalls, Texas, on or about 17 December 1955, wrongfully have sexual intercourse with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough , a woman not his wife.

Specification 3: In that LIEUTENANT COLONEL GEORGE F. ADAMS, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, a married man, did, at El Paso, Texas, on or about 19 April 1956, wrongfully, dishonorably, and falsely register at the La Fonda Motel with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough , a woman not his wife, as Doctor and Mrs. George F. Adams.

Specification 4: In that LIEUTENANT COLONEL GEORGE F. ADAMS, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, a married man, did, at El Paso, Texas, on or about 27 April 1956, wrongfully, dishonorably, and falsely register at the La Fonda Motel with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough, a woman not his wife, as Doctor and Mrs. George F. Adams.

CHARGE II: Violation of the Uniform Code of Military Justice, Article 125.

Specification 1: In that LIEUTENANT COLONEL GEORGE F. ADAMS, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, did, at Walker Air Force Base, New Mexico, during the month of January 1956, commit sodomy with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough .

Specification 2: In that LIEUTENANT COLONEL GEORGE F. ADAMS, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, did, at El Paso, Texas, on or about 19 April 1956, commit sodomy with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough.

Specification 3: In that LIEUTENANT COLONEL GEORGE F. ADAMS, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, did, at El Paso, Texas, on or about 27 April 1956, commit sodomy with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough.

CHARGE III: Violation of the Uniform Code of Military Justice, Article 133.

Specification 1: In that LIEUTENANT COLONEL GEORGE F. ADAMS, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, a married man, did, at Vicksburg, Mississippi, on or about 16 December 1955, wrongfully have sexual intercourse with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough, a woman not his wife.

Specification 2: In that LIEUTENANT COLONEL GEORGE F. ADAMS, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, a married man, did, at Dallas, Texas, on or about 17 December 1955, wrongfully have sexual intercourse with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough, a woman not his wife.

Specification 3: In that LIEUTENANT COLONEL GEORGE G. ADAMS, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, a married man, did, at El Paso, Texas, on or about 19 April 1956, wrongfully, dishonorably, and falsely register at the La Fonda Motel with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough, a woman not his wife, as Doctor and Mrs. George F. Adams.

Specification 4: In that LIEUTENANT COLONEL GEORGE F. ADAMS, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, a married man, did, at El Paso, Texas, on or about 27 April 1956, wrongfully, dishonorably, and falsely register at the La Fonda Motel with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough, a woman not his wife, as Doctor and Mrs. George F. Adams.

PLEAS

To all Specifications and Charges: Not Guilty.

MOTIONS

Motion of defense counsel for finding of not guilty as to Specifications 1 and 2 of Charge I granted by law officer.

Motion of defense counsel for finding of not guilty as to Specifications 2 and 3 of Charge II granted by law officer.

Motion of defense counsel for finding of not guilty as to Specifications 1 and 2 of Charge III granted by law officer.

FINDINGS

Of Specifications 3 and 4, Charge I: Guilty.
Of Charge I: Guilty.
Of Specification 1, Charge II: Not Guilty, and
Of Charge II: Not Guilty.
Of Specifications 3 and 4, Charge III: Guilty, and
Of Charge III: Guilty.

SENTENCE

To forfeiture of $445.00 per month for a period of six months. (No previous convictions considered.)

The sentence was adjudged on 15 August 1957.

ACTION

HEADQUARTERS
FIFTEENTH AIR FORCE (SAC)
March Air Force Base, California

18 OCT 1957

In the foregoing case of LIEUTENANT COLONEL GEORGE F. ADAMS, A0257543, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, the sentence is approved and will be duly executed.

The record of trial is forwarded to The Judge Advocate General, United States Air Force, for examination under Article 69.

/s/ Archie J. Old, Jr.
/t/ ARCHIE J. OLD, JR.
Major General, United States Air Force
Commander

FOR THE COMMANDER:

URBAN J. ZIELINSKI
Lt Colonel, USAF
Deputy Adjutant

General Court-Martial Order Number 86 18 October 1957

DISTRIBUTION:

1 - LTCOL ADAMS
1 - COL REARDON, Hq 47th Air Div, Pres
1 - LTCOL TATUM, Hq 15AF, LO
1 - CAPT FOX, Hq 4061st ABGru, TC
1 - CAPT ELLS, Hq 96th ABGru, DC
1 - FO, WAFB, NMex
2 - Comdr, 15AF
10 - TJAG, Hq USAF, Wash 25, D C
2 - TJAG, Hq USAF, ATTN: Admin Br, Wash 25, D C
1 - Comdr, 6th TacHosp
1 - Comdr, 6th BomWg
1 - Comdr, 812th ABGru
1 - Comdr, 47th AirDiv
1 - GAO, AF Aud Br, 3800 York St, Denver, Colo
1 - AAG, Hq USAF, ATTN: Mil Pers Rcd Div, Wash 25, D C
2 - SJA, SAC, Offutt AFB, Nebr
5 - Comdr, AFFC, ATTN: Sup Div, 3800 York St, Denver, Colo
5 - PM, DA, Wash 25, D C
2 - Prof of Law, USMA
2 - Prof of Law, USAFMA
2 - Comdr, AU, Maxwell AFB, Ala
2 - Dir of Mil Pers, Hq USAF, ATTN: Promotions & Separations Div, Wash 25, D C

HEADQUARTERS
FIFTEENTH AIR FORCE (SAC)
March Air Force Base, California

GENERAL COURT-MARTIAL ORDER)
NUMBER 86)

18 October 1957

Before a general court-martial which convened at Walker Air Force Base, New Mexico, pursuant to paragraph 1, Special Orders 134, Headquarters Fifteenth Air Force (SAC), 19 June 1957, as amended by paragraph 10, Special Orders 170, Headquarters Fifteenth Air Force (SAC), 30 July 1957, and as amended by paragraph 10, Special Orders 171, Headquarters Fifteenth Air Force (SAC), 31 July 1957, was arraigned and tried:

LIEUTENANT COLONEL GEORGE F ADAMS, AO257543, United States Air Force, 6th Tactical Hospital.

CHARGE I: Violation of the Uniform Code of Military Justice, Article 134.

Specification 1: In that LIEUTENANT COLONEL GEORGE F. ADAMS, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, a married man, did at Vicksburg, Mississippi, on or about 16 December 1955, wrongfully have sexual intercourse with First Lieutenant Chrarleen Goodnough, a woman not his wife.

Specification 2: In that LIEUTENANT COLONEL GEORGE F. ADAMS, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, a married man, did, at Dalls, Texas, on or about 17 December 1955, wrongfully have sexual intercourse with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough, a woman not his wife.

Specification 3: In that LIEUTENANT COLONEL GEORGE F. ADAMS, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, a married man, did, at El Paso, Texas, on or about 19 April 1956, wrongfully, dishonorably, and falsely register at the La Fonda Motel with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough, a woman not his wife, as Doctor and Mrs. George F. Adams.

Specification 4: In that LIEUTENANT COLONEL GEORGE F. ADAMS, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, a married man, did, at El Paso, Texas, on or about 27 April 1956, wrongfully, dishonorably, and falsely register at the La Fonda Motel with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough, a woman not his wife, as Doctor and Mrs. George F. Adams.

CHARGE II: Violation of the Uniform Code of Military Justice, Article 125.

Specification 1: In that LIEUTENANT COLONEL GEORGE F. ADAMS, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, did, at Walker Air Force Base, New Mexico, during the month of January 1956, commit sodomy with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough.

ACM 14595

Specification 2: In that LIEUTENANT COLONEL GEORGE F. ADAMS, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, did, at El Paso, Texas, on or about 19 April 1956, commit sodomy with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough.

Specification 3: In that LIEUTENANT COLONEL GEORGE F. ADAMS, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, did, at El Paso, Texas, on or about 27 April 1956, commit sodomy with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough.

CHARGE III: Violation of the Uniform Code of Military Justice, Article 133.

Specification 1: In that LIEUTENANT COLONEL GEORGE F. ADAMS, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, a married man, did, at Vicksburg, Mississippi, on or about 16 December 1955, wrongfully have sexual intercourse with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough, a woman not his wife.

Specification 2: In that LIEUTENANT COLONEL GEORGE F. ADAMS, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, a married man, did, at Dallas, Texas, on or about 17 December 1955, wrongfully have sexual intercourse with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough, a woman not his wife.

Specification 3: In that LIEUTENANT COLONEL GEORGE G. ADAMS, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, a married man, did, at El Paso, Texas, on or about 19 April 1956, wrongfully, dishonorably, and falsely register at the La Fonda Motel with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough, a woman not his wife, as Doctor and Mrs. George F. Adams.

Specification 4: In that LIEUTENANT COLONEL GEORGE F. ADAMS, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, a married man, did, at El Paso, Texas, on or about 27 April 1956, wrongfully, dishonorably, and falsely register at the La Fonda Motel with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough, a woman not his wife, as Doctor and Mrs. George F. Adams.

PLEAS

To all Specifications and Charges: Not Guilty.

MOTIONS

Motion of defense counsel for finding of not guilty as to Specifications 1 and 2 of Charge I granted by law officer.

Motion of defense counsel for finding of not guilty as to Specifications 2 and 3 of Charge II granted by law officer.

Motion of defense counsel for finding of not guilty as to Specifications 1 and 2 of Charge III granted by law officer.

FINDINGS

Of Specifications 3 and 4, Charge I: Guilty.
Of Charge I: Guilty.
Of Specification 1, Charge II: Not Guilty, and
Of Charge II: Not Guilty.
Of Specifications 3 and 4, Charge III: Guilty, and
Of Charge III: Guilty.

SENTENCE

To forfeiture of $445.00 per month for a period of six months. (No previous convictions considered.)

The sentence was adjudged on 15 August 1957.

ACTION

HEADQUARTERS
FIFTEENTH AIR FORCE (SAC)
March Air Force Base, California

18 OCT 1957

In the foregoing case of LIEUTENANT COLONEL GEORGE F. ADAMS, AO257543, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, the sentence is approved and will be duly executed.

The record of trial is forwarded to The Judge Advocate General, United States Air Force, for examination under Article 69.

/s/ Archie J. Old, Jr.
/t/ ARCHIE J. OLD, JR.
Major General, United States Air Force
Commander

FOR THE COMMANDER:

URBAN J. ZIELINSKI
Lt Colonel, USAF
Deputy Adjutant

General Court-Martial Order Number 86 18 October 1957

DISTRIBUTION:
1 - LTCOL ADAMS
1 - COL REARDON, Hq 47th Air Div, Pres
1 - LTCOL TATUM, Hq 15AF, LO
1 - CAPT FOX, Hq 4061st ABGru, TC
1 - CAPT ELLS, Hq 96th ABGru, DC
1 - FO, WAFB, NMex
2 - Comdr, 15AF
10 - TJAG, Hq USAF, Wash 25, D C
2 - TJAG, Hq USAF, ATTN: Admin Br, Wash 25, D C
1 - Comdr, 6th TacHosp
1 - Comdr, 6th BomWg
1 - Comdr, 812th ABGru
1 - Comdr, 47th AirDiv
1 - GAO, AF Aud Br, 3800 York St, Denver, Colo
1 - AAG, Hq USAF, ATTN: Mil Pers Rcd Div, Wash 25, D C
2 - SJA, SAC, Offutt AFB, Nebr
5 - Comdr, AFFC, ATTN: Sup Div, 3800 York St, Denver, Colo
5 - PM, DA, Wash 25, D C
2 - Prof of Law, USMA
2 - Prof of Law, USAFMA
2 - Comdr, AU, Maxwell AFB, Ala
2 - Dir of Mil Pers, Hq USAF, ATTN: Promotions & Separations Div, Wash 25, D C

(LEAVE THE SPACE ABOVE THIS LINE FOR BINDING)

REVIEW OF THE STAFF JUDGE ADVOCATE

Staff Judge Advocate, Headquarters Fifteenth Air Force, March Air Force Base, California 15 October 1957
(Date)

TO: Commander, Fifteenth Air Force, March Air Force Base, California

1. The RECORD OF TRIAL BY GENERAL COURT-MARTIAL of the following named accused having been referred to me under the provisions of Article 61, UCMJ, before action thereon by the reviewing authority, I submit herewith my review, with opinion and recommendation and reasons therefor, as required by paragraph 85 of the Manual for Courts-Martial.

2. SYNOPSIS OF THE RECORD, AND OF THE OPINION AND RECOMMENDATION

ADAMS,	GEORGE F.	A0257543	LtCol	6th TacHosp
(Last name)	(First name and middle initial)	(AFSN)	(Grade)	(Organization)

Tried at Walker AFB, New Mexico on 15 August, 19 57 Days awaiting trial: 0
(Date sentence adjudged) (In arrest or confinement)

Age (nearest birthday) : 51 Score N/A

Length of service : Prior Svc: 25 yrs, 4 mos, 10 days Race: Caucasian
Current Svc: 3 yrs, 2 mos, 14 days total & good time

Efficiency Rating (Prior to this offense) : N/A

Character Rating (Prior to this offense) : N/A

Previous Convictions: : None

CHARGES

Violation of Art. 134, 125, 133 UCMJ — Gist of Offense	Pleas	Findings	Legally Sustained
CH I (Art 134), Specs 1,2: Wrongful sexual intercourse w/ woman not his wife, 16 & 17 Dec 55	NG	NG by motion	
Specs 3,4: Wrongfully, dishonorably, falsely register as man & wife w/ woman not his wife, 19 & 27 Apr 56	NG	G	Yes
CH II (Art 125), Spec 1: Sodomy, Jan 56	NG	NG	
Specs 2,3: Sodomy, 19 & 27 Apr 56	NG	NG by motion	
CH III (Art 133), Specs 1,2: Wrongful sexual intercourse w/ woman not his wife, 16 & 17 Dec 55	NG	NG by motion	
Specs 3,4: Wrongfully, dishonorably, falsely register as man & wife w/ woman not his wife, 19 & 27 Apr 56	NG	G	Yes

SENTENCE

	Type of Discharge	Confinement	Forfeiture
Maximum punishment (based on legally sustained findings) :	Dismissal	8 months	Total
Sentence adjudged by court :	---	---	$445.00/mo/6mos
Recommendation of Staff Judge Advocate :	---	---	$445.00/mo/6mos
Recommended place of confinement :	---		

ACM 14595

3. EVIDENCE.

For the prosecution.

For a period of some four months prior to April 1956, the accused, who was, at that and all later times material, married to Veotta M. Adams, knew and, through social and professional contact, became well acquainted with First Lieutenant Charlene Goodnough, a nurse of the United States Air Force (R.11,54-74,Pros.Ex.2). On 19 April 1956, Miss Goodnough and the accused went in the accused's car from Walker Air Force Base to El Paso, Texas, where the accused registered the two, for one room containing two beds, at the La Fonda Motel under the names of Dr. and Mrs. George F. Adams (R.11,74,75,Pros.Ex.4,5,7).

Again, on 27 April 1956, the accused and Lieutenant Goodnough went to El Paso, Texas, where the accused registered the two, for a single room with one bed, at the La Fonda Motel as Dr. (LtCol) and Mrs. George F. Adams (R.11,78,79,Pros.Ex.4,6,7). No evidence was presented by the accused to refute the allegation that he and Miss Goodnough were not married.

The major portion of the record contains evidence relating to legal questions and to charges which did not result in findings of guilty, and it will therefore not be set forth.

4. OPINION.

a. The court was legally constituted throughout the trial and had jurisdiction over the offenses and the person tried.

b. The accused had the requisite mental capacity at the time of trial and the requisite mental responsibility at the time of the commission of the offenses (MCM, 1951, para 138a).

c. The law officer correctly and adequately instructed the court in substantial compliance with Article 51c, UCMJ (R.141-146).

d. Thorough consideration has been given to the questions of law raised by the defense as to the constitutionality of Articles 133 and 134, UCMJ, and to the sufficiency of the respective Specifications 3 and 4 of Charges I and III to state offenses under the Code (R.9,App.Ex.5). Recitation of authority is unnecessary to resolve the question of constitutionality against defense contentions. As to the failure to allege an offense of conduct unbecoming an officer, it is my opinion that, though there appears to be no appellate authority passing on a specification such as that here attacked, there is ample support for this offense by analogizing to other firmly established offenses. First, by its nature the conduct here condemned is similar to adultery in being a violation of the marriage relationship (1 AmJur, Adultery, §1-4), and is similar to wrongful cohabitation in tending to bring discredit on the military service if the relationship professed is discovered to be non-existent (U.S. v Leach [No.8193], 22 CMR 178). That those specific substantive offenses are not the only ones proscribed by the general articles can be seen by the holding of ACM 9462, McGlone, 18 CMR 525, wherein the accused was convicted under both Articles 133 and 134 for wrongfully occupying a bed with a woman not his wife. The McGlone case clearly establishes that specific proof of illicit sexual relations is not necessary

to establish an offense when common knowledge and experience raises suspicions of a nature to bring discredit to the individual and the service, such as is here the situation. Also, the Leach decision shows that later discovery is sufficient showing of the discredit sought to be avoided and it is therefore of no conclusive weight in this case that the alleged conduct was of short duration and not shown to have been notorious at the time.

Secondly, it is my opinion that the specifications state an offense under both Articles 133 and 134 if viewed as the telling of a falsehood under circumstances of discredit to the individual and the service. The case of U.S. v Gomes (No.2165), 11 CMR 232, upheld a specification alleging the deceitful telling of an untruth during an investigation of the accused's conduct of his office. While the instant specifications do not allege deceit nor pertinency to official duties, and although the Gomes case facts show the accused was under oath (such not being alleged), I believe it is supportable as an offense which, as in Gomes, represents a discrediting falsehood even though it is not alleged to be a false official statement proscribed by Article 107, UCMJ. To engage in conduct, which may lead to an unconsented-to use of a civilian motel, likely to harm the reputation of such a business, is activity clearly unbecoming to an officer and gentleman, and discrediting to the service in which he holds a position of high responsibility and rank.

All the other motions and objections raised by the parties have been carefully scrutinized and, having found the law officer's rulings all correct, I see no need to lengthen this review with a discussion of those individual points.

e. The sentence is within the power of the court to adjudge, is within the prescribed limitations on punishment, and is in proper form (R.153).

f. There are no errors or irregularities reflected in the record of trial materially prejudicial to any substantial right of the accused within the meaning of Article 59, UCMJ.

5. CLEMENCY CONSIDERATIONS.

a. There was no evidence of previous convictions.

b. Following findings of guilty, the defense counsel presented evidence of accused's exemplary performance of duties while on active duty and in an active reserve status over 27 years (R.150,Def.Ex.A-E). Counsel argued accused was convicted only of a minor offense which alone would not have warranted trial by a general court-martial (R.151).

c. I have given careful consideration to the attached clemency report prepared by Lieutenant Colonel Almon A. Tucker, Staff Judge Advocate, Walker Air Force Base. The recommendation of the accused's medical corps commander and the reporting officer is that the sentence be approved.

d. In my opinion, the sentence adjudged is appropriate to the offenses of which the accused was found guilty and neither the circumstances surrounding the offenses nor the clemency report indicate any reduction in the sentence is warranted.

Hq 15AF - Review of the Staff Judge Advocate, United States v Lieutenant Colonel George F. Adams, 15 October 1957

Because accused was released from active duty on 10 September 1957 (para 16, SO 196, 47th Air Division, 5 Sep 57), his active duty commitment having earlier expired, and because of the case of ACM 8169, Santiago, 15 CMR 781, there is some doubt whether any action by you would have legal efficacy as to the findings or the sentence. The Santiago case, in reliance on paragraph 97a, MCM, 1951, held that all appellate procedures abated upon the release from active duty of an officer under honorable conditions, even though jurisdiction in the court-martial was conceded. However, the Santiago case was decided by purportedly distinguishing an almost simultaneous and, in my opinion, contrary decision by the Court of Military Appeals, U.S. v Sippel (No.2689), 15 CMR 50, and has quite recently been rejected in favor of applying the Sippel rule to cases exactly like the instant case, even though Sippel involved a naked expiration of commission. The problem here, involving an affirmative act by the military as in Santiago, comes more within paragraph 97a, MCM, 1951, which states that an honorable discharge (here assumed to include release) of a person under a suspended sentence shall operate as a complete remission of any unexecuted portion of such a sentence (ACM 13054, Robertson, 23 CMR _____; ACM 12531, Speller, 23 CMR _____). It is difficult for me to understand how the board of review in Santiago applied a rule by its terms applicable only to a suspended sentence so as to apply to a sentence which had not even been acted upon by the convening authority, and why they extended the rule to findings when paragraph 97a only covers sentences. Impossibility of execution of the sentence seems to have been confused with the power to approve either it or the findings, but in my mind the inability to effectuate the enforcement of a sentence should not in the least affect the power to approve or disapprove the findings, and I would extend this reasoning to approval of the sentence also. Any fear of hasty, irresponsible decision by a commander because of mootness would seem to be sufficiently guarded against by the stigma attached to any conviction and by the possibility the law may be found to allow execution of the sentence, to forfeitures at least, upon the accused regaining military pay status (Robertson, Speller, supra).

The interpretation placed upon cited provision of paragraph 97a in the Santiago decision appears inconsistent with the clear mandate of the code that only reviewing authorities may forgive findings or sentences (Art.59&76, UCMJ). To hold as the Santiago board did would give any lesser commander with the power to separate an individual the power to forgive by indirection that over which he has no direct control. This latter point indicates the insubstantiality of any distinction between cases where an expiration of service commitment is given practical effect without formal recognition (Sippel, supra), and where such a change in status is recognized and implemented by written orders not specifically and authoritatively effecting a dismissal of the charges or remission of sentence (Robertson, Speller, supra).

Neither can I see, for this purpose, any material distinction between an expiration of all military affiliations and an expiration of active duty commitment, whether recognized only in practical effect or also recognized by orders.

It is my opinion that just as it has been held that termination of active duty status after action by the convening authority does not deprive a board of review (Robertson, Speller, supra), or the Court of Military

Appeals (Sippel, supra) of jurisdiction to review a case in which initial jurisdiction to try the accused is found, so such expiration and release prior to action by the convening authority should not leave that officer powerless to act either as to the findings or the sentence. Regardless of what might be held to be the legal effect of an unacted-upon decision of a court-martial, the extra-legal stigma and other ramifications of a court-martial conviction are serious enough to indicate that Congress most likely intended an accused or the Government (Sippel, Robertson, Speller, supra), to have the advantage of review at all otherwise appropriate levels over any court-martial case even though the sentence may be incapable of being executed. I believe that a correct interpretation of the general rule stated in paragraph 11a, MCM, 1951, i.e., that release from active duty terminates jurisdiction, would be that this refers to the initial exercise of jurisdiction to try an accused and that this provision does not require jurisdiction once validly asserted to be abated upon a subsequent release prior to the normal final disposition of the case. It is my opinion that the initial exercise of jurisdiction authorized by paragraph 11d, MCM, 1951, continues until competent action affirmatively releases the accused of all effects flowing from that original exercise and that the orders effecting a release from active duty of the officer in this case did not purport to relieve of such effects and were without power to do so since not issued by or for you. In my opinion, your action should approve the sentence so that, if legal, it will be possible to later execute the sentence as to a subsequent military-pay status of the accused (Robertson, Speller, supra), and so that the sentence approved and ordered executed will characterize the discreditable conduct of the accused engaged in during the period of service terminated by the expiration of his active duty service commitment.

6. RECOMMENDATIONS.

a. The test to be applied, in determining your action as the convening authority, is that you, like the court-martial, must be convinced of the accused's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. Your action should not be based merely upon the determination that the evidence is sufficient to support the findings of the court. In my opinion, the evidence in the instant case adequately meets the above test with respect to the findings of guilt.

b. I recommend that the sentence be approved and ordered executed.

c. A form of action which will accomplish the above recommendations is attached for your signature.

A. W. TOLEN
Colonel, USAF
Staff Judge Advocate

OFFICE OF THE STAFF JUDGE ADVOCATE
Walker Air Force Base
New Mexico

16 September 1957

CLEMENCY REPORT

1. I certify that I have had no contact with the general court-martial case of Lieutenant Colonel George F. Adams, A0257543, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, Walker Air Force Base, New Mexico, either as trial counsel or assistant trial counsel, as defense or assistant defense counsel, as a court member, as a law officer, or as investigating officer.

2. The following clemency information is submitted concerning Lieutenant Colonel George F. Adams, A0257543, who was tried by general court-martial on 13, 14 and 15 August 1957 for the following violations of the Uniform Code of Military Justice:

Charge I: Violation of the Uniform Code of Military Justice, Article 134.

Specification 1: Did, on or about 16 December 1955, at Vicksburg, Mississippi, commit adultery. (Not guilty on motion of defense.)

Specification 2: Did, at Dallas, Texas, on or about 17 December 1955, commit adultery. (Not guilty on motion of defense.)

Specification 3: Did, at El Paso, Texas, on or about 19 April 1956, wrongfully, dishonorably, and falsely register at the La Fonda Motel with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough, a woman not his wife, as Doctor and Mrs. George F. Adams.

Specification 4: Did, at El Paso, Texas, on or about 27 April 1956, wrongfully, dishonorably, and falsely register at the La Fonda Motel with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough, a woman not his wife, as Doctor and Mrs. George F. Adams.

Charge II: Violation of the Uniform Code of Military Justice, Article 125.

Specification 1: Did, at Walker Air Force Base, New Mexico, during the month of January 1956, commit sodomy. (Finding of Not guilty.)

Specification 2: Did, at El Paso, Texas, on or about 19 April 1956, commit sodomy. (Not guilty on motion of defense.)

Specification 3: Did, at El Paso, Texas, on or about 27 April 1956, commit sodomy. (Not guilty on motion of defense.)

Charge III: Violation of the Uniform Code of Military Justice, Article 133.

Specification 1: Did, at Vicksburg, Mississippi, on or about 16 December 1955, wrongfully commit adultery. (Not guilty on motion of defense.)

Specification 2: Did, Dallas, Texas, on or about 17 December 1955, wrongfully commit adultery. (Not guilty on motion of defense.)

Specification 3: Did, at El Paso, Texas, on or about 19 April 1956, wrongfully, dishonorably, and falsely register at the La Fonda Motel with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough, a woman not his wife, as Doctor and Mrs. George F. Adams.

Specification 4: Did, at El Paso, Texas, on or about 27 April 1956, wrongfully, dishonorably, and falsely register at the La Fonda Motel with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough, a woman not his wife, as Doctor and Mrs. George F. Adams.

a. Civilian Background.

Accused is a white, married male, fifty years of age. He was born 2 February 1907 at Pittsburgh, Kansas, the older of two boys in the family.

Accused's father was a wholesale grocer and candy distributor. His business was situated in the midwest and during the accused's youth, his family made their home in Dayton, Ohio and St. Joseph, Missouri. Although the income from the father's business was more than adequate to meet their needs, the life of the family was not a happy one. The accused indicates that his parents were completely incompatible, that his father, a hard, strict disciplinarian with his children, was a "drinker", a gambler, and rarely ever stayed at home. Finally, in 1924, when the accused was seventeen years of age, the parents separated, the accused, his mother and brother, moved to Los Angeles where they made their home with his maternal grandmother. Thereafter, the father provided partial support for them, although it was necessary for his mother to work from time to time. From 1924 until his parents were divorced in 1954, the accused states that his father made his home with another woman somewhere in Nevada.

Accused was graduated in medicine from the University of Arkansas in 1937 at age thirty. Prior to this he had received a BA degree from the University of California. He indicates that in all of his studies he was considerably better than an average student and that his graduation from school at age thirty was the result of his having "to work his way" through the university. Following his graduation from medical school, he took his internship at Dante Hospital in San Francisco and remained there for an additional two years as resident surgeon until called to active duty with the military in 1940.

Following his release from active duty in 1945, the accused set up a general medical practice in San Francisco with emphasis on general surgery and gynecology. He remained in private practice until his voluntary recall to active duty on 1 August 1950. After completing this second tour of duty in February 1952, he again returned to private practice until recalled to his present active duty tour on 1 June 1954.

Accused was married on 24 December 1929. There are two boys living as issue of this marriage, twenty-three and eighteen years of age. Accused's wife has been a school teacher during her entire adult life. She taught continuously until 1951, and intermittently since that date. From 1951 to the present time she has been institutionalized five or six times for varying periods in Letterman General Hospital and the San Francisco County Hospital, suffering from schizophrenia. The most recent of these hospitalizations was in December 1956.

There is no record of convictions by civil authorities.

b. Military Background.

Accused came on active duty as a first lieutenant in the Medical Corps on 10 October 1940. In November 1941, he was transferred to the South

Pacific area where he served until his return to the ZI in May 1944. During this overseas tour, he served as Flight Surgeon for the 19th and 7th Bomb Groups and as Hospital Commander at a station in Australia and on the island of Java. Following his return to the United States, he was assigned to staff and command positions in hospitals at Santa Barbara, California and Fort Lewis, Washington until his separation from the service with the rank of Major in December 1945.

Accused came back on active duty on 1 August 1950 as a Lieutenant Colonel. He was assigned to Headquarters 4th Air Force as Deputy Staff Surgeon and Officer in Charge of Medical Processing. In addition, he served as Staff Surgeon to the Air Force Reserve units in the San Francisco area. He was separated from this tour of duty on 29 February 1952.

Accused again returned to active duty on 1 June 1954. He was assigned to Kirtland Air Force Base, Albuquerque, New Mexico as Base Surgeon, Hospital Commander and Flight Surgeon. Following a year at that station, he was transferred here to Walker Air Force Base in June of 1955 where he has since performed duty as Deputy Base Hospital Commander and Chief of Professional Services and Flight Surgeon for the 509th and 6th tactical wings.

Concerning the present offenses of which he stands convicted, the accused states that he was prosecuted for a course of conduct which many officers have similarly pursued. He feels that the discredit to the Air Force was nonexistent because he didn't use his rank in connection with the registration and that he was in civilian clothes at the time of the incidents. Clearly, he states, there was no intent on his part to bring discredit upon the Air Force. He further feels that the punishment imposed by the court was excessive. In his opinion, his acts of misconduct in no sense constituted more than minor offenses. His immediate plans, after his release from the military service which was deferred pending this courts-martial, are to return to the private practice of medicine in San Francisco.

Accused indicates that he holds the following ribbons and medals: Silver Star for gallantry in action at Java; Presidential Unit Citation with two clusters; Asiatic-Pacific ribbon with three battle stars (Phillipines, Java, North Australia-New Guinea campaigns); Phillipine Defense Medal; American Theater Ribbon; Air Defense Medal with one star representing overseas duty prior to Pearl Harbor; Victory Medal WW II; Korean Victory Medal; and Reserve Officer's Ribbon with an hour glass insignia representing over twenty years service. (Accused's records were not available for confirmation of this information, but it is believed to be correct.)

c. Summation of Personal Interviews:

Accused's commander, a full colonel in the Medical Corps, states as follows:

> "Lieutenant Colonel George F. Adams has an adequate fundamental knowledge of medicine, including the practical aspects of aviation medicine, which qualify him as a general practitioner. It has been very difficult for him to display any leadership over the junior officers over whom he has supervision because of his overbearing attitude, verbosity and immaturemild temper tantrums, all traits which he seldom exhibits toward senior officers. In the latter case his attitude toward senior officers is one of fawning for attention and bidding for praise out of proportion to the magnitude of his accomplishments. His

spurts of initiative have to be carefully channeled and coordinated in order not to upset the normal functions of the hospital. He is prone to blame all of his faults on personality conflicts. His character is somewhat shallow and flaccid, and his loyalty at times borders on the indifferent.

"Since the sentence of a forfeiture of $445.00 per month for a period of six months was announced on 15 August 1957, Col Adams has stated to me that for all practical purposes he had "beat the rap" and he thought he could get out of the service before any of the money was forfeited. His primary aim at this moment is to get a 30 year reserve retirement at age 60 years."

It is the recommendation of this officer that the sentence of the court be approved and ordered executed and that the accused thereafter be removed from the service under the provisions of AFR 36-2 or other equivalent regulations.

d. Evaluation and Recommendation of the Reporting Officer.

This officer, in spite of his age, is an immature, headstrong, brash individual, whose nature and personality tend to divide rather than unify an organization. Insofar as I can determine, his outside "interests" have dominated his professional career in the military. I do know that his activities and behavior have been the subject of special inquiry and investigation. I feel that the accused's defects of character are such as to preclude continuation in the military service, either on active or reserve status. Accordingly, I too recommend that the sentence as imposed be approved and ordered executed and that action under AFR 36-2 be initiated to terminate his military status.

ALMON A. TUCKER
Lt Col, USAF
Staff Judge Advocate

(LEAVE THE SPACE ABOVE THIS LINE FOR BINDING)

REVIEW OF THE STAFF JUDGE ADVOCATE

Staff Judge Advocate, Headquarters Fifteenth Air Force, March Air Force Base, California 15 October 1957
(Date)

TO: Commander, Fifteenth Air Force, March Air Force Base, California

1. The RECORD OF TRIAL BY GENERAL COURT-MARTIAL of the following named accused having been referred to me under the provisions of Article 61, UCMJ, before action thereon by the reviewing authority, I submit herewith my review, with opinion and recommendation and reasons therefor, as required by paragraph 85 of the Manual for Courts-Martial.

2. SYNOPSIS OF THE RECORD, AND OF THE OPINION AND RECOMMENDATION

ADAMS,	GEORGE F.	A0257543	LtCol	6th TacHosp
(Last name)	(First name and middle initial)	(AFSN)	(Grade)	(Organization)

Tried at Walker AFB, New Mexico on 15 August, 19 57 Days awaiting trial: 0
(Date sentence adjudged) (In arrest or confinement)

Age (nearest birthday) : 51 Score N/A

Length of service : Prior Svc: 25 yrs, 4 mos, 10 days
Current Svc: 3 yrs, 2 mos, 14 days total & good time Race: Caucasian

Efficiency Rating (Prior to this offense) : N/A

Character Rating (Prior to this offense) : N/A

Previous Convictions: : None

CHARGES

Violation of Art. 134, 125, 133 UCMJ — Gist of Offense	Pleas	Findings	Legally Sustained
CH I (Art 134), Specs 1,2: Wrongful sexual intercourse w/ woman not his wife, 16 & 17 Dec 55	NG	NG by motion	
Specs 3,4: Wrongfully, dishonorably, falsely register as man & wife w/ woman not his wife, 19 & 27 Apr 56	NG	G	Yes
CH II (Art 125), Spec 1: Sodomy, Jan 56	NG	NG	
Specs 2,3: Sodomy, 19 & 27 Apr 56	NG	NG by motion	
CH III (Art 133), Specs 1,2: Wrongful sexual intercourse w/ woman not his wife, 16 & 17 Dec 55	NG	NG by motion	
Specs 3,4: Wrongfully, dishonorably, falsely register as man & wife w/ woman not his wife, 19 & 27 Apr 56	NG	G	Yes

SENTENCE

	Type of Discharge	Confinement	Forfeiture
Maximum punishment (based on legally sustained findings) :	Dismissal	8 months	Total
Sentence adjudged by court :	---	---	$445.00/mo/6mos
Recommendation of Staff Judge Advocate :	---	---	$445.00/mo/6mos
Recommended place of confinement :	---		

ACM 14595

3. EVIDENCE.

For the prosecution.

For a period of some four months prior to April 1956, the accused, who was, at that and all later times material, married to Veotta M. Adams, knew and, through social and professional contact, became well acquainted with First Lieutenant Charlene Goodnough, a nurse of the United States Air Force (R.11,54-74,Pros.Ex.2). On 19 April 1956, Miss Goodnough and the accused went in the accused's car from Walker Air Force Base to El Paso, Texas, where the accused registered the two, for one room containing two beds, at the La Fonda Motel under the names of Dr. and Mrs. George F. Adams (R.11,74,75,Pros.Ex.4,5,7).

Again, on 27 April 1956, the accused and Lieutenant Goodnough went to El Paso, Texas, where the accused registered the two, for a single room with one bed, at the La Fonda Motel as Dr. (LtCol) and Mrs. George F. Adams (R.11,78,79,Pros.Ex.4,6,7). No evidence was presented by the accused to refute the allegation that he and Miss Goodnough were not married.

The major portion of the record contains evidence relating to legal questions and to charges which did not result in findings of guilty, and it will therefore not be set forth.

4. OPINION.

a. The court was legally constituted throughout the trial and had jurisdiction over the offenses and the person tried.

b. The accused had the requisite mental capacity at the time of trial and the requisite mental responsibility at the time of the commission of the offenses (MCM, 1951, para 138a).

c. The law officer correctly and adequately instructed the court in substantial compliance with Article 51c, UCMJ (R.141-146).

d. Thorough consideration has been given to the questions of law raised by the defense as to the constitutionality of Articles 133 and 134, UCMJ, and to the sufficiency of the respective Specifications 3 and 4 of Charges I and III to state offenses under the Code (R.9,App.Ex.5). Recitation of authority is unnecessary to resolve the question of constitutionality against defense contentions. As to the failure to allege an offense of conduct unbecoming an officer, it is my opinion that, though there appears to be no appellate authority passing on a specification such as that here attacked, there is ample support for this offense by analogizing to other firmly established offenses. First, by its nature the conduct here condemned is similar to adultery in being a violation of the marriage relationship (1 AmJur, Adultery, §1-4), and is similar to wrongful cohabitation in tending to bring discredit on the military service if the relationship professed is discovered to be non-existent (U.S. v Leach [No.8193], 22 CMR 178). That those specific substantive offenses are not the only ones proscribed by the general articles can be seen by the holding of ACM 9462, McGlone, 18 CMR 525, wherein the accused was convicted under both Articles 133 and 134 for wrongfully occupying a bed with a woman not his wife. The McGlone case clearly establishes that specific proof of illicit sexual relations is not necessary

to establish an offense when common knowledge and experience raises suspicions of a nature to bring discredit to the individual and the service, such as is here the situation. Also, the Leach decision shows that later discovery is sufficient showing of the discredit sought to be avoided and it is therefore of no conclusive weight in this case that the alleged conduct was of short duration and not shown to have been notorious at the time.

Secondly, it is my opinion that the specifications state an offense under both Articles 133 and 134 if viewed as the telling of a falsehood under circumstances of discredit to the individual and the service. The case of U.S. v Gomes (No.2165), 11 CMR 232, upheld a specification alleging the deceitful telling of an untruth during an investigation of the accused's conduct of his office. While the instant specifications do not allege deceit nor pertinency to official duties, and although the Gomes case facts show the accused was under oath (such not being alleged), I believe it is supportable as an offense which, as in Gomes, represents a discrediting falsehood even though it is not alleged to be a false official statement proscribed by Article 107, UCMJ. To engage in conduct, which may lead to an unconsented-to use of a civilian motel, likely to harm the reputation of such a business, is activity clearly unbecoming to an officer and gentleman, and discrediting to the service in which he holds a position of high responsibility and rank.

All the other motions and objections raised by the parties have been carefully scrutinized and, having found the law officer's rulings all correct, I see no need to lengthen this review with a discussion of those individual points.

e. The sentence is within the power of the court to adjudge, is within the prescribed limitations on punishment, and is in proper form (R.153).

f. There are no errors or irregularities reflected in the record of trial materially prejudicial to any substantial right of the accused within the meaning of Article 59, UCMJ.

5. CLEMENCY CONSIDERATIONS.

a. There was no evidence of previous convictions.

b. Following findings of guilty, the defense counsel presented evidence of accused's exemplary performance of duties while on active duty and in an active reserve status over 27 years (R.150,Def.Ex.A-E). Counsel argued accused was convicted only of a minor offense which alone would not have warranted trial by a general court-martial (R.151).

c. I have given careful consideration to the attached clemency report prepared by Lieutenant Colonel Almon A. Tucker, Staff Judge Advocate, Walker Air Force Base. The recommendation of the accused's medical corps commander and the reporting officer is that the sentence be approved.

d. In my opinion, the sentence adjudged is appropriate to the offenses of which the accused was found guilty and neither the circumstances surrounding the offenses nor the clemency report indicate any reduction in the sentence is warranted.

Because accused was released from active duty on 10 September 1957 (para 16, SO 196, 47th Air Division, 5 Sep 57), his active duty commitment having earlier expired, and because of the case of ACM 8169, Santiago, 15 CMR 781, there is some doubt whether any action by you would have legal efficacy as to the findings or the sentence. The Santiago case, in reliance on paragraph 97a, MCM, 1951, held that all appellate procedures abated upon the release from active duty of an officer under honorable conditions, even though jurisdiction in the court-martial was conceded. However, the Santiago case was decided by purportedly distinguishing an almost simultaneous and, in my opinion, contrary decision by the Court of Military Appeals, U.S. v Sippel (No.2689), 15 CMR 50, and has quite recently been rejected in favor of applying the Sippel rule to cases exactly like the instant case, even though Sippel involved a naked expiration of commission. The problem here, involving an affirmative act by the military as in Santiago, comes more within paragraph 97a, MCM, 1951, which states that an honorable discharge (here assumed to include release) of a person under a suspended sentence shall operate as a complete remission of any unexecuted portion of such a sentence (ACM 13054, Robertson, 23 CMR _____; ACM 12531, Speller, 23 CMR _____). It is difficult for me to understand how the board of review in Santiago applied a rule by its terms applicable only to a suspended sentence so as to apply to a sentence which had not even been acted upon by the convening authority, and why they extended the rule to findings when paragraph 97a only covers sentences. Impossibility of execution of the sentence seems to have been confused with the power to approve either it or the findings, but in my mind the inability to effectuate the enforcement of a sentence should not in the least affect the power to approve or disapprove the findings, and I would extend this reasoning to approval of the sentence also. Any fear of hasty, irresponsible decision by a commander because of mootness would seem to be sufficiently guarded against by the stigma attached to any conviction and by the possibility the law may be found to allow execution of the sentence, to forfeitures at least, upon the accused regaining military pay status (Robertson, Speller, supra).

The interpretation placed upon cited provision of paragraph 97a in the Santiago decision appears inconsistent with the clear mandate of the code that only reviewing authorities may forgive findings or sentences (Art.59&76, UCMJ). To hold as the Santiago board did would give any lesser commander with the power to separate an individual the power to forgive by indirection that over which he has no direct control. This latter point indicates the insubstantiality of any distinction between cases where an expiration of service commitment is given practical effect without formal recognition (Sippel, supra), and where such a change in status is recognized and implemented by written orders not specifically and authoritatively effecting a dismissal of the charges or remission of sentence (Robertson, Speller, supra).

Neither can I see, for this purpose, any material distinction between an expiration of all military affiliations and an expiration of active duty commitment, whether recognized only in practical effect or also recognized by orders.

It is my opinion that just as it has been held that termination of active duty status after action by the convening authority does not deprive a board of review (Robertson, Speller, supra), or the Court of Military

Appeals (Sippel, supra) of jurisdiction to review a case in which initial jurisdiction to try the accused is found, so such expiration and release prior to action by the convening authority should not leave that officer powerless to act either as to the findings or the sentence. Regardless of what might be held to be the legal effect of an unacted-upon decision of a court-martial, the extra-legal stigma and other ramifications of a court-martial conviction are serious enough to indicate that Congress most likely intended an accused or the Government (Sippel, Robertson, Speller, supra), to have the advantage of review at all otherwise appropriate levels over any court-martial case even though the sentence may be incapable of being executed. I believe that a correct interpretation of the general rule stated in paragraph 11a, MCM, 1951, i.e., that release from active duty terminates jurisdiction, would be that this refers to the initial exercise of jurisdiction to try an accused and that this provision does not require jurisdiction once validly asserted to be abated upon a subsequent release prior to the normal final disposition of the case. It is my opinion that the initial exercise of jurisdiction authorized by paragraph 11d, MCM, 1951, continues until competent action affirmatively releases the accused of all effects flowing from that original exercise and that the orders effecting a release from active duty of the officer in this case did not purport to relieve of such effects and were without power to do so since not issued by or for you. In my opinion, your action should approve the sentence so that, if legal, it will be possible to later execute the sentence as to a subsequent military-pay status of the accused (Robertson, Speller, supra), and so that the sentence approved and ordered executed will characterize the discreditable conduct of the accused engaged in during the period of service terminated by the expiration of his active duty service commitment.

6. RECOMMENDATIONS.

a. The test to be applied, in determining your action as the convening authority, is that you, like the court-martial, must be convinced of the accused's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. Your action should not be based merely upon the determination that the evidence is sufficient to support the findings of the court. In my opinion, the evidence in the instant case adequately meets the above test with respect to the findings of guilt.

b. I recommend that the sentence be approved and ordered executed.

c. A form of action which will accomplish the above recommendations is attached for your signature.

A. W. TOLEN
Colonel, USAF
Staff Judge Advocate

OFFICE OF THE STAFF JUDGE ADVOCATE
Walker Air Force Base
New Mexico

16 September 1957

CLEMENCY REPORT

1. I certify that I have had no contact with the general court-martial case of Lieutenant Colonel George F. Adams, A0257543, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, Walker Air Force Base, New Mexico, either as trial counsel or assistant trial counsel, as defense or assistant defense counsel, as a court member, as a law officer, or as investigating officer.

2. The following clemency information is submitted concerning Lieutenant Colonel George F. Adams, A0257543, who was tried by general court-martial on 13, 14 and 15 August 1957 for the following violations of the Uniform Code of Military Justice:

Charge I: Violation of the Uniform Code of Military Justice, Article 134.

Specification 1: Did, on or about 16 December 1955, at Vicksburg, Mississippi, commit adultery. (Not guilty on motion of defense.)

Specification 2: Did, at Dallas, Texas, on or about 17 December 1955, commit adultery. (Not guilty on motion of defense.)

Specification 3: Did, at El Paso, Texas, on or about 19 April 1956, wrongfully, dishonorably, and falsely register at the La Fonda Motel with First Leutenant Charleen Goodnough, a woman not his wife, as Doctor and Mrs. George F. Adams.

Specification 4: Did, at El Paso, Texas, on or about 27 April 1956, wrongfully, dishonorably, and falsely register at the La Fonda Motel with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough, a woman not his wife, as Doctor and Mrs. George F. Adams.

Charge II: Violation of the Uniform Code of Military Justice, Article 125.

Specification 1: Did, at Walker Air Force Base, New Mexico, during theymonth of January 1956, commit sodomy. (Finding of Not guilty.)

Specification 2: Did, at El Paso, Texas, on or about 19 April 1956, commit sodomy. (Not guilty on motion of defense.)

Specification 3: Did, at El Paso, Texas, on or about 27 April 1956, commit sodomy. (Not guilty on motion of defense.)

Charge III: Violation of the Uniform Code of Military Justice, Article 133.

Specification 1: Did, at Vicksburg, Mississippi, on or about 16 December 1955, wrongfully commit adultery. (Not guilty on motion of defense.)

Specification 2: Did, Dallas, Texas, on or about 17 December 1955, wrongfully commit adultery. (Not guilty on motion of defense.)

Specification 3: Did, at El Paso, Texas, on or about 19 April 1956, wrongfully, dishonorably, and falsely register at the La Fonda Motel with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough, a woman not his wife, as Doctor and Mrs. George F. Adams.

Specification 4: Did, at El Paso, Texas, on or about 27 April 1956, wrongfully, dishonorably, and falsely register at the La Fonda Motel with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough, a woman not his wife, as Doctor and Mrs. George F. Adams.

a. Civilian Background.

Accused is a white, married male, fifty years of age. He was born 2 February 1907 at Pittsburgh, Kansas, the older of two boys in the family.

Accused's father was a wholesale grocer and candy distributor. His business was situated in the midwest and during the accused's youth, his family made their home in Dayton, Ohio and St. Joseph, Missouri. Although the income from the father's business was more than adequate to meet their needs, the life of the family was not a happy one. The accused indicates that his parents were completely incompatible, that his father, a hard, strict disciplinarian with his children, was a "drinker", a gambler, and rarely ever stayed at home. Finally, in 1924, when the accused was seventeen years of age, the parents separated, the accused, his mother and brother, moved to Los Angeles where they made their home with his maternal grandmother. Thereafter, the father provided partial support for them, although it was necessary for his mother to work from time to time. From 1924 until his parents were divorced in 1954, the accused states that his father made his home with another woman somewhere in Nevada.

Accused was graduated in medicine from the University of Arkansas in 1937 at age thirty. Prior to this he had received a BA degree from the University of California. He indicates that in all of his studies he was considerably better than an average student and that his graduation from school at age thirty was the result of his having "to work his way" through the university. Following his graduation from medical school, he took his internship at Dante Hospital in San Francisco and remained there for an additional two years as resident surgeon until called to active duty with the military in 1940.

Following his release from active duty in 1945, the accused set up a general medical practice in San Francisco with emphasis on general surgery and gynecology. He remained in private practice until his voluntary recall to active duty on 1 August 1950. After completing this second tour of duty in February 1952, he again returned to private practice until recalled to his present active duty tour on 1 June 1954.

Accused was married on 24 December 1929. There are two boys living as issue of this marriage, twenty-three and eighteen years of age. Accused's wife has been a school teacher during her entire adult life. She taught continuously until 1951, and intermittently since that date. From 1951 to the present time she has been institutionalized five or six times for varying periods in Letterman General Hospital and the San Francisco County Hospital, suffering from schizophrenia. The most recent of these hospitalizations was in December 1956.

There is no record of convictions by civil authorities.

b. Military Background.

Accused came on active duty as a first lieutenant in the Medical Corps on 10 October 1940. In November 1941, he was transferred to the South

Pacific area where he served until his return to the ZI in May 1944. During this overseas tour, he served as Flight Surgeon for the 19th and 7th Bomb Groups and as Hospital Commander at a station in Australia and on the island of Java. Following his return to the United States, he was assigned to staff and command positions in hospitals at Santa Barbara, California and Fort Lewis, Washington until his separation from the service with the rank of Major in December 1945.

Accused came back on active duty on 1 August 1950 as a Lieutenant Colonel. He was assigned to Headquarters 4th Air Force as Deputy Staff Surgeon and Officer in Charge of Medical Processing. In addition, he served as Staff Surgeon to the Air Force Reserve units in the San Francisco area. He was separated from this tour of duty on 29 February 1952.

Accused again returned to active duty on 1 June 1954. He was assigned to Kirtland Air Force Base, Albuquerque, New Mexico as Base Surgeon, Hospital Commander and Flight Surgeon. Following a year at that station, he was transferred here to Walker Air Force Base in June of 1955 where he has since performed duty as Deputy Base Hospital Commander and Chief of Professional Services and Flight Surgeon for the 509th and 6th tactical wings.

Concerning the present offenses of which he stands convicted, the accused states that he was prosecuted for a course of conduct which many officers have similarly pursued. He feels that the discredit to the Air Force was nonexistent because he didn't use his rank in connection with the registration and that he was in civilian clothes at the time of the incidents, Clearly, he states, there was no intent on his part to bring discredit upon the Air Force. He further feels that the punishment imposed by the court was excessive. In his opinion, his acts of misconduct in no sense constituted more than minor offenses. His immediate plans, after his release from the military service which was deferred pending this courts-martial, are to return to the private practice of medicine in San Francisco.

Accused indicates that he holds the following ribbons and medals: Silver Star for gallantry in action at Java; Presidential Unit Citation with two clusters; Asiatic-Pacific ribbon with three battle stars (Phillipines, Java, North Australia-New Guinea campaigns); Phillipine Defense Medal; American Theater Ribbon; Air Defense Medal with one star representing overseas duty prior to Pearl Harbor; Victory Medal WW II; Korean Victory Medal; and Reserve Officer's Ribbon with an hour glass insignia representing over twenty years service. (Accused's records were not available for confirmation of this information, but it is believed to be correct.)

c. Summation of Personal Interviews:

Accused's commander, a full colonel in the Medical Corps, states as follows:

> "Lieutenant Colonel George F. Adams has an adequate fundamental knowledge of medicine, including the practical aspects of aviation medicine, which qualify him as a general practitioner. It has been very difficult for him to display any leadership over the junior officers over whom he has supervision because of his overbearing attitude, verbosity and immaturemild temper tantrums, all traits which he seldom exhibits toward senior officers. In the latter case his attitude toward senior officers is one of fawning for attention and bidding for praise out of proportion to the magnitude of his accomplishments. His

spurts of initiative have to be carefully channeled and coordinated in order not to upset the normal functions of the hospital. He is prone to blame all of his faults on personality conflicts. His character is somewhat shallow and flaccid, and his loyalty at times borders on the indifferent.

"Since the sentence of a forfeiture of $445.00 per month for a period of six months was announced on 15 August 1957, Col Adams has stated to me that for all practical purposes he had "beat the rap" and he thought he could get out of the service before any of the money was forfeited. His primary aim at this moment is to get a 30 year reserve retirement at age 60 years."

It is the recommendation of this officer that the sentence of the court be approved and ordered executed and that the accused thereafter be removed from the service under the provisions of AFR 36-2 or other equivalent regulations.

d. Evaluation and Recommendation of the Reporting Officer.

This officer, in spite of his age, is an immature, headstrong, brash individual, whose nature and personality tend to divide rather than unify an organization. Insofar as I can determine, his outside "interests" have dominated his professional career in the military. I do know that his activities and behavior have been the subject of special inquiry and investigation. I feel that the accused's defects of character are such as to preclude continuation in the military service, either on active or reserve status. Accordingly, I too recommend that the sentence as imposed be approved and ordered executed and that action under AFR 36-2 be initiated to terminate his military status.

ALMON A. TUCKER
Lt Col, USAF
Staff Judge Advocate

CHARGE SHEET

PLACE		DATE
Walker Air Force Base, New Mexico		MAY 2 1957

ACCUSED (*Last name, First name, Middle initial*) (*List aliases when material.*)	SERVICE NUMBER	GRADE
ADAMS, GEORGE F.	AO 257543	Lieutenant Colonel

ORGANIZATION AND ARMED FORCE (*If the accused is not a member of any armed force, state other appropriate description showing that he is subject to military law.*)	DATE OF BIRTH	PAY PER MONTH
6th Tactical Hospital 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy U. S. Air Force	2 February 1907	BASIC $ 670.80
	CONTRIBUTION TO FAMILY OR QUARTERS ALLOWANCE (*MCM, 126h (2)*) None	SEA OR FOREIGN DUTY $ None
		TOTAL $ 670.80

RECORD OF SERVICE

INITIAL DATE OF CURRENT SERVICE	TERM OF CURRENT SERVICE
1 June 1954	Three (3) years

PRIOR SERVICE (*As to each prior period of service, give inclusive dates of service and organization in which serving at termination, if available.*)

21 January 1929 - 9 October 1940, U. S. Army Reserves;
10 October 1940 - 9 December 1945, U. S. Army;
10 December 1945 - 31 July 1950, U. S. Air Force Reserves;
1 August 1950 - 29 February 1952, U. S. Air Force;
1 March 1952 - 31 May 1954, U. S. Air Force Reserves.

DATA AS TO WITNESSES

NAME OF WITNESS	ADDRESS	WITNESSES FOR PROSECUTION	WITNESSES FOR ACCUSED
First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough	509th Tactical Hospital 509th Bombardment Wing, Medium	X	
Special Agent William H. Pittson	Office of Special Investigations Detachment 1706 Walker Air Force Base, New Mexico	X	
Mrs. Glenadean Richards	5301 Alameda Avenue El Paso, Texas	X	
Special Agent Robert B. Wells	U. S. Secret Service Albuquerque, New Mexico	X	
Special Agent Robert H. Brightwell	Office of Special Investigations Detachment 1706, Walker Air Force Base	X	
Major James A. Hanson	812th Air Police Squadron 812th Air Base Group	X	
Captain Kenton D. Miller	812th Air Police Squadron 812th Air Base Group	X	
Major Jess H. Hendrix	Headquarters 509th Bombardment Wing, Medium	X	

WITNESSES CONT'D:

Staff Sergeant D. A. Morris	Headquarters 812th Air Base Group	X

George F. Adams.
4. Seven (7) obscene pictures.

DOCUMENTS AND OBJECTS CONT'D:

Authority to Search, dated 19 October 1956

Authority to Search, dated 26 November 1956

Certificate of Marriage

Charge I : Violation of the Uniform Code of Military Justice, Article 134

Specification 1: In that Lieutenant Colonel George F. Adams, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, a married man, did at Vicksburg, Mississippi, on or about 16 December 1955, wrongfully have sexual intercourse with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough, a woman not his wife.

Specification 2: In that Lieutenant Colonel George F. Adams, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, a married man, did, at Dallas, Texas, on or about 17 December 1955, wrongfully have sexual intercourse with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough, a woman not his wife.

Specification 3: In that Lieutenant Colonel George F. Adams, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, a married man, did, at El Paso, Texas, on or about 19 April 1956, wrongfully, dishonorably, and falsely register at the La Fonda Motel with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough, a woman not his wife, as Doctor and Mrs. George F. Adams.

Specification 4: In that Lieutenant Colonel George F. Adams, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, a married man, did, at El Paso, Texas, on or about 27 April 1956, wrongfully, dishonorably, and falsely register at the La Fonda Motel with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough, a woman not his wife, as Doctor and Mrs. George F. Adams.

~~Specification 5: In that Lieutenant Colonel George F. Adams, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, did, at Walker Air Force Base, New Mexico, on or about 22 October 1956, wrongfully and dishonorably have in his possession seven (7) obscene pictures of males and females committing acts of fellatio, sodomy and cunnilingus.~~

Charge II: Violation of the Uniform Code of Military Justice, Article 125

Specification 1: In that Lieutenant Colonel George F. Adams, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, did, at Walker Air Force Base, New Mexico, during the month of January 1956, commit sodomy with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough.

Specification 2: In that Lieutenant Colonel George F. Adams, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, did, at El Paso, Texas, on or about 19 April 1956, commit sodomy with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough.

Specification 3: In that Lieutenant Colonel George F. Adams, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, did, at El Paso, Texas, on or about 27 April 1956, commit sodomy with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough.

Charge III: Violation of the Uniform Code of Military Justice, Article 133

Specification 1: In that Lieutenant Colonel George F. Adams, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, a married man, did, at Vicksburg, Mississippi, on or about 16 December 1955, wrongfully have sexual intercourse with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough, a woman not his wife.

Specification 2: In that Lieutenant Colonel George F. Adams, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, a married man, did, at Dallas, Texas, on or about 17 December 1955, wrongfully have sexual intercourse with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough, a woman not his wife.

Specification 3: In that Lieutenant Colonel George F. Adams, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, a married man, did, at El Paso, Texas, on or about 19 April 1956, wrongfully, dishonorably, and falsely register at the La Fonda Motel with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough, a woman not his wife, as Doctor and Mrs. George F. Adams.

If this space is insufficient for all charges and specifications, they will be set forth numerically, front to back, on separate sheets attached to this page.

SIGNATURE OF ACCUSER	TYPED NAME AND GRADE	ORGANIZATION
Lee F. Ferrell	LEE F. FERRELL Colonel, USAF (MC)	6th Tactical Hospital 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy

AFFIDAVIT

Before me, the undersigned, authorized by law to administer oaths in cases of this character, personally appeared the above-named accuser this 2nd day of May, 1957, and signed the foregoing charges and specifications under oath that he is a person subject to the Uniform Code of Military Justice, and that he either has personal knowledge of or has investigated the matters set forth therein, and that the same are true in fact, to the best of his knowledge and belief.

Earl Weiss
EARL WEISS
SIGNATURE

Major, USAF
6th Tactical Hospital
6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy
GRADE AND ORGANIZATION OF OFFICER

Adjutant
OFFICIAL CHARACTER, AS ADJUTANT, SUMMARY COURT, ETC. (*MCM, 29c, and Article 30a and 136*)

Officer administering oath must be a commissioned officer.

2 May 1957
DATE

I have this date informed the accused of the charges against him (*MCM, 32f(1)*).

Lee F. Ferrell
LEE F. FERRELL, *Commanding*
SIGNATURE

Colonel, USAF
6th Tactical Hospital
6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy
GRADE AND ORGANIZATION OF IMMEDIATE COMMANDER

Headquarters 812th Air Base Group, Walker Air Force Base, New Mexico, 2 May 1957
DESIGNATION OF COMMAND OF OFFICER EXERCISING SUMMARY COURT-MARTIAL JURISDICTION — PLACE — DATE

The sworn charges above were received at 1430 hours, this date (*MCM, 33b*).

FOR THE[1] COMMANDER
Almon A. Tucker
ALMON A. TUCKER, Lieutenant Colonel, USAF, Assistant Adjutant
SIGNATURE, GRADE, AND OFFICIAL CAPACITY OF OFFICER SIGNING

1ST INDORSEMENT

Headquarters Fifteenth Air Force, March Air Force Base, California, 19 June 1957
DESIGNATION OF COMMAND OF CONVENING AUTHORITY — PLACE — DATE

Referred for trial to the general court-martial appointed by paragraph 1, Special Orders 134, Headquarters Fifteenth Air Force (SAC), March Air Force Base, California, 19 June 1957, subject to the following instructions:[2] None.

BY[1] ORDER of THE COMMANDER
COMMAND OR ORDER

B. D. Rubien
B. D. RUBIEN
Captain, USAF
ASTAO
SIGNATURE, GRADE, AND OFFICIAL CAPACITY OF OFFICER SIGNING

I have served a copy hereof on each of the above-named accused, this 24th day of June, 1957.

Morris M. Fox
SIGNATURE

Capt USAF
Headquarters, 4070th Wg
GRADE AND ORGANIZATION OF TRIAL COUNSEL

[1] When an appropriate commander signs personally, inapplicable words are stricken out. [2] Relative to proper instructions which may be included in the indorsement of reference for trial, see MCM, 33j(1). If none, so state.

Fill in blank numbers of pertinent charges and specifications or "all specifications and charges", as may be appropriate. For use unless departmental regulations prevent such election *(MCM, 32f(2))*.

THE ACCUSED HAS BEEN PERMITTED AND HAS ELECTED TO REFUSE PUNISHMENT UNDER ARTICLE 15 AS TO

GRADE AND ORGANIZATION OF OFFICER EXERCISING JURISDICTION UNDER ARTICLE 15	SIGNATURE

RECORD OF TRIAL BY SUMMARY COURT-MARTIAL

CASE NUMBER
(Inserted by convening authority)

TO BE FILLED IN BY THE ACCUSED

I ☐ CONSENT ☐ OBJECT TO TRIAL BY SUMMARY COURT-MARTIAL	SIGNATURE OF ACCUSED

TO BE FILLED IN BY SUMMARY COURT IF APPLICABLE

When an accused has been permitted and has elected to refuse punishment under Article 15, trial by summary court-martial may proceed despite his objection.

1. THE ACCUSED, HAVING REFUSED TO CONSENT IN WRITING TO TRIAL BY SUMMARY COURT-MARTIAL AND NOT HAVING BEEN PERMITTED TO REFUSE PUNISHMENT UNDER ARTICLE 15, THE CHARGES ARE HEREWITH RETURNED TO THE CONVENING AUTHORITY.

GRADE AND ORGANIZATION OF SUMMARY COURT OFFICER	SIGNATURE

2. WAS THE ACCUSED ADVISED IN ACCORDANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 79d, MCM, 1951? ☐ YES

SPECIFICATIONS AND CHARGES	PLEAS	FINDINGS	SENTENCE OR REMARKS

NUMBER OF PREVIOUS CONVICTIONS CONSIDERED *(MCM, 75b(2))*

PLACE	DATE

GRADE, ORGANIZATION AND ARMED FORCE OF SUMMARY COURT OFFICER *(MCM 4g)*	SIGNATURE

Enter after signature, "Only officer present with command", if such is the case.

TO BE FILLED IN BY CONVENING AUTHORITY (MCM, 89, and app. 14a.)

ORGANIZATION	PLACE	DATE

ACTION OF CONVENING AUTHORITY

GRADE AND ORGANIZATION OF CONVENING AUTHORITY	SIGNATURE

ENTERED ON APPROPRIATE PERSONNEL RECORDS IN CASE OF CONVICTION. *(MCM, 91c)*

GRADE AND DESIGNATION OF OFFICER RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ACCUSED'S RECORDS	SIGNATURE

NOTE: Summary of evidence, if required by the convening or higher authority, will be attached on separate pages.

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1956 O— 369979

Specification 4: In that Lieutenant Colonel George F. Adams, 6th Tactical Hospital, 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, a married man, did, at El Paso, Texas, on or about 27 April 1956, wrongfully, dishonorably, and falsely register at the La Fonda Motel with First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnough, a woman not his wife, as Doctor and Mrs. George F. Adams.

~~Specification 5: In that Lieutenant Colonel George F. Adams, 6th Tactical Hospital,~~ 6th Bombardment Wing, Heavy, did, at Walker Air Force Base, ~~New Mexico~~, on or about 22 October 1956, ~~wrongfully and dishonorably~~ have in his possession seven (7) obscene ~~poitures of males and females committing acts of fellatio, sodomy and cunnilingus.~~

6th Tactical Hosp, Walker AFB, 2 May 57, Subj: Transmittal of Court-Martial Charges (Lt Col George F Adams, A0257543)

JA 4th Ind

Hq Fifteenth Air Force, March Air Force Base, California, 18 June 1957

TO: Captain Morris B. Fox, A01852023, USAF, Hq 407th Air Base Group, Trial Counsel, General Court-Martial, Walker Air Force Base, New Mexico

1. For necessary action.

2. You will note that Lieutenant Colonel William Tatum, 7009A, USAF, March Air Force Base, California, has been appointed Law Officer of the court to which this case has been referred. You should, if possible, give that officer five days advance notice of the date set for trial.

BY ORDER OF THE COMMANDER:

B. D. RUBIEN
Captain, USAF
ASTADJ

1 Incl (relisted)
Charge Sheets & A/P

Transmittal of CM Charges, LTCOL George F. Adams, 4036th United States Air Force Hospital

BJA (2 May 57) 3rd Indorsement JUN 7 1957

HEADQUARTERS 812TH AIR BASE GROUP, Walker Air Force Base, New Mexico

TO: Commander, Fifteenth Air Force, March Air Force Base, California

1. In compliance with paragraph 33i, MCM, 1951, there are forwarded herewith court-martial charges against Lieutenant Colonel George F.Adams 4036th United States Air Force Hospital, over whom I excercise special and summary courts-martial jurisdiction, which have been thoroughly and impartially investigated in accordance with Article 32, Uniform Code of Military Justice, and paragraph 34, MCM, 1951, as shown by the 2nd Indorsement.

2. I recommend trial by general court-martial.

GEORGE W. PORTER
Colonel, USAF
Air Base Commander

INVESTIGATING OFFICER'S REPORT

Second Indorsement

FROM: *(Rank, name, and organization of investigating officer)*

Colonel William G. Walker, Jr. Headquarters 509th BOMWG

DATE OF REPORT: JUN 7 1957

TO: *(Title and organization of officer who directed report to be made)*

Colonel George W. Porter, Commander, 812th Air Base Group

GRADE AND NAME OF ACCUSED	SERVICE NUMBER	ORGANIZATION	DATE OF CHARGES
Lieutenant Colonel George F. Adams	A0257543	6th Tactical Hospital	2 May 1957

Check Appropriate Answer	YES	NO
1. IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROVISIONS OF ARTICLE 32, UNIFORM CODE OF MILITARY JUSTICE, AND PARAGRAPH 34, MANUAL FOR COURTS-MARTIAL, 1951, I HAVE INVESTIGATED THE CHARGES *(Exhibit 1)* APPENDED HERETO.	X	
2. AT THE OUTSET OF THE INVESTIGATION I ADVISED THE ACCUSED:		
a. OF THE NATURE OF THE OFFENSE CHARGED AGAINST HIM.	X	
b. OF THE NAME OF THE ACCUSER.	X	
c. OF THE NAMES OF THE WITNESSES AGAINST HIM SO FAR AS KNOWN BY ME.	X	
d. THAT THE CHARGES WERE ABOUT TO BE INVESTIGATED BY ME.	X	
e. OF HIS RIGHT, UPON HIS REQUEST, TO HAVE COUNSEL REPRESENT HIM AT THE INVESTIGATION, EITHER--	X	
(1) CIVILIAN COUNSEL, IF PROVIDED BY HIM, OR		X
(2) MILITARY COUNSEL OF HIS OWN SELECTION, IF SUCH COUNSEL BE REASONABLY AVAILABLE, OR	X	
(3) COUNSEL APPOINTED BY THE OFFICER EXERCISING GENERAL COURT-MARTIAL JURISDICTION OVER THE COMMAND.	X	
f. OF HIS RIGHT TO CROSS-EXAMINE ALL AVAILABLE WITNESSES AGAINST HIM.	X	
g. OF HIS RIGHT TO PRESENT ANYTHING HE MIGHT DESIRE IN HIS OWN BEHALF, EITHER IN DEFENSE OR MITIGATION.	X	
h. OF HIS RIGHT TO HAVE THE INVESTIGATING OFFICER EXAMINE AVAILABLE WITNESSES REQUESTED BY HIM.	X	
i. OF HIS RIGHT TO MAKE A STATEMENT IN ANY FORM.	X	
j. THAT HE WAS NOT REQUIRED TO MAKE ANY STATEMENT REGARDING ANY OFFENSE OF WHICH HE WAS ACCUSED OR BEING INVESTIGATED.	X	
k. THAT ANY STATEMENT MADE BY HIM MIGHT BE USED AS EVIDENCE AGAINST HIM IN A TRIAL BY COURT-MARTIAL.	X	
3. a. THE ACCUSED REQUESTED COUNSEL.		
b. NAME *(and rank)* OF REQUESTED COUNSEL: Major Edwin L. Love, A0725173 — ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS: Hq 807 Air Base Group, March Air Force Base, California		
c. COUNSEL REQUESTED BY THE ACCUSED WAS REASONABLY AVAILABLE.		X
d. NAME *(and rank)* OF COUNSEL MADE AVAILABLE BY THE OFFICER EXERCISING GENERAL COURT-MARTIAL JURISDICTION OVER THE COMMAND: 1st Lt Langford Keith, Jr. A02204398 — ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS: Hq 812th Air Base Group, Walker Air Force Base, New Mexico		
e. COUNSEL REQUESTED BY OR MADE AVAILABLE TO THE ACCUSED WAS PRESENT AS COUNSEL FOR THE ACCUSED THROUGHOUT THE INVESTIGATION. *(If the accused waives the right to have counsel present throughout all or a part of the investigation after having requested counsel, state the circumstances and the particular proceedings conducted in the absence of such counsel.)*	X	

Major Edwin L. Love, the Base Legal Officer at March Air Force Base, who has been selected by the accused as his counsel was not available during the period of this investigation, however, it is anticipated that he will be available should this case come to trial.

In the event Major Love is not available, the accused has indicated that it is his intent to secure other counsel, either military or civilian. He has indicated that in no event will Lieutenant Keith be his primary counsel.

Major Love was contacted on Tuesday, 7 May 1957, by Lt Col Adams and advised that since he could not be present during the course of the investigation that Lieutenant Keith would represent the accused.

NOTE: If additional space is required for any item, enter the additional material on a separate sheet. Be sure to identify such material with the proper numerical and, when appropriate, lettered heading (Example, "5c"). Securely attach any additional sheet to the form and add a note in the appropriate item of the form: "See additional sheet". Any matters considered pursuant to paragraph 34 which are not identifiable with numerical and lettered headings of the form should be added on an additional sheet, which will be referred to and identified in item 17, Remarks.

Item #6, Continued

	Exhibit No.
Statement of Lieutenant Goodnough furnished to OSI	10
Authority to Search, dated 19 October 1956	11
Authority to Search, dated 26 November 1956	12
Group of two obscene pictures	13
Statement of Col Adams furnished to OSI	14
Certificate signed by Colonel Walker	24
Certificate signed by Colonel Walker	25
Certificate of Marriage	26
Consultation Sheet, Lieutenant Goodnough	27
Statement by Captain William J. James	28
Statement by Captain Dan G. Parmer	29
Joint Statement by Group of Medical Officers	30
Certificate, dated 11 April 1957, signed by Colonel J.J. Hornisher, U.S. Army	31
General Order No. 27, Allied Air Forces, Southwest Pacific Area, dated 11 July 1942	32
Statement by Colonel Walker	33

(Continued) Check Appropriate Answer	YES	NO
4. a. IN THE PRESENCE OF THE ACCUSED I HAVE EXAMINED ALL AVAILABLE WITNESSES AND DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE ON BOTH SIDES.	X	
b. I HAVE REDUCED THE MATERIAL TESTIMONY GIVEN BY EACH SUCH WITNESS UNDER DIRECT AND CROSS-EXAMINATION TO A WRITTEN STATEMENT EMBODYING THE SUBSTANCE OF THE TESTIMONY TAKEN ON BOTH SIDES.	X	
c. THE WRITTEN STATEMENTS OF SUCH WITNESSES ARE APPENDED HERETO AS INDICATED:	X	

NAME (and grade) OF WITNESSES WHO WERE PRESENT	ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS	EXHIBIT NO.	
1st Lt Charleen Goodnough	509th Tactical Hospital	15 & 16	X
Special Agent William H. Pittson	OSI	17	X
Special Agent Robert H. Brightwell	OSI	18	X
Major James A. Hanson	812th Air Police Squadron	19	X
Captain Kenton D. Miller	812th Air Police Squadron	20	X
Major Jess M. Hendrix	Headquarters 509th Bomb Wing	21	X
S/Sgt D.A. Morris	Headquarters 812th APSQRU	22	X

5. a. THE SUBSTANCE OF THE EXPECTED TESTIMONY OF EACH OF THE FOLLOWING ABSENT WITNESSES WHOSE PRESENCE WAS NOT REQUESTED BY THE ACCUSED, OR WHO HAVING BEEN REQUESTED WERE NOT AVAILABLE, OR FOR WHOM THE REQUEST WAS WITHDRAWN (see MCM, 1951, par. 34e(2)), HAS BEEN REDUCED TO A WRITTEN STATEMENT WHICH IS APPENDED HERETO AS INDICATED:			

NAME (and grade) OF ABSENT WITNESSES	ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS	EXHIBIT NO.	
Mrs. Clenadine Richards	5301 Alameda Ave, El Paso, Texas	25	X
Special Agent Robert H. Wells	U.S. Secret Service	9	X

b. A COPY OF EACH SUCH WRITTEN STATEMENT HAS BEEN SHOWN TO THE ACCUSED.		
c. IF AN ABSENT WITNESS IS REQUESTED BY THE ACCUSED BUT IS NOT AVAILABLE, ENTER A PROPER EXPLANATION.		

6. THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTS HAVE BEEN EXAMINED, SHOWN TO THE ACCUSED, AND ARE APPENDED AS INDICATED (describe documents):	EXHIBIT NO.	
Group of seven obscene pictures taken from Lt Col Adams' quarters	1	X
Letter, dated "Christmas Day", signed "George"	2	X
Letter, dated 9 January 1956, signed "George"	3	X
Letter, addressed to Mrs. Chas L. Goodnough, dated 15 Jan 56	4	X
Letter, undated, signed "George"	5	X
Letter, undated, signed "George"	6	X
Registration Card from La Fonda Motel, dated "4-19"	7	X
Registration Card from La Fonda Motel, dated "4-27"	8	X

7. THE FOLLOWING DESCRIBED REAL EVIDENCE WAS EXAMINED, SHOWN TO THE ACCUSED, AND IS NOW PRESERVED FOR SAFEKEEPING AS INDICATED:		
IF CERTAIN REAL EVIDENCE WHICH WAS EXAMINED WAS NOT SHOWN TO THE ACCUSED, STATE THE REASONS.		
8. THE ACCUSED AFTER HAVING BEEN INFORMED OF HIS RIGHT TO MAKE A STATEMENT OR REMAIN SILENT:		
a. STATED THAT HE DID NOT DESIRE TO MAKE A STATEMENT.	X	
b. MADE A STAIEMENT APPENDED HERETO (Exhibit).		X

(Continued) Check Appropriate Answer	YES	NO
9. a. THERE WERE REASONABLE GROUNDS FOR INQUIRING INTO THE MENTAL RESPONSIBILITY OF THE ACCUSED AT THE TIME OF THE ALLEGED OFFENSE.		X
b. THERE WERE REASONABLE GROUNDS FOR INQUIRING INTO THE MENTAL CAPACITY OF THE ACCUSED AT THE TIME OF THE INVESTIGATION.		X
c. IF GROUNDS FOR INQUIRY AS TO ACCUSED'S MENTAL CONDITION EXIST, STATE REASONS THEREFOR AND ACTION TAKEN. While there were no grounds for inquiry as to the accused's mental condition he did with his own consent submit to a psychiatric evaluation at William Beaumont Army Hospital, Fort Bliss, Texas. A certificate regarding this evaluation dated 11 April 1957 is attached hereto as Exhibit 32.		
d. A REPORT OF A (board of medical officers) (psychiatrist) IS APPENDED (Exhibit 31).	X	
10. ALL ESSENTIAL WITNESSES WILL BE AVAILABLE IN THE EVENT OF TRIAL. (If any essential witness(es) will not be so available, list name, address, reason for nonavailability, and recommendation, if any, whether a deposition should be taken.)	X	
11. EXPLANATORY OR EXTENUATING CIRCUMSTANCES ARE SUBMITTED HEREWITH.		X
12. a. I HAVE INVESTIGATED AND FIND NO PRIOR CONVICTION(S) OF OFFENSES COMMITTED WITHIN THE THREE YEARS NEXT PRECEDING THE COMMISSION OF AN OFFENSE WITH WHICH THE ACCUSED IS NOW CHARGED (MCM, 1951, par. 75b(2)) AND DURING--	X	
(1) A CURRENT ENLISTMENT, VOLUNTARY EXTENSION OF ENLISTMENT, APPOINTMENT, OR OTHER ENGAGEMENT OR OBLIGATION FOR SERVICE OF THE ACCUSED, OR	X	
(2) THE LAST ENLISTMENT, APPOINTMENT, OR OTHER ENGAGEMENT OR OBLIGATION FOR SERVICE OF THE ACCUSED WHICH TERMINATED UNDER OTHER THAN HONORABLE CONDITIONS OR FROM WHICH THE ACCUSED DESERTED AND SUBSEQUENTLY ENLISTED.		X
b. A COPY OF THE RECORD OF PRIOR CONVICTIONS IS APPENDED (Exhibit 3)	X	
13. IN ARRIVING AT MY CONCLUSIONS I HAVE CONSIDERED NOT ONLY THE NATURE OF THE OFFENSE(S) AND THE EVIDENCE IN THE CASE, BUT I HAVE LIKEWISE CONSIDERED THE AGE OF THE ACCUSED, HIS MILITARY SERVICE, AND THE ESTABLISHED POLICY THAT TRIAL BY GENERAL COURT-MARTIAL WILL BE RESORTED TO ONLY WHEN THE CHARGES CAN BE DISPOSED OF IN NO OTHER MANNER CONSISTENT WITH MILITARY DISCIPLINE.	X	
14. THE CHARGES AND SPECIFICATIONS ARE IN PROPER FORM AND ARE SUPPORTED BY THE AVAILABLE EVIDENCE. (If the answer is "NO", explain and indicate recommended action on additional sheet.)		X
15. ANY INCLOSURES RECEIVED BY ME WITH THE CHARGES AND NOT LISTED ABOVE AS AN EXHIBIT ARE SECURELY FASTENED TOGETHER AND APPENDED HERETO AS ONE EXHIBIT (Exhibit). (If no such inclosures were received, check "NO".)	X	
16. (Check appropriate box ONLY if trial is recommended.) See additional sheet, Item #17 TRIAL BY ☐ GENERAL ☐ SPECIAL ☐ SUMMARY COURT-MARTIAL IS RECOMMENDED.		X
17. REMARKS. (Check "NO" if there are none. Check "YES" and identify the additional sheet on which they appear if there are remarks.) Additional Sheet	X	

TYPED NAME, RANK, AND ORGANIZATION OF INVESTIGATING OFFICER
WALTER C. RAMEE, JR., Colonel, USAF, 4711A
Hq 509th Bombardment Wing, (M)

SIGNATURE
Walter C. Ramee Jr.

REMARKS

The adduced evidence is aligned with the elements of proof as follows:

Charge I: Violation of the Uniform Code of Military Justice, Article 134

Specifications 1 and 2: Adultery

The testimony of 1st Lt Charleen Goodnough is both evasive and inconsistent concerning this charge and at most her testimony was that she cannot remember if sexual intercourse occurred or not, (Exhibits 14 and 15). Due to this lack of convincing testimony, I do not feel that the charge of adultery is properly supported by the evidence.

Specifications 3 and 4: False Registration

The records of the La Fonda Motel show that on the dates alleged two persons registered as "Dr. & Mrs George F. Adams" and occupied accommodations in this motel. The registration cards (Exhibits 7 and 8) reflect that the occupants utilized an Oldsmobile, New Mexico License Number 4-3150 on 19 and 20 April 1956 and a 1956 Mercury, New Mexico License Number 4-13866, on 27 and 28 April 1956. The records of the Motor Vehicle Division of the State of New Mexico show that license number 4-3150 was issued to George Adams, and license number 4-13866 was issued to Charleen Goodnough. It is the opinion of Robert B. Wells, Special Agent, Treausry Department, that Lt Col George F. Adams is the author of the writing on the two registration cards (Exhibits 7 and 8). Lieutenant Goodnough testified that during this period of time she twice accompanied the accused to El Paso and registered at the La Fonda Motel as man and wife. Lieutenant Goodnough also testified that she is not now and never has been married to the accused. Furthermore, the accused admitted to Lieutenant Goodnough that he was a married man during the time of their relationship. The records of the Department of Public Health of the State of California show that George F. Adams was married to Veolta McKinley in that state on the 24th of December of 1929.

Specification 5: Possession of Obscene Pictures

On 22 October 1956 a search of the quarters of the accused was conducted by S/A William H. Pittson, S/A Charles Callahan, Major James A. Hanson and Captain Kenton D. Miller and seven obscene photographs of both males and females committing acts of fellatio, sodomy and cunnilingus (Exhibit 1). These photographs were found in the accused's dresser drawer, face down, under the paper on the bottom of the drawer. At the time of the search, the accused was the only occupant of these quarters. Previously the accused had shared these quarters with Major Jess Hendrix who had occupied the room which the accused occupied at the time of the search. Major Hendrix recognized Exhibit 1 as photographs from his collection but denied that he had ever given Exhibit 1 to the accused. The accused stated that he had received the photographs from Major Hendrix "last" fall.

Charge II: Violation of the Uniform Code of Military Justice, Article 125

Specifications 1, 2 and 3; Sodomy

Lieutenant Goodnough testified that once during the month of January in Colonel Adams' quarters at Walker Air Force Base and twice during the month of April in the La Fonda Motel At El Paso, Texas, she had unnatural relationships with the accused. During these relationships Lieutenant Goodnough and the accused drank heavily. Following this the accused began kissing and petting Lieutenant Goodnough. The accused began kissing Lieutenant Goodnough's breasts, stomach and vagina and took Lieutenant Goodnough's breasts and vagina into his mouth.

Charge III: Violation of the Uniform Code of Military Justice, Article 133

Specification 1, 2 and 3:

Same proof as set out above.

(Continued on attached sheet.)

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE : 1951 O - 935995

Item #17, Remarks, Continued

I have fully investigated the charges pending against Lieutenant Colonel George Furman Adams, A0257543, and am of the opinion that the evidence and witnesses examined support the charges.

I have fully taken into consideration the total military service of the accused and the decorations previously awarded to him. I have known him personally for the past two and one half years, during which time I have had adequate opportunity to observe him both in an official and unofficial capacity. I bear no malice or prejudice for or against the accused and have conducted my investigation in an impartial manner.

The evidence and testimony taken during the investigation leave no doubt in my mind that the accused committed the offenses enumerated in the Charge Sheet with the exception of the two charges of adultery. However, the primary witness for the prosecution is extremely weak and due to the time which has elapsed since the alleged acts took place, is not sure at times of her replies and often in error as to dates, times, places and happenings. Only when shown exhibits obtained during the course of OSI investigations does she recall the basis for the charges against the accused. I seriously doubt that she would be a strong and convincing witness before any courts-martial or that her testimony would withstand a strong cross-examination by the defense.

Lieutenant Colonel Adams' current tour of service was to have terminated on 31 May 1957. In this regard it is desired to point out that it is entirely possible that the accused will exert every effort to defend himself against the charges. Should it be necessary for him to obtain civilian counsel as indicated in paragraph 3e of the Investigating Officer's Report, it is entirely possible that the trial would come to the attention of local news media and/or publications alert to sensational publicity, in which event Walker Air Force Base and/or the United States Air Force would stand to be the loser.

Bearing the above factors in mind and considering the fact that this is the first offense committed by the accused during a period of 10 years of active and 18 years of reserve service, and the charges, while a year old, have never come to public knowledge, as Investigating Officer I make the following recommendations in order of priority:

1. That Lieutenant Colonel George F. Adams be given non-judicial punishment under Article 15, Uniform Code of Military Justice and that he be retained in the military service pending action by a board of officers under AFR 36-2.

2. That Lieutenant Colonel George F. Adams be given non-judicial punishment under Article 15, Uniform Code of Military Justice and separated from the service and that Continental Air Command initiate necessary action under AFR 36-2, utilizing the information contained in this report of investigation.

3. That Lieutenant Colonel George F. Adams be tried by a General Court-Martial for the charges enumerated in the Charge Sheet, dated 2 May 1957.

William G. Walker

WILLIAM G. WALKER, JR.
Colonel, USAF
Investigating Officer

Transmittal of CM Charges, Lt Col George F. Adams, 6th TACHOSP, 6th BOMWG, H

BJA (2 May 57) 1st Ind MAY 6 1957

HEADQUARTERS 812TH AIR BASE GROUP, Walker Air Force Base, New Mexico

TO: Colonel William G. Walker, Junior, Headquarters Squadron Section, 509th Bombardment Wing, Medium, Walker Air Force Base, New Mexico.

You are hereby designated to investigate, in accordance with paragraph 34, MCM, 1951, and the provisions of Article 32, Uniform Code of Military Justice, the charges herewith attached.

BY ORDER OF THE COMMANDER:

1 Incl
n/c

J. J. REICHEL
Captain, USAF
Adjutant

TRANSMITTAL OF COURT-MARTIAL CHARGES

DATE: 2 May 1957

TO: Commander
812th Air Base Group
Walker Air Force Base
New Mexico

FROM: 6th Tactical Hospital
6th Bombardment Wing (Heavy)
Walker Air Force Base
New Mexico

COURT-MARTIAL CHARGES AGAINST *(Name, Grade, Serial Number)*

GEORGE FURMAN ADAMS, Lieutenant Colonel, A0257543

1. In compliance with paragraph 32f, Manual for Court-Martial, United States, 1951, forwarded herewith (as inclosures) are the charge sheets and allied papers to institute court-martial action against the above named individual.

2. The general nature of this case is Sodomy, Adultery, and conduct unbecoming an officer

(Insert brief description of offense, such as, AWOL from date A to date B, Larceny of watch worth $12.00)

3. The known evidence in this case, including witnesses, documents, and objects (such as weapons, stolen property, narcotics, etc) is indicated on the front page of the charge sheet and may be obtained at the places stated there. To the extent practical, the evidence and signed sworn statements from the witnesses are attached hereto.

4. a. The following evidence is not available None

b. To obtain this needed evidence I have taken these actions, namely, _____

5. The Air Police, OSI, FBI, OSI have made a written report of the results of an investigation pertinent to this case, a copy of which is (attached)(available at) OSI, Walker AFB, New Mexico

6. The subject has No previous convictions by general court-martial, no previous convictions by special court-martial, no previous convictions by summary court-martial, and no punishments under Article 15, UCMJ.

7. Personal data concerning subject is as follows: *(Do not get this information from accused. If some of it cannot be obtained, enter ''Unknown'')*

a. Date of Birth: Caucasian 2 February 1907	i. Last Character and Efficiency Rating prior to present offense Unknown
b. Places where most pre-service life spent San Francisco, California	j. Foreign Service including dates and places Asiatic-Pacific command- departed Z/I 21 Nov 41, returned Z/I 28 Sep 44
c. Years of Schooling twenty	k. Present AFSC 9356D
d. Chief Civilian Occupation Physician & Surgeon	l. Highest military grade or rank held in any Armed Force Lieutenant Colonel
e. Civilian Convictions Unknown	m. Decorations and awards AmerDefSvMed DistUnitBadge SilverStarMed Asia-PacCpnMed(2BS) PhilippineDefRib(1BS) Arm ForcesResMed(1HG) WW II Vic Med Natl Def Sv Med
f. Marital Status, including number of dependents Married - 3 dependents	
g. Military Service prior to present enlistment, showing length, dates, and Armed Force. 21Jan29 to 90ct40 Res Not on EAD (54 days AD during pd). 100ct40 to 9Dec45 (See Back)	n. AGCT, AFQT, or AQE Test Scores, List all testing dates available. Unknown
h. Present Military Service, showing length, dates, and term Date of EAD 1 Jun 54 for 3 years to terminate 31 May 57	o. Time to be made good in accordance with Manual for Courts-Martial 1951, page 453, prior to this offense None

8. I recommend trial by General court-martial. The subject (~~has~~) (has not) been offered punishment under Article 15, UCMJ, for this offense.

9. I (recommend) (~~do not recommend~~) that the subject be separated from the service with a punitive discharge.

10. Administrative action (~~is~~) (is not) pending to separate subject from the service. If such action is pending, it is pending under AFR _____ and is in this status, namely, _____

11. If subject is not sentenced to a punitive discharge, I (intend) (~~do not intend~~) to take action seeking his administrative discharge.

INCL:	TYPED NAME AND GRADE	SIGNATURE
Charge Sheets	LEE F. FERRELL Colonel, USAF (MC)	Lee F. Ferrell

15AF FORM 5 JUL 56 304 FC 4820

AIR FORCE - HQ 15AF, MARCH 7873

7. g. (Continued)

Active Federal Comm Sv. 10Dec45 to 31Jul50 Res not on EAD (15 days AD during this period).1Aug50 to 29Feb52 - Active Federal Comm Sv. 1Mar52 to 31 May 54 - Res not on EAD (30 days AD during this pd.

REFER TO FILE NO. 4-1-123.0

TREASURY DEPARTMENT

UNITED STATES SECRET SERVICE

FIELD FORCE

OFFICE Albuquerque, New Mexico

ADDRESS: P. O. LOCK BOX NO. 472

December 19, 1956

Paul M. Nold, Lt. Col., USAF
District Commander, 17th District
Office of Special Investigations
Kirtland Air Force Base, New Mexico

Re: George Furman Adams (DOB 2 Feb 07)
Lt. Col. AO-257543
509th Tactical Hospital
Walker Air Force Base, New Mexico

Exhibit 9

Dear Colonel Nold:

Reference is made to my conversation with Special Agent Mathew J. Doyle December 10, 1956 with reference to a handwriting examination regarding certain correspondence and registration cards.

The material described below has been submitted for examination, comparison, and report. The enclosures transmitted with your reference are returned herewith. Photographs have not been made; therefore, if this matter should require further attention, it is suggested that the original documents should be photographically enlarged at least 12 dimensions:

Questioned: Q-1. Registration card signed in blue ink in the name of Dr. & Mrs. George F. Adams, WAFB, Roswell, N. M. State, N.M.; Car License 4-3150, State, N. M.; Make of Car, Olds; No. of persons, 2, with a date stamp of April 21 AM and penciled figures of 4-19 on the face thereof.

Q-2. Registration card written in blue ink with the names of Dr. & Mrs. George F. Adams, Lt. Col., WAFB, Roswell, NM; State, N. M.; Car License 4-13866; State, N. M.; Make of Car, Mercury, 1956; No. of persons, 2, with a penciled notation of 4-27 on the face thereof.

Standard : S-1. Envelope addressed to Charleen Goodnough containing a three page letter written in blue ink on unruled, white paper, dated 25 December, 1955, Christmas Day.

S-2. Envelope written in blue ink addressed to Charlene Goodnough and an 8 page letter dated 9 January, 1956, written in blue ink on rulled, white paper.

S-3. Envelope addressed to Charleen Goodnough, written in blue ink, containing a 7 page letter dated 15 January, 1956, written in black ink on white, unruled paper, addressed to Mrs. Charles L. Goodnough, Box 133, 310 College Street, Simpsonville, S. C. (This address is written in blue ink.)

S-4. An undated four-page letter written on white, unruled paper with blue ink.

S-5. An envelope addressed to Charlene Goodnough, written in blue ink, containing an undated three-page letter, written on white, ruled paper, with blue ink.

Report : It is my opinion that Lt. Colonel George F. Adams is the author of the inked writing on the two registration cards identified as "Q-1 and Q-2."

We are returning the above described material, together with a chain of custody receipt properly executed.

Very truly yours,

Robert B. Wells
Special Agent in Charge

Encls.

EXHIBIT 9

C.B

Walker AFB
Roswell, New Mexico
15 October 56

I, Charles Goodnough / Lt AO 2344355 hereby state that before I was interrogated by or requested to furnish a statement to WILLIAM H. PITTSON, who identified himself to me as a Special Agent of the Office of Special Investigations, United States Air Force, this Special Agent read Article 31 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice to me and informed me that the nature of the offense he is investigating is as follows: Sodomy

and advised me that I did not have to make any statement regarding this offense, and that any statements made by me may be used as evidence against me in a trial by court-martial.

I hereby further state that, after the aforementioned explanation, I do hereby voluntarily and of my own free will make the following statement without having been subject to any coercion, unlawful influence or unlawful inducement and without any promise of reward, benefit, or any immunity having been made to me:

Exhibit 10

While being indoctrinated into the U.S.A.F. at Hunter AFB, Ga I first met George F. Adams Lt. Col. on Saturday afternoon 3 Dec. 1955. The meeting was very casual, a simple drink date.

Nothing more happened during the remaining time at Hunter.

On Friday 16 December 1955 after being dep to accompany him to Walker A.F.B. we stopped over in Shreveport, La. At a motel I registering under phy name & he doing the same. After being highly intoxicated (6) after drinking in my room for approx two hours sexual intercourse did take place. I do not recall if this action was

C.A.

natural relations between males & female or if unnatural relations occurred.

On Saturday, 7 December 1955 while enroute we stopped over at a motel in Dallas, Texas registered as man & wife. This I did not know until later in the evening. Again after drinking very heavily, sexual intercourse did occur. I do not remember if the relations were natural or unnatural.

After arriving at Walker AFB I continued seeing Col Adams on one occasion at his home located on Gunnison Circle after drinking very heavily sexual relations occurred which in my opinion were unnatural. He started kissing me, breast, stomach, thighs, & vagina & after tonguing me over a long period of time I did come.

Col Adams & I went to El Paso Texas in the month of January & the same act as described above occurred. This took place at a motel in El Paso where we were registered as man & wife. I believe

to be the Flamingo.

To the best of my knowledge in April 1956 the same Act as described in previous paragraphs took place at the same motel in El Paso which I believe to be the Flamingo.

As a result of getting Col Adams would become very passionate. His breathing would become very rapid, he would use unnatural, animal like tactics. He would also lick the Condom from my Vagina.

After a period of time with this type of relationship I broke off with Col Adams.

I further state that I have read this entire statement initialed all pages, corrections, and that it is correct and true be written.

Charleen Goodnough AF AB46350 50th Tac Sq.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 10 day of Oct 1956 at Walker Air Force Base, New Mexico, a person authorized by law to administer oaths.

Witness:

Gladys Worthington
Sec OSI

Wm H. Pittson
WILLIAM H. PITTSON
Special Agent OSI

EXHIBIT 10'

AUTHORITY TO SEARCH

Walker Air Base, New Mexico
(Place)

19 October 1956
(Date)

Authority is hereby granted to Special Agent(s) WILLIAM H. PITTSON

CHARLES CAILAHAN, Office of Special Investigations,
IG, USAF, to search, in the course of ~~his~~ (their) investigation into

SODOMY & NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS
(Nature of Offense)

with the necessary and proper assistance of Major James A. Hanson Jr, Provost Marshal,

the residence located at 3 Gunnison Circle

Walker AFB, N. M. of Lt Colonel GEORGE F. ADAMS, AO-257543
(Name, Grade, Serial No., Organization)

and to seize any property pertinent to such investigation.

George W. Porter
(Signature)

GEORGE W. PORTER, Col, 2178A
(Name, Grade, Serial No., Title)
Air Base Commander
812th AB Gp, Walker AFB, N. M.
(Organization and Station)

A-4
7 Jan 54

Exhibit 11

EXHIBIT 11

AUTHORITY TO SEARCH

Walker AFB, New Mexico
26 November 1956

Authority is hereby granted to Special Agents WILLIAM H. PITTSON and ROBERT H. BRIGHTWELL, Office of Special Investigations, IG, USAF to search in the course of their investigation into

SEX OFFENSES
(Nature of Offense)

with the necessary and proper assistance of Major JAMES A. HANSON JR, Provost Marshal and Captain KENTON D. MILLER, Ass't Provost Marshal, the residence located at 3 Gunnison Circle; the office facilities located at the Base Hospital; the personal automobile, all located on Walker Air Force Base, New Mexico, of Lt Colonel GEORGE F. ADAMS, AO-257543, and to seize any property pertinent to such investigation.

GEORGE W. PORTER
Colonel, USAF, 2178A
Air Base Commander
812th Air Base Group
Walker Air Force Base, N. M.

Exhibit 12

EXHIBIT 12

TESTIMONY
of
CHARLENE GOODNOUGH
1STLT USAF

Given to WILLIAM G. WALKER, JR., Colonel, USAF, Headquarters 509th Bombardment Wing, Walker Air Force Base, New Mexico, Investigating Officer

13 May 1957.

Having been duly sworn, the witness testified as follows on attached sheets.

WILLIAM G. WALKER, JR.,
Colonel USAF
Investigating Officer

TESTIMONY OF 1ST LT CHARLENE GOODNAUGH

Q. State your name, grade and organization.
A. First Lieutenant Charlene Goodnaugh.

Q. Member of the United States Air Force?
A. Yes.

Q. Do you know Colonel Adams?
A. Yes, I do.

Q. What was the first occasion on which you met Colonel Adams?
A. In Montgomery, Alabama when I was getting indoctrinated in November 1955.

Q. You were attending school there?
A. Yes.

Q. What lead up to your meeting him?
A. Somebody called me and told me that Colonel Adams was going back to Walker and that I was welcome to go back with him.

Q. How did you first meet him?
A. He called me in my quarters.

Q. About when was this?
A. This was on the following Saturday.

Q. Do you rember what date that was?
A. The 22nd of November 1955.

Q. When did you have occasion to meet him personally?
A. He came over that same day.

Q. And did you have occasion to meet him after that?
A. Yes.

Q. Would you explain?
A. We would go to lunch together every day, and in the evening we would go to dinner and to the club or something like that.

Q. You said you were attending school, so other than the time spentin class, would you say you spent the rest of your time with him?
A. Three-fourths of it.

Q. This was spent in going to lunch and other social engagements?
A. Yes.

Q. During the time you were in Montgomery, did Colonel Adams ever show you any pictures?
A. Once, yes.

Q. Where was this?
A. We were in the car and were taling about it and he gave me the picture to look at at my place and I was to give them back to him.

Q. What were you talking about at that time?
A. Just a general discussion on love, sex and marriage--nothing in particular.

Q. I show you exhibit 1 and ask if you recognize these piectures.
A. I do.

EXHIBIT 15-1

Q. And what are they?
A. They are the pictures or duplicates of the ones he gave me.

Q. The actions of the people are the same?
A. Yes.

Q. Did you ever have occasion to see these or any other similar pictures again?
A. No.

Q. You mentioned previously travelling back to Walker. How did you travel back?
A. In his car.

Q. And when did you leave for Walker?
A. On a Friday afternoon.

Q. The 16th of December?
A. Yes.

Q. Can you tell us the route you took-through what towns?
A. From Montogmery through Baton Rouge and Jackson and Vicksburg the first day.

Q. You got to Vicksburg the first day?
A. Yes.

Q. Is that were you stayed?
A. Yes, it is.

Q. I notice in a previous statement you said you stopped in Shreveport. Could you explain this?
A. I'm sure it was Vicksburg. It was the last town before you cross the bridge over the Mississippi.

Q. And where did you stay at Vicksburg--what type of accomodations?
A. They were fairly nice.

Q. A motel?
A. Yes.

Q. What accomodations did you have?
A. We had adjoining rooms.

Q. Did you register yourself?
A. The first night I did.

Q. Do you recall how you registered?
A. In my own name.

Q. Did you have occasion to be with Colonel Adams that particular evening?
A. Yes.

Q. And what took place?
A. We drank quite a bit.

Q. What did you have to drink?
A. Whisky.

Q. What amounts, do you recall?
A. A fifth, as I recall

Q. How would you estimate your condition, feeling good or drunk or what?
A. I would say we weren't drunk. We were mentally alert.

Q. After drinking, did you have any relations with Colonel Adams?
A. Yes.

Q. What was this relation.?
A. It just started---.

Q. What was the result?
A. An unnatural relation.

Q. Was it a sexual relation?
A. No.

Q. There was no intercourse?
A. No not natural.

Q. This was in Vicksburg, was it not?
A. Yes.

Q. And you say you had something to drink?
A. Right.

Q. That was the first night after you left Montgomery?
A. Yes.

Q. Was there a natural sexual relation that occurred that night?
A. Not that I remember.

Q. In the statement you have given to the OSI you related that "after drinking in my room for approximately two hours, sexual intercourse did take place", was this true?
A. It wasn't natuaral.

Q. Could you describe it?
A. It was the same thing I described--he put his mouth on parts of my body and things like that.

Q. This took place in your room?
A. Yes.

Q. And what were these acts for the benefit of the record. Could you describe how this relationship progressed?
A. I'm sorry . .

Q. What took place exactly after you had been drinking in your room?
A. It started out just by him kissing me and touching my body and it ended up by him touching my vagina.

Q. How were you dressed?
A. I wasn't nude.

Q. How were you dressed?
A. Just in my slip and bra.

Q. Did he take off your slip and bra?
A. He took my bra off.

PROS. EX. 16 & 16A

Q. And you say he founled and touched you?
A. Yes.

Qa And he kissed you?
A. Yes

Q. Where?
A. On my breast.

Q. Did he take parts of your body into his mouth?
A. Yes.

Q. Which part?
A. My breasts and he put his tongue in my vagina.

Q. After you left Vicksburg where did you go?
A. Into Dallas the nest day.

Q. That would be Saturday, the 17th?
A. Yes.

Q. Did you stay there?
A. Yes.

Q. In a motel?
A. Yes.

Q. Did you register yourself?
A. No, I didn't.

Q. What type of accommodations did you have?
A. A double room.

Q. How were you registered?
A. As man and wife.

Q. Did you know this at the time he registered?
A. No, I didn't.

Q. How were you feeling then?
A. Terrible--vomiting, nervous and upset.

Q. What would you class this as?
A. It was minor I'm sure. It wasn't anything to be disturbed about--just vomiting and nervous like mild food poisoning.

Q. Being a nurse, would you say that your condition warranted the constant attention of a physician?
A. No.

Q. That evening, did you overcome your sickness?
A. Yes.

Q. That evening, did you overcome your sickness?
A. Yes.

Q. What took place, similar acts?
A. Yes, similar.

Q. Did you have anything to drink?
A. Yes.

Q. You say similar, do you mean substantially the same?
A. Yes.

Q. Was there anything that was not the same?
A. Not that I can recall.

Q. That was the Saturday night you spen in Dallas, and from there where did you go?
A. We came on to Walker.

Q. Where were you assigned at Walker?
A. On general duty.

Q. At the Base Hospital?
A. Yes.

Q. Was Colonel Adams also assigned to the hospital?
A. Yes.

Q. Did you have occasion to see Colonel Adams after you returned to Walker?
A. Definitely. On duty and off duty too.

Q. After you arrived, can you describe how often you saw him and generally where you saw him?
A. Every day I would see him on duty and six out of seven days he would take me to dinner or some place.

Q. Did you ever have occasion to see him in your quarters or his quarters?
A. Yes, in his quarters.

Q. Do you recall if this occurred occasionally or often?
A. Just once.

Q. Where you ever in his quarters on any other occasion?
A. Yes, to have a couple of drinks or something.

Q. At any time, did you have any other relationship with him?
A. Just the one time.

Q. How would you describe it?
A. The same as before.

Q. Do you know ehen this occurred?
A. It was between January and about the 10 or 12th of February.

Q. How are you able to place the date?
A. He was on leave in December and January and then they went TDY in February.

Q. Did you ever receive any correspondence from Colonel Adams?
A. Yes very often.

Q. How did you receive it?
A. They were left in my quarters.

Q. Were they mailed to you?
A. No.

Q. Delivered personally?
A. Yes.

Q. I show you Exhibit 2 and ask if you recognize it?
A. Yes, I do.

Q. Do you remember how this was delivered to you?
A. That was left in my quarters.

Q. In reading this letter, I notice the statement that he left the base about 1 A.M. on Christmas Day for Albuquerque. Had you seen him previous to his leaving?

A. Yes.

Q. When was this?
A. The night before at La Cima.

Q. What took place at La Cima?
A. We just had a misunderstanding. We were at the bar drinking and I was talking to a fellow sitting beside me.

Q. When did he put this in your quarters, do you know?
A. That was after he came back from leave.

Q. You say he went on leave the next morning after you had been out to La Cima is that right?
A. Yes.

Q. Did you receive other letters from him?
A. Yes, many.

Q. I show you Exhibit 3. Do you recognize it?
A. Yes.

A. Yes.

Q. What is it?
A. You mean which occasion did I get it on?
Q. Yes.

A. It was follwoing a previous engagement I had with him and brok it.

Q. There is a statement on here that says, "you lied to me last night." Can you give us the background on that?
A. Not that I recall.

Q. Also on the same page there is a statement, "I have just tried to be nice to you and love you. I would have done anything in the world for you. I worshipped the ground you walked on. And all I got is a bad time from you except the two time you pretended to love me." What two times is he making reference to there?
A. He is referring to the two times coming from Montgomery. That was the only two times previous to the letter that anything like that took place.

Q. I show you Exhibit 4 and ask if you recognize it?
A. Yes, I do.

Q. This is what?
A. This is a duplicate of the letter he sent my father and mother.

Q. How did you receive it?
A. By delivery. He brought it when I wasn't home.

Q. How do you know this is a duplicate?
A. He told me.

Q. This is a carbon copy?
A. Yes.

Q. This is the copy that he actually delivered to you?
A. Yes, it is.

Q. I show you Exhibit 5 and ask if you recognize it?
A. Yes, it was written when I was in the hospital as a patient.

Q. What was your occasion to be in the hospital?
A. A fractured knee.

Q. When did this occur?
A. The 5th or 6th of February.

Q. What happened that fixes this date?
A. It happened around 6 o'clock in the afternoon. We were planning to go to El Paso for the week end and I slipped on the floor.

Q. What happened when he came over?

A. I told him I fell and hurt my knee and we couldn't go. He got real mad and wouldn't take me to the hospital, so I had to get somebody else to take me.

Q. What else did he do?
A. He was screaming at me and kicked the bed.

Q. On page 3 of Exhibit 5, he says, "I'm not trying to excuse my childish display of temper at your apartment." There was no excuse for that. But I really was not seriously worried about your knee. Surely you injured it, but it was not as bad as you made it out to be. At the time there wasn't even much swelling. By tomorrow you should be O.K. and be able to go home. Perhaps I should have been kinder and more sympathetic in my attitude towards you. But it seems that always something happens to keep us apart. The God damnest things happen." What was he talking about when he referred to his childish display of temper?
A. The temper he displayed in my apartment.

Q. What did he mean by "something always happens to keep us apart"?
A. Just like me falling or something like that or somebody would come around.

Q. This time you fell, what were your plans?
A. Just to go to El Paso for the week end.

Q. This interrupted your plans?
A. Yes, it did.

Q. I show you Exhibit 6, do you recognize it?
A. Yes, it was written while I was in the hospital.

Q. How did you receive it?
A. He delivered it to the hospital and the nurse brought it to me.

Q. This was at the time you had the injured kneee?
A. Yes.

Q. Reading from page 1, about the middle of the page, "I know that you won't forgive me. I know that this is it. When you say you are finished you mean it, just like with Don. And I know you meant it." What is he referring to when he said you were through?
A. I told him I was through with him. I didn't want to see him any more.

Q. Was this while you were in the hospital or in your apartment or where?
A. I told him at home and in the hospital--this was while I was in the hospital I believe.

Q. During the month of February before this injury took place, did you encourage him at any of your meetings?
A. No.

Q. What did you do?
A. I discourged him.

Q. So this was in reference to one of the times you did that?
A. Yes, when I said that I was referring to just being friends or anything. I was through period.

Q. On the last page, the last paragraph, he says "I'm going to your place now and get my boots and suitcase. So Charleen, goodbye and best of luck. I'll be leaving Tuesday or Wednesday and you won't be bothered with me again. Thanks for everything." What is the background on that?
A. He had his boots and suitcase at my place since we were going to El Paso for the week end.

Q. He said he was leaving Tuesday or Wednesday, do you know where he was going?

A. TDY.

Q. Was this TDY the one with the 509th?
A. Yes.

Q. Where?
A. We had written all the time he was in England.

Q. He had written?
A. Yes.

Q. Had you answered him?
A. Yes.

Q. Then what happened?
A. When he came back we would go to dinner and the same thing started again.

Q. Did you ever take any other trips with him?
A. To El Paso, yes.

Q. How many times did you go to El Paso with him?
A. Twice.

Q. Do you know of any occasion when he might have gone to El Paso with someone else?
A. No.

Q. How did you go?
A. In my car once and in his car once.

Q. Where did you stay in El Paso?
A. At Del Camino.

Q. Did you stay at any other place?
A. No, it was the same place both times.

Q. You say in your statement to the OSI that you stayed at the Flamingo.
A. I couldn't get the two places straight, I couldn't remember Del Camino.

Q. Did you stay at the La Fonda Motel?
A. That was right across the street from the Del Camino. I believe one time we stayed there but I'm not sure.

Q. When you went down to El Paso, how long did you stay?
A. Usually we would leave in the afternoon after I got off duty and I have the following two days off. We would stay that night, the next night, and come back the following day.

Q. When you went to El Paso on these two occasions, do you know how you were registered?
A. We registered as man and wife so he said and we would have a single room with a double bed.

Q. What would you do in El Paso?
A. We would go to Juarez in the evening and go to dinner and come back to the motel.

Q. What took place after you got back to the motel?
A. We would be drinking and then we would have unnatural sex acts.

Q. Were thses similar or different from those you dexcribed previously?
A. Similar.

Q. Did Colonel Adams ever tell you what his marital status was?
A. Yes, he told me he was married and his wife was sick and in a mental institution.

Q. When did he tell you this?
A. When we first met.

Q. On these occasions when you met Colonel Adams and had something to drink, who would bring the liquor?
A. He always brought the whiskey.

Q. After you had first arrived here from Gunther, you kept on seeing Colonel Adams, didn't you?
A. Yes.

Q. How long did you keep on seeing him?
A. He went TDY in February, and from about April until May I saw him.

Q. You testified that on two occasions you went to El Paso together, I show you Exhibit 7, which is a registration card and ask you if you recognize the writing or any information on it?
A. It is his writing and his car listed on it.

Q. Could this have been from one of the times you were in El Paso?
A. Yes.

Q. I'll show you Exhibit 8 and ask if you recognize it?
A. Yes, this is my car listed on it.

Q. Whose writing is it?
A. It is his writing.

Q. On each occasion the number of persons is listed as two. I notice the dates 27 and 28 April on this one card, and you say that you kept on seeing him until about May of 1956, that would be shortly after the second time you went to El Paso?
A. Yes they were two week ends in a row.

Q. Thereafter, did you still see him?
A. Not nearly so much. We just gradually broke off.

Q. At whose suggestion?
A. Mine.

Q. From the time you arrived at Walker until you broke off, did you encourge being with him, was it his suggestions, or mutual?
A. No, it wasn't mutual. He suggested it more or less and I went along with him unless I had something else planned.

Q. Did you ever make a statement concerning Dr. Adams and yourself to the OSI here?
A. Yes.

Q. I show you Exhibit 9, do you recognize it?
A. Yes.

Q. Were you ever contacted by anyone other than the personnel of the OSI concerning this statement you have made?
A. Yes, I was telephoned by someone.

Q. Do you know who called you?
A. I never did.

Q. What was the nature of the conversation?
A. They called and said that they were calling about the statement I made and that I was lying and that I should withdraw my statement.

Q. Did Colonel Adams ever talk to you about it?
A. Yes, just in the hospital.

Q. What was the nature of that conversation?
A. He stopped me and wanted to know what was going on.

Q. This was after you made the statement?
A. Yes.

Q. Was anything else said?
A. I told him what I told the OSI and that is about all.

Q. Were you ever married to Colonel Adams at any time?
A. No.

Q. You were not married during the time you were seeing him?
A. No.

Q. Concerning your relationship, you testified that you occupied a motel room with Colonel Adams in Vicksburg, in Dallas, in his quarters and in El Paso on two weekends. Each time you stated that you had certain relations with him. Were all these similar?
A. They were.

Q. Did at any time you have sexual intercourse with him?
A. Not that I can say definitely. It has been so long ago I can't recall.

Q. In Vicksburg and Dallas the only relationship you recall are those you described earlier?

A. Yes.

COL WALKER: As the Investigating Officer, in this case, I feel that the letter which I have in my hand from the Secret Service, Treasury Department, dated the 19th of December 1956, which gives an official opinion By Special Agent Robert B. Wells, to the effect that an analysis of handwriting on certain correspondence and registration cards establishes the fact that Lt Col George F. Adams is the author of the ink writing on the two registration cards submitted as Exhibits 7 and 8, should be changed from Exhibit 10 to Exhibit 9.

COL WALKER: Lt Goodnough, do you you fully understand the difference between a normal sex relation and an unnatural sex realtion, as covered in the Manual for Courts-Martial? (Colonel Walker then read Article 125, UCMJ to the witness.) "It is unnatural carnal copulation for a person to take into his or her mouth or anus the sexual organ of another person or of an animal; or to place his or her sexaul organ in the mouth or anus of another person or of an animal; or to have carnal copulation in any opening of the body, except the sexual parts, with another person; or to have carnal copulation in any opening of the body of an animal." In response to the questioning of Lt Bauerle, you stated that you had never had a normal sexual intercourse with Colonel Adams, is that correct?
A. They weren't normal--it wasn't like any normal person would have intercourse.

Q. In other words, to answer my my question directly, I'll phrase it in two parts. First, have you ever had normal sexual intercourse with Colonel Adams?
A. No, sir, I haven't

Q. Second, did Colonel Adams ever take your sexual organs into his mouth?
A. Yes, he did.

Q. During the course of the above, did he at any time place his tongue into your sexual organs?

A. Yes, Sir.

Q. Did these unnatural acts you have described ever lead up to yourself and Colonel Adams having normal sexual intercourse?
A. After they took place I would be so disgusted I couldn't.

Questions by the Defense Counsel

Q. When you first came in you were placed under oath. I'll ask you to remember that. Do you recall an interview you had with me in my office?
A. Yes

Q. Do you recall approximately when it was?
A. Probably five weeks ago.

Q. Do you recall the facts as you have related them today were the same as the facts you related to me then?

A. I think so. They may not have been in the same words.

Q. At that time didn't you make the statement to me that you had never had sexual relations with Colonel Adams on this station?
A. At his place one time.

Q. Did you tell me that you had never had sexual relations with him at his place?
A. I don't think so.

QC Could you be mistaken?
A. I can't recall the exact conversation.

Q. How did this investigation of Colonel Adams begin, do you know?
A. It began after some trouble at this hospital and I was called in on another matter.

Q. What were you called in about?
A. It was on some missing narcotics.

Q. Were you the subject of that investigation?
A. Apparently.

Q. How did it happen you make these disclosures about Colonel Adams?
A. I was asked about it.

Q. Wouldn't that be a little irrevelent to a narcotics investigation?
A. I don't know.

Q. Didn't you volunteer the information?
A. They asked me about it and I was ordered to tell it by the Base Commander.

Q. Tell what?
A. The things that happened.

Q. What Things?
A. The relation between Colonel Adams and myself.

Q. How do you suppose they initially found out about these relations?
A. Mr. Pittson asked me about it.

Q. That is the first you heard of it?
A. Yes.

Q. How do you suppose he found out about it?
A. I'm sure I dbn't know.

Q. Do you know of anybody who would have told Mr. Pittson about it?
A. Everybody on the base knew I was seeing him all the time.

Q. Did you disclose your relation with Colonel Adams in an effort to dirvert suspicion from yourself in the narcotics case?
A. No.

Q. Do you suppose Colonel Adams would have volunteered this information to the OSI had it been true?
A. No.

Q. Do you recall telling me that when you spent the night in Dallas you were sick all night long?

A. I do not, I remember telling you I was sick but not that I remained sick all night long.

Q. When did you recover?
A. I was alright the next mornigg.

Q. When did you recover from your illness?
A. I stopped vomiting by midnight because he gave me some tablets for nausea.

Q. I show you Exhibit 10 which you have previously stated you recognized as a statement you gave to the OSI is that correct?
A. Yes.

Q. I believe you stated in two places that you can't recall whether you had or had not had normal sexual relations with Colonel Adams. How is it you can recall now?
A. When I made the statement I was up set, but now I remember everything

Q. You are not upset now?
A. I am to a certain extent.

Q. Do you still own a 1956 Mercury?
A. Yes, I do.

Q. The same one?
A. No, it isn't.

Q. What was the liecense number on the car you took to El Paso?
A. I don't know.

Q. What was the license number on Colonel Adams' car?
A. I don't know.

Q. You previously stated that the cars listed on these two cards belonged to Colonel Adams and yourself. How can you tell?
A. I know his car.

Q. How did you know the mercury described on that card is yours?
A. Usually people know their own cars.

Q. How can you tell that your car and Colonel Adams' are listed on these cards, the only other descriptive term being license number which you can't recall?
A. No, I don't.

Q. Your memory is much clearer now than the day you mae the statement to the OSI?
A. Yes.

Q. Have you ever been the subject of a psychiatric examination?
A. Yes, I was in El Paso.

Q. Do you know whether or not your medical records reflect that you have been treated sclerosis of the liver resulting from acute alcoholism?
A. Not at this base.

Q But it is on your medical records since you have been in the Air Force?
A. Yes at Carlswell.

Q. Do you have any children?
A. I have one daughter.

Q. Have you ever been married?
A. No.

Q. Are you married now?
A. No.

Q. Did you make a statement in your medical records that you had no Children?
A. I did not.

Q. If your records indicate that you have no children, how would you explain this discrepancy?
A. It's on there.

Q. You are not married?
A. No.

Questions by the Investigating Officer.

Q. In October when you signed a statement for the OSI at which time Special Agent Pittson advised you he was investigating a charge of sodomy, were you aware of what constituted the offense of sodomy?
A. No, Sir, I wasn't.

Q. Did Special Agent Pittson define the charge of sodomy to you?
A. He did.

Q. As outlined in the courts-martial manual?
A. Yes.

Q. In other words before you made this statement you were aware of what solomy was?
A. Yes.

Q. In your 10 October statement you made a statement that, "I do not recall if this action was natural relations between male and female or if unnatural relations occurred."
A. That is true. At the time I didn't know. I told Mr. Pittson I wasn't sure.

Q. However, you made the statement a little earlier that you had never had a natural relation with Colonel Adams.
A. Since the time I made the statement I have had much time to think it over.

Q. It appears to me that if something happened you would know that it happened or that it didn't happen.
A. I knew that it was unnatural.

Q. My question is this. If at the time you mae this statement which was approximately 10 month after the act took place, you didn't know which way it was, how at a later date do you know any better than you did at the time you made the statement?
A. When I made the statement I didn't have time to think about it.

Q. But you signed the statementas being true and correct.
A. To the best of my knowledge at the time it was.

Q. Are you aware that the Maximum penalty as imposed by the MCM for sodomy involves a Dishonorable Discharge and five years confinement and that by virtue of the fact that Colonel Adams was married at the time the acts you have testified to took place, it was adultrey and the penalty for that is a dishonorable discharge and three years at hard labor. I would like to go into your statement that you do not recall whether it was natural or unnatural a little further. At this hearing you are very definite that all acts that took place were unnatural as opposed to natural.
A. It wasn't to the point of having a climax.

Q. That makes no difference for a normal relation. Did Colonel Adams at any time insert his penis into your vagina?

A. Yes, he did.

Q. On which occasion did it happen--in Vicksburg?
A. I would say yes.

Q. Will you please state definitely yes or no.
A. Yes

Q. Did you have normal relations in Dallas?
A. Yes

Q. Did you have normal relations in Colonel Adams' quarters?
A. I can't say definitely.

Q. Then your answer would be no?
A. Yes.

Q. On the 19th of April in El Paso, did you have normal relations?
A. Yes but we still had unnatural ones.

Q. On the 27th of April did you have normal relations?
A. Yes, I guess.

Q. In Vicksburg, did you have unnatural relations with him?
A. Yes

Q. In Dallas?
A. Yes

Q. In his quarters?
A. Yes

Q. On the 19th of April?
A. Yes

Q. On the 27th of April?
A. Yes.

Q. Have there been other instances?
A. Not that I recall.

Q. Will you state again the name of the motel in which you registered?
A. Del Camino.

Q. Are you sure that it was the Del Camino?
A. Yes.

Q. On both occasions?
A. Yes.

Q. Did you go into the office with Colonel Adams?
A. No, I didn't.

Q. Did you go into the office with him when he checked out?
A. No.

Q. Was the registration desk located so that you had to pass through the office to go to the restaraunt?
A. No they were separate.

Q. As for room service, did you at any time meet with the management of the motel or was all room service by what you would consider to be normal bell boy service?
A. Normal Bell boy service.

WITNESS EXCUSED:

William G. Walker, Jr.

WILLIAM G. WALKER, JR.
Colonel USAF
Investigating Officer

Charlene Goodnough

CHARLENE GOODNOUGH
1STLT USAF
Witness

TESTIMONY OF FIRST LIEUTENANT CHARLEEN GOODNOUGH

Given to Colonel William G. Walker, Jr., Headquarters 509th Bombardment Wing, Medium, Walker Air Force Base, New Mexico on 4 June 1957.

Having been duly sworn, the witness testified as follows:

Q. Previously you testified that on about the 16th of December, which was a Friday, you left Gunter Air Force Base?
A. That's right.

Q. That was in 1955?
A. Yes.

Q. En route to Walker Air Force Base?
A. Yes.

Q. And that night you stopped at what town?
A. Vicksburg, Mississippi.

Q. In Vicksburg, you were in a motel occupying what accommodations?
A. Separate rooms.

Q. That evening, could you describe what took place?

Defense Counsel: I would like to request that the Investigating Officer advise Lieutenant Goodnough of her rights under the 31st Article of War.

Investigating Officer: Would you like for me to refresh your memory of the 31st Article of War?

Witness: Please.

The Investigating Officer then read to the witness Article 31, Uniform Code of Military Justice.

Q. Do you understand?
A. Yes.

Q. I'll ask you again concerning the night that you stopped in Vicksburg--could you describe what took place?
A. After drinking for at least two hours, sexual relations did take place. I don't remember whether they were natural or unnatural.

Q. Could you describe what took place in the way of sexual relations? What exactly happened?
A. He was petting me and kissing parts of my body.

Q. How were you dressed?
A. In bra and pants.

Q. And you say he was kissing parts of your body?
A. Yes.

Q. Describe that?
A. He was kissing my stomach and breasts and my vagina and thighs.

EXHIBIT 16

Q. At this time, was there any carnal copulation or the taking into his mouth of any parts of your body?
A. Yes, my breasts and vagina.

Defense Counsel: I would like for the Investigating Officer to advise this witness that she doesn't have to answer these questions.
Investigating Officer: We have accomplished that by reading Article 31 to her. It is up to her to answer them or not.

Q. Do you recall if there were any natural relations that night?
A. No, sir, I don't.

Q. You don't recall?
A. No.

Q. The next day you proceeded on to Dallas, is that correct?
A. Yes.

Q. And again you occupied motel accommodations, and in Dallas what took place?
A. The same incidents as before.

Q. Kissing and carnal copulation?
A. Yes.

Q. Was there any natural relations that you recall?
A. I'm sorry I can't remember if they were natural or unnatural.

Q. After you arrived at Walker, did any incidents similar to this take place?
A. It did once.

Q. Where?
A. At Colonel Adams' quarters on Gunnison Circle.

Q. Do you remember about when this was?
A. During January.

Q. 1956?
A. Yes.

Q. What happened then?
A. It was similar to the incidents before. He became very passionate--kissing parts of my body.

Q. Did he take any parts of your body into his mouth?
A. Yes, my breasts and Vagina.

Q. Do you recall if there was any natural relation?
A. To the best of my knowledge, there wasn't.

Q. Did any other similar incidents take place at any time?
A. In El Paso, yes.

Q. Can you recall where these took place in El Paso?
A. At the La Fonda Motel.

Q. You previously talked of the Del Camino and the Flamingo Motel. Why do you feel now that it was the La Fonda?

A. I have been to El Paso many times and stayed at the Del Camino and the Flamingo, but I had some pictures of the La Fonda.

Q. When you visited El Paso, do you recall about when this was?
A. It was between the last of February and the last of April or the first of May.

Q. On how many occasions did you visit El Paso?
A. Two.

Q. Each time accompanied by whom?
A. Colonel Adams.

Q. And what took place on those two occasions?
A. They were similar to the acts previous. After a couple of hours of drinking he would start kissing me and taking parts of my body in his mouth and--the same incidents.

Q. What was the nature of Colonel Adams' actions?
A. He became very passionate--just not like you normally become passionate.

Q. And on each occasion you say he took parts of your body into his mouth?
A. Yes.

Q. Which were?
A. My breasts, stomach, vagina and thighs.

Q. Now, do you recall if on any of those occasions natural relations took place?
A. I do not.

The Defense Counsel declined to examine the witness.

There being no further questions, the witness was excused and withdrew from the room.

Charleen Goodnough
CHARLEEN GOODNOUGH
1st Lt, USAF
Witness

William G. Walker, Jr.
WILLIAM G. WALKER, JR.
Colonel, USAF
Investigating Officer

Testimony of William H. Pittson, Special Agent OSI

Given to William G. Walker, Jr. Colonel, USAF, Headquarters 509th Bomb Wing, Investigating Officer

Having been duly sworn, the witness testified as follows:

Questions by the Investigating Officer:

Q. State your full name and duties at this base.
A. William H. Pittson, Special Agent, OSI, 17th District, assigned to Walker Air Force Base, 1706th Detachment.

Q. During your duties at Walker Air Force Base were you ever detailed to make an investigation of Colonel Adams?
A. Yes, I was.

Q. What was the nature of this investigation?
A. The offense of sodomy.

Q. About when did you commence this investigation?
A. There was an official request on 10 October 1956 from the Commander, Walker Air Force Base.

Q. During the investigation, did you have occasion to talk with Lieutenant Goodnough?
A. Yes, I did.

Q. Did you receive any statements from her?
A. Yes, I did.

Q. I show you Exhibit 10 and ask if you recognize it?
A. Yes, it is a statement executed by Lieutenant Goodnough dated 10 October 1956, bears her signature and that of my own as administering the oath.

Q. During the investigation, did you have occasion to enter Colonel Adams' quarters?
A. Yes, I did.

Q. What was that occasion?
A. On two different occasion, the first time being 22 October 1956.

Q. At whose request and authority was the search made?
A. The Base Commander's.

Q. Do you recognize Exhibit 11?
A. Yes, this is authority to search authorized by Colonel George W. Porter, dated 19 October 1956.

Q. You spoke of your search on 22 October, was that pursuant to this authority marked Exhibit 11?
A. Yes, we obtained permission on the 19th and on 22 October the search was conducted.

EXHIBIT 17

Q. During this search of Colonel Adams' quarters what did you discover that was relevant to your investigation?
A. We found a cardboard approximately 8 by 10 on which was pasted 7 obscene photographs. We also obtained two names from an address book, those names being Laura Perez and Ruth Lee De Santis.

Q. Exactly where did you find those pictures?
A. They were in the bottom of a chest of drawers turned upside down under some brown paper lining the drawer.

Q. What other articles are kept in the chest of drawers?
A. Clothing.

Q. Were you able to determine what occupant of these quarters had used this chest of drawers?
A. The quarters were assigned to Colonel Adams.

Q. Colonel Adams was the only occupant of the quarters?
A. Yes. I would like to elaborate on this. He did have a roommate during a certain period of time.

Q. But he did not have a roommate at the time the quarters were searched?
A. No.

Q. I show you Exhibit 1 and ask if you recognize it?
A. Yes, they are the obscene pictures referred to.

Q. And this is a photostat of them?
A. Yes.

Q. What was done to the originals?
A. They were returned to where they were found.

Q. Have you ever seen these pictures on another occasion?
A. Yes, I have.

Q. What occasion was this?
A. Another search of Colonel Adams' quarters was conducted on 26 November 1956.

Q. This was pursuant to what authority?
A. The Base Commander's authority.

Q. I show you Exhibit 12 and ask if you recognize it?
A. Yes, it is authority to search from Colonel Porter.

Q. In this search what did you turn up?
A. In this search what looked like the original of the obscene pictures was cut in half and had two pictures which were included on the seven here.

Q. On the first search you found the originals of Exhibit 1 and had them photostated and returned them?
A. Right.

Q. On the second search in November were they still in the location you returned them to?
A. No.

Q. However, there were some there?
A. Yes, two pictures which are contained in these seven on Exhibit 1.

Q. On 22 October did you find a piece of cardboard similar to Exhibit 13?
A. Yes, it had five other obscene pictures pasted on it.

Q. Did it appear that the original seven pictures had been cut apart?
A. Yes.

Q. Where were these two pictures kept?
A. To the best of my knowledge I believe they were in the same chest of drawers.

Q. You stated that the pictures you found on the first search were photographs pasted on a card?
A. Yes, they were photographs which looked like they had come from a magazine.

Q. Were they from a magazine?
A. I don't know.

Q. Was the colonel present when you made these two searchs?
A. He was present on the second occasion, not the first.

Q. What other persons were present?
A. On the search conducted on 22 October 1956 it was Special Agent Callahan, Major Hanson, Captain Miller and myself. On the search conducted on 26 November it was Special Agent Brightwell, Major Hanson, Captain Miller, Colonel Adams and myself.

Q. What prompted the search of Colonel Adams' quarters?
A. The search was made on the basis of the allegation made by Lieutenant Goodnough and was made for the purpose of obtaining any leads or information which would further the investigation.

Q. In other words, the search was prompted by Lieutenant Goodnough's statement, Exhibit 10?
A. Yes.

Q. What prompted Lieutenant Goodnough to make this statement of the 10th of October concerning Colonel Adams?
A. Lieutenant Goodnough was the subject of a separate investigation conducted by our office.

Q. I understand the case in question involved narcotics in the hospital?
A. That's right.

Q. In my capacity as Investigating Officer of the charges against Colonel Adams, would you relate to me how the relation of Colonel Adams and Lieutenant Goodnough came to be a part of the case which you were investigating?
A. During the course of the investigation of the offense of narocotics violation, a search of her quarters revealed various medicines such as penicillin and various barbituates which she stated she had received from Colonel Adams, and on the basis of this, she was questioned further with reference to her association with him. She stated that barbituates were brought to her residence rather than being administered at the hospital, and through further questioning the allegations of sodomy were brought out.

Q. Lieutenant Goodnough voluntarily made the charge against Colonel Adams?
A. Yes.

Q. At the time that she made the charge and prior to the statement which she signed did she relate to you in specific detail as to places and times exactly what had transpired?
A. Yes, she did.

Q. Was she at any time confused in her allegations?
A. She made reference to a trip from Gunter to Walker in the course of which they stopped at a couple of motels where she said she had sexual relations with Colonel Adams. During this interview she brought out the fact that he had animal like tactics. When asked what she meant by this, she stated that he committed two acts of sodomy. In questioning her specifically with reference to unnatural acts and normal sexual relations, she was vague in remembering if unnatural acts did take place. However, she persistently stated that unnatural acts did take place at Colonel Adams' residence here and at the La Fona Motel in El Paso.

Q. At the time you took this statement from her, did you fully and completely define for her the offense of sodomy?
A. Yes, I did, it was read from the courts-martial manual itself.

Q. Did she definitely indicate to you whether the sexual acts between herself and Colonel Adams had been natural or unnatural on the trip from Gunter?
A. She stated that she couldn't recall whether they had been natural or unnatural.

Q. Did she make any statement as to the reason why she couldn't remember?
A. She was confused as to what constituted an unnatural act and that is when I read the definition to her. She is a highly nervous individual, and when I specifically questioned her as to whether or not they took place, she said she was confused. However, she stated that they did take place here at Walker at Colonel Adams' quarters.

Q. But she never did definitely state whether the acts were natural or unnatural enroute from Gunter to Walker?

A. In her words, I think she said they were natural to the best of her knowledge.

Q. I notice in Exhibit 10 on the first page there is one line which after having been written was scratched out by Lieutenant Goodnough. This line states, "after being highly intoxicated" and that is scratched out and she says "after drinking in my room for approximately two hours". Was there any prompting as she wrote this statement or did she strike that out of her own free will?
A. The lining out was done by Lieutenant Goodnough and as far as prompting, she was put in a room by herself at the time she wrote the statement and I did not prompt her in any way.

Q. Did she ask for a new form on which to begin over?
A. It does seem like she did. That might have been when this was scratcher out.

Q. Was the form refused?
A. No.

Q. Have you any explanation as to why her statement appears with a lined out item?
A. I assume when she scratched it out she intended to do it over again.

Q. Don't you agree that she should have had a new piece of paper?
A. No, I can't say that, but it may have been her line of reasoning.

Q. But she definitely did ask for a new piece of paper?
A. I would say she probably did, but I don't actually recall.

Q. What, specifically, were you looking for when you searched Colonel Adams' quarters?
A. I was looking for anything that would further our investigation.

Q. May I ask you what you expected to find with respect to sodomy in Colonel Adams' quarters?
A. It is usual procedure on any investigation of this nature to conduct searches of quarters for information or leads, and specifically obscene material or letters which might contain information would be helpful in the investigation.

Q. You are certain that at the time you performed these searches Colonel Adams was occupying those quarters by himself--he had no roommate at that time?
A. No, at the time the search was conducted Colonel Adams was occupying the quarters by himself. However, he stated that another officer occupied his quarters for some time.

Q. Was any statement made as to the time on which Colonel Adams secured the sole occupancy of the quarters. Do you know how long before the search the other officer had vacated the quarters?

A. During the investigation records showed that he had been charged with the quarters on such and such a time, but I don't recall the exact dates.

Q. It is reasonable to assume that the pictures you found on the first search could have been left there by the other officer?
A. I would say it is possible.

Q. On the second search, you say that Exhibit 13 was produced from Colonel Adams' footlocker?
A. To the best of my knowledge that is where it was obtained from.

Q. Did you find the pictures yourself or did one of the other persons on the search find them?
A. One of the other people on the search located it.

Q. But you are not definitely sure where in Colonel Adams' quarters these pictures were found?
A. No, I am not.

Q. To the best of my knowledge, Colonel Adams occupied one of the Gunnison type houses which consists of two bedrooms, therefore, it is entirely possible that your assistants on the search could have located Exhibit 13 anywhere within the house.
A. This is true.

Q. Back to Lieutenant Goodnough's statement, you said she made a statement to the effect that she and Colonel Adams' had occupied a room in the La Fonda Motel?
A. Yes.

Q. Did she ever refer to any other motel?
A. Yes, she did refer to another motel. She referred at first to the Flamingo Motel.

Q. What were the circumstances of her changing the statement?
A. She stated that she had post cards from the motel they stayed in and she would go home and check them and call back. She called back and said it was the La Fonda instead of the Flamingo.

Q. I show you an exhibit marked as Exhibit 8 and ask if you recognize it?
A. Those are the registration cards which were obtained from the La Fonda Motel by the Special Agent of the OSI assigned to Biggs Air Force Base.

Q. Do those cards bear any identification as to which motel they were picked up at?
A. No.

Q. The only identifying feature, therefore, would be the statements from the OSI Detachment at Biggs?
A. Right.

Q. Did Lieutenant Goodnaugh in any conversation with you ever make reference to the Del Camino Motel?
A. Not to my knowledge.

Q. On Exhibit 7 and 8, was there any evaluation made of the hand writing?
A. Yes, there was.

Q. By whom was this made?
A. By an agent of the Treasury Department.

Q. I show you Exhibit 9. Do you recognize it?
A. Yes, this is a handwriting examination regarding the registration cards which was conducted by Robert B. Wells, Special Agent.

Q. Did you ever have occasion to make a search of Lieutenant Goodnough's quarters?
A. Yes.

Q. Did you have any authority for this?
A. Yes, I had her written consent.

Q. Did you find any documents in Lieutenant Goodnough's quarters that might have been originated by Colonel Adams?
A. Yes, I did.

Q. These were?
A. Letters.

Q. I show you Exhibits 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 and ask if you recognize them?
A. Yes, I identify these as being obtained from Lieutenant Goodnough.

Q. Did you place any identifying marks upon them?
A. Yes, I did. Each document bears the intials of myself and the date they were obtained.

Q. You stated that the handwriting on the registration cards was compared with known handwriting specimens of Colonel Adams. Do you recall which specimen was used?
A. The exhibits just shown me--2 through 6.

Q. Here is Exhibit 4. Will you state whether that particular letter was submitted to the Albuquerque office of the Secret Service for comparison of handwriting by Colonel Adams?
A. I don't believe it was. There were only four submitted.

Q. Here is Exhibit 5. Has any effort ever been made to have a handwriting expert positively determine if these two letters were written by the same individual?

A. No.

Q. Therefore, unless a positive identification of those two letters is made by a handwriting authority, you have no reason to assume that Exhibit 7 was written by the same individual?
A. I can not testify as an expert on handwriting on this.

Q. The point I am trying to make is this: If a letter which was found in Lieutenant Goodnough's apartment, bearing only a signature of "George", was submitted to the Secret Service, the only thing they can positively tell is that the handwriting in the letters matches the handwriting on the motel registration cards.
A. Those letters that were obtained from her were personally given to her by Colonel Adams and Colonel Adams stated they were in his handwriting in a statement he made to me. (Exhibit 14).

Q. Did she positively say they were delivered to her by Colonel Adams?
A. Some of them were.

Q. And she did make the statement that Colonel Adams had personally delivered the letters on one or more occasions?
A. That is true.

Q. Did you have occasion to interview Colonel Adams during this investigation?
A. Yes, I did.

Q. Did you take any statement concerning the allegations from him?
A. I did.

Q. I show you exhibit 14 and ask you to identify it?
A. It is a statement executed by Colonel Adams and bears my signature as administering the oath and is dated 27 November 1956. The oath was administered on 28 November 56.

Q. Would you explain how this interview took place?
A. Colonel Adams was advised on the nature of the offense I was investigating and read his rights prior to the statement being obtained.

Q. Was this statement written by him?
A. It was dictated to the stenographer. She made a draft of the statement for him to make any changes prior to being put in statement form. After he had reviewed the draft she typed it. After it was typed Colonel Adams reviewed the statement and signed in on the 28th.

Q. Was the stenographer present during the question and answer period?
A. Yes.

Q. So this statement reflects your questions and Colonel Adams answers?
A. Yes.

Q. Is it verbatim?
A. Yes, it is.

Q. Exhibit 14 appears to be a standard form utilized for the purpose of taking statements from individuals, is that correct?
A. Yes, it is.

Q. At the time Colonel Adams was interrogated by you, had he been shown the form on which his statement was to be typed?
A. No, I don't think so.

Q. Did you prior to interrogating Colonel Adams advise him of the last paragraph of the statement on this form to the effect that he was voluntarily making a statement, that any statement made by him could be utilized against him in accordance with paragraph 34 of the Manual for Courts-Martial, where it says in effect that any statement he may make may be used against him in a trial by courts-martial? In essence, was Colonel Adams advised that any statement which he might make to you could be utilized against him as evidence in a trial by courts-martial?
A. I think I previously testified that he was.

Q. Did Colonel Adams read this entire statement, both the form part and the typed part, before he signed it in your presence?
A. Yes, he did.

Q. Did he then place his signature on it?
A. Yes, he did.

Q. Did Colonel Adams make any other statement to you?
A. No, not in reference to this particular investigation.

Questions by the defense counsel:

Q. I am interested in going a little further into how you got into the sodomy part of this investigation. You were investigating Lieutenant Goodnough as the subject of a narcotics investigation?
A. That is true.

Q. Did she make the allegations at that time?
A. No, she didn't.

Q. How did she happen to make such an allegation?
A. We were making this investigation on the narcotics charge and during the course of the investigation she stated she had received barbituates from Colonel Adams and upon being re-interviewed, she stated that he had brought them to her apartment. She was questioned as to why she didn't receive medical attention at the hospital, and she stated that they were going together and he brought them to her.

Q. You said you explained to Lieutenant Goodnough the definition of sodomy out of the courts-martial manual. Am I correct in saying that she was not sure that such acts had been committed and upon your ex-

planation she was still not sure as to her relation with Colonel Adams but then disclosed that unnatural acts had taken place?

A. Right, she didn't know whether unnatural acts had taken place on the trip out, however, she did state that they had taken place at Colonel Adams residence here and at the La Fonda Motel. I explained to her what sodomy was by reading the definition from the manual.

Q. Did you explain this to her before she put her statement in writing?

A. Yes.

Q. Do you recall how long it took her to write it?

A. Not off hand. I have a log which gives that information.

Q. Would you say off hand that she had ample time to collect her thoughts while she was writing her statement?

A. Yes.

Witness excused:

Wm. H. Pittson
William H. Pittson
Special Agent OSI

William G. Walker, Jr.
Colonel, USAF
Investigating Officer

Testimony of Mr. Brightwell, Special Agent, OSI

Given to William G. Walker, Jr. Colonel, USAF, Headquarters 509th Bomb Wing, Investigating Officer

Having been duly sworn, the witness testified as follows:

Questions by the Investigating Officer:

Q. Will you state your full name and duty assignment?
A. Robert H. Brightwell, Detachment Commander, OSI Detachment 1706, Walker Air Force Base.

Q. Were you present during the months of October and November 1956 at which time a search was made of the quarters assigned to Colonel Adams?
A. I arrived at my present duty station 5 November 1956. I was present at the base and participated in a search of Colonel Adams quarters on 26 November 1956.

Q. I show you Exhibit 13 and ask if you recognize it?
A. Yes, I have seen it before.

Q. During the search conducted of Colonel Adams' quarters could you inform me as to exactly what was found in his quarters pertaining to the investigation you were conducting?
A. We first ascertained that they were Colonel Adams' quarters. Then we asked him about the possibility that other persons lived there and found that at that time there was no other person living there. We found some objects, one of which was a card on which two photographs had been pasted. That was found in the room in which the bed was located. There was no other room in the house in which there was a bed.

Q. Can you state where the card was found and by whom?
A. As I recall, it was found by Mr. Pittson. He RKB was engaged in searching a desk which was immediately to the right inside the door of the bedroom. Major Hanson and Captain Miller were just standing around the room and Colonel Adams was in the room standing there in the opposite corner of the room where the foot locker was located and Mr. Pittson was searching the footlocker. As I recall, Colonel Adams had his 201 file and various other papers located in his footlocker and he and Mr. Pittson were taking the documents out examining them and that was when Mr. Pittson found the two pictures.

Q. I show you Exhibit 13. Can you identify it?
A. Yes, I have seen this picture before. These, to the best of my knowledge, are the pictures that were found by Mr. Pittson.

Q. On Exhibit 12, can you tell us what exactly this is?
A. It is written authority to search.

EXHIBIT 18'

Q. Who prepared that authority for Colonel Porter's signature?
A. The secretary typed it up, I'm sure.

Q. Who took it over to Colonel Porter?
A. Probably Mr. Pittson.

Witness Excused:

Robert H. Brightwell,
Special Agent, OSI

William G. Walker, Jr.
Colonel, USAF
Investigating Officer

Testimony of Major James A. Hanson

Given to William G. Walker, Jr. Colonel, USAF, Headquarters 509th Bomb Wing, Investigating Officer

Having been duly sworn, the witness testified as follows:

Questions by the Investigating Officer:

Q. State your full name, rank and serial number and assigned duties at Walker Air Force Base.
A. James A. Hanson, Major, Provost Marshal.

Q. Mr. Pittson testified the other day before this investigation into charges which have been preferred against Colonel Adams in regard to a search which was made of Colonel Adams' quarters during the months of October and November 1956. Mr. Pittson stated that you were present at both of these searches. Is that correct?
A. I was present during one inspection of Colonel Adams' quarters in October and another one approximately a month later, which probably fell in November.

Q. The particular search that I am interested in is the one during the month of November. To refresh your memory, Mr. Pittson testified that during the October search seven obscene pictures were found in Colonel Adams' quarters in a chest of drawers. He also testified that during the November search two of the pictures were again found in his quarters, however, Mr. Pittson did not recall which one of the members of the search party found the two pictures and the exact location where they were found. Can you give me any information regarding who located the pictures and exactly where in Colonel Adams' quarters they were located?
A. Mr. Pittson was examining the contents of Colonel Adams' foot locker and I am almost positive that Mr. Pittson removed the two pictures from the footlocker.

Q. I show you Exhibit 1 and ask if you can identify exactly which search this was found on?
A. This is a reproduction of the pictures that were found in the chest of drawers in the October search.

Q. I show you Exhibit 13 and ask if you can identify it?
A. These are the two pictures that were removed from the footlocker and they appear to be cut out of the original seven pictures that were found in October.

Q. On the October search, do you recall where the set of pictures, Exhibit 1, was discovered?
A. If I recall correctly, they were found in the second or third drawer of the chest of drawers and were located under a sheet of paper which was covering the bottom of the drawer.

EXHIBIT 19'

Q. And do you know who used this chest of drawers?
A. The quarters were assigned to Colonel Adams.

Q. Was there anybody else living in the quarters?
A. There was only one bed in the quarters. The room on the southeast side was completely empty.

Witness Excused:

James A. Hanson
Major, USAF
Provost Marshal

William G. Walker, Jr.
Colonel, USAF
Investigating Officer

Due to the absence of Major James A. Hanson, the accused and his counsel agree that the foregoing is a verbatim account of the testimony given by him.

GEORGE F. ADAMS
Lt Col, USAF
Accused

LANGFORD KEITH, JR.
1st Lt, USAF
Defense Counsel

Testimony of Captain Kenton D. Miller

Given to William G. Walker, Jr. Colonel, USAF, Headquarters 509th Bomb Wing, Investigating Officer

Having been duly sworn, the witness testified as follows:

Questions by the Investigating Officer:

Q. Will you state your full name, rank and duty assignment?
A. Captain Kenton D. Miller, presently Operations Officer of the 812th Air Police Squadron.

Q. Captain Miller, were you present at any time during which a search was made of Colonel Adams' quarters on this base?
A. Yes Sir twice.

Q. Can you state when those searches were made?
A. In October and November of last year.

Q. Can you inform me as to exactly what items were found on each of these searches and taken from the possession of Colonel Adams to be held in connection with the investigation you were conducting?
A. The first time there were a group of obscene pictures approximately 8 by 10 and one of the times a group of letters was found--there was a considerable number of them. The second time there was two pictures which appeared to me to have been cut off the original group of pictures.

Q. I show you Exhibit 1 and ask if you can identify it?
A. This appears to be a photostat of the group of pictures we found the first time.

Q. I show you Exhibit 13 and ask if you recognize it?
A. There were two pictures of this type which were taken the second time.

Q. Do you feel that these were the pictures which were found the second time?
A. I believe they are.

Q. You state that some letters were taken from Colonel Adams' quarters?
A. I don't know if they were taken or not. I was asked to verify the count of them, and I believe they were taken.

Q. Do you recall anything specific about the letters?
A. They were from a lady. I believe they were from England.

Q. Do you know where the pictures were found on these two occasions?
A. The first time the pictures were found underneath the paper that is used to line drawers in the bureau. The second time they were found in the footlocker by Mr. Pittson and I believe Colonel Adams was there and handed them to him.

EXHIBIT 20

Q. The quarters Colonel Adams occupys have two bedrooms. Do you know if the other bedroom was occupied?

A. There was no furniture if I remember. There was something in the closet which didn't strike me as having any significance to our investigation.

Witness Excused:

Kenton D. Miller
Captain, USAF

William G. Walker, Jr.
Colonel, USAF
Investigating Officer

Testimony of Major Jess H. Hendricks

Given to William G. Walker, Jr. Colonel, USAF, Headquarters 509th Bomb Wing, Investigating Officer

Having been duly sworn, the witness testified as follows:

Questions by the Investigating Officer:

Q. Will you state whether you have ever jointly occupied quarters with Colonel Adams?
A. I have.

Q. Would you inform us of the initial date such occupancy took place?
A. I don't recall the month. It was during 1955--late 1955.

Q. Base housing has just testified and their records don't show the exact dates. Was your initial assignment to quarters on Walker in the same dwelling unit with Colonel Adams?
A. No, I had previously moved out of those quarters and later moved back in. I moved out because my dependents arrived and when my dependents departed I moved back in.

Q. Was your move back in the BOQ concurrent with the termination of your Wherry housing quarters assignment?
A. No, it was with the termination of quarters in town.

Q. Wherry housing indicates that you terminated your quarters assignment on the 12th of May 1955. Does this refresh your memory as to a month and a year on which you moved into quarters with Colonel Adams?
A. I can't definitely say unless I could look at my own personal records.

Q. For the purpose of this investigation we are interested in definite dates. This hearing is scheduled to reconvene 15 May 1957 at 0800 hours and I request that you examine your records and be prepared at the hearing tomorrow morning to present this investigation with factual information regarding the commencement of your occupancy of quarters with Colonel Adams and also the date of termination and any periods intervening during the occupancy.
A. Very well, Sir.

Witness excused:

Jess H Hendrix
Jess H. Hendricks
Major, USAF

William G. Walker
WILLIAM G. WALKER, JR.
Colonel, USAF
Investigating Officer

EXHIBIT 21

Witness was later recalled and was advised he was still under oath and testified as follows:

Q. Will you state your full name, rank and duty assignment?
A. Jesse H. Hendricks, Major, 509th Headquarters Squadron Section.

Q. Yesterday when you appeared before this investigation, you were unable to recall the dates on which you occupied and terminated occupancy of the quarters assigned to Colonel Adams. Do you have that information this morning?
A. Yes, Sir.

Q. Would you please state those dates?
A. Available records determine my occupancy to be as follows: The first week in October of 1955 to the first week in October of 1956.

Q. This is the only period of time during which you occupied the same quarters with Colonel Adams?
A. Right.

Q. Will you state the building number?
A. That was building number 847.

Q. Will you please state the bedroom in these quarters which was occupied by you, as nearly as you can describe it?
A. The only way in which I can describe it is by saying it was the southwest room of the building.

Q. Did you occupy this room on the southwest corner of the building during the entire period of your occupancy of this building?
A. With the exception of an extended TDY period.

Q. Will you state the beginning and termination of this TDY?
A. It began 15 January 1956 and ended 13 May 1956.

Q. During this period of TDY did Colonel Adams live in the quarters or was he on the TDY also, and do you know the approximate dates of the time he was on TDY?
A. To the best of my knowledge I believe he remained on the base for a period of time after my departure with an advance group.

Q. Do I interpret that Colonel Adams was on the same TDY with the exception that he followed at a later date?
A. Yes.

Q. Do you know approximately the time Colonel Adams returned from TDY?
A. In view of the fact that I was on the rear detachment for return, I would estimate he returned approximately 10 days prior to my own return.

EXHIBIT 22'

Q. During the period of time you occupied quarters with Colonel Adams, did you ever have occasion to meet First Lieutenant Goodnough?
A. I am not familiar with the name or the person.

Q. I have here before me a certificate prepared by Special Agent William H. Pittson of the OSI in which he makes the following statement which I shall read: "On 9 January 1957, Major Jess Harold Hendricks, A0-1579773, Headquarters Squadron, 509th Bombardment Wing was interviewed. Hendricks advised that he has been acquainted with Colonel Adams since October 1955 and that they did room together in the BOQ, Walker Air Force Base, New Mexico, for approximately one year. Hendricks said that on one occasion, on Colonel Adams' return from Juarez, Mexico, Adams related experiences he had encountered and mentioned obscene pictures he had seen, whereupon Hendricks produced a collection of obscene pictures which he had obtained while he was in Korea and showed them to Colonel Adams. Hendricks stated that he did not count the pictures when Colonel Adams returned them to him and that Colonel Adams could have kept some of the pictures, however, he has never given Colonel Adams any of the pictures. Hendricks furnished no additional information pertinent to this investigation." Do you acknowledge having made this statement to Special Agent Pittson?
A. Yes.

Q. Do you recall the date of the occasion on which Colonel Adams returned from Juarez and on which you produced the collection of obscene pictures?
A. No, I don't.

Q. I would like to show you Exhibit 1 and ask if you can identify those pictures as a photostatic copy of pictures that you have seen before?
A. Those were among the ones.

Q. These pictures were among the collection which you showed to Colonel Adams?
A. Right.

Q. I show you Exhibit 13 and ask you whether you can identify those as the originals from your collection?
A. Yes.

Q. I would like to go back to Exhibit 1 again. These pictures were mounted on a piece of cardboard. When they were in your possession, were they so mounted on a piece of cardboard?
A. Right, if I recall correctly.

Q. Will you please inform me as to the location in which the collection of pictures you had in your possession was kept?
A. In a dresser drawer of the room, as I recall.

Q. Were these pictures just placed open in the drawer or were they concealed in any manner?
A. No, they were kept in a pasteboard box.

Q. Do you ever recall placing the original of Exhibit 1 underneath the paper covering in the bottom of a dresser drawer?
A. No.

Questions by defense counsel:

Q. When you were living with Colonel Adams, did you live in the southwest bedroom, is that correct?
A. Yes, Sir.

Witness Excused:

Jess H. Hendricks
Major, USAF

William G. Walker, Jr.
Colonel, USAF
Investigating Officer

Testimony of Staff Sergeant D. A. Morris

Given to William G. Walker, Jr. Colonel, USAF, Headquarters 509th Bomb Wing, Investigating Officer

Having been duly sworn, the witness testified as follows:

Questions by the Investigating Officer:

Q. Will you state whether or not Government quarters on Walker Air Force Base are occupied by Colonel Adams?
A. Right, Building 847.

Q. Building 847 is a Gunnison type house?
A. Right, Sir.

Q. And Gunnison type quarters are normally occupied by more than one person?
A. Right.

Q. Will you state the date on which Colonel Adams accepted occupancy of those particular quarters and whether such occupancy has been continuous through the present time?
A. He occupied the quarters on September 11, 1955, and it has been continuous since.

Q. Would you inform the board of the names and dates of occupancy of all other persons who occupied those quarters with Colonel Adams from September 11, 1955 to January 1, 1956?
A. I can't go back that far since I was assigned here 21 November 1955, but from that date on to my personal knowledge there has never been anybody assigned with Colonel Adams.

Q. I have personal knowledge that another officer occupied those quarters with Colonel Adams, and I'll request that you double check your records in an attempt to establish such occupancy. In order to facilitate your search, I'll request that you look over the records of Major Jesse H. Hendricks.
A. Right, after that time he has been moved into the BOQ.

Q. Will you state the dates Major Hendricks occupied the quarters together with Colonel Adams?

After contacting several persons and searching applicable records, the witness stated he was unable to furnish the desired information.

Witness excused:

Sgt. Donald A Morris

D. A. Morris
Staff Sergeant, USAF

William G. Walker, Jr.
Colonel, USAF
Investigating Officer

EXHIBIT 23'

C_E_R_T_I_F_I_C_A_T_E

An inspection of the records of the Motor Vehicle Division of the State of New Mexico show that the 1956 New Mexico Motor Vehicle Registration number 4-13866 was issued to Charleen Goodnough of Walker Air Force Base for the registration of a 1956 Mercury convertible, serial number 56 SL 89563M. Furthermore, these records reflect that George Adams of Walker Air Force Base was issued 1956 Motor Vehicle Registration number 4-3150 for the registration of a 1954 Oldsmobile 2-door, serial number V246179.

WILLIAM G. WALKER, JR.
Colonel, USAF
Investigating Officer

EXHIBIT 24

C_E_R_T_I_F_I_C_A_T_E

Due to the fact that Mrs. Glenadean Richards, Resident Manager of the La Fonda Motel, 5301 Alameda Avenue, El Paso, Texas is temporarily unavailable and cannot be interviewed at this time, the following evidence which was obtained when she was interviewed by Special Agent John Duffy of the OSI is submitted:

The records of the La Fonda Motel, 5301 Alameda Avenue, El Paso, Texas reflect persons registered as Dr. & Mrs. GEORGE F. ADAMS of Walker Air Force Base, New Mexico, had occupied Room #12 at the motel on 19 and 20 April 1956 and Room #44 on 27 and 28 April 1956. The registration cards reflect occupancy on 19 and 20 April 1956 and show an entry indicating the occupants were utilizing an Oldsmobile car, New Mexico License Number 4-3150. During the occupancy on 27 and 28 April 1956, it is reflected that a 1956 Mercury, New Mexico License number 4-13866, was used by the occupants.

Exhibit 7 is the registration card of the La Fonda Motel for the dates 19 and 20 April 1956 for room #12 and Exhibit 8 is the registration card of the La Fonda Motel for the dates 27 and 28 April for room #44.

WILLIAM G. WALKER, JR.
Colonel, USAF
Investigating Officer

EXHIBIT 25

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
Department of Public Health

THIS IS TO CERTIFY, THAT
THIS IS A TRUE COPY
OF THE DOCUMENT
FILED IN THIS OFFICE.

Malcolm H. Merrill

MALCOLM H. MERRILL, M.D.
STATE REGISTRAR OF VITAL STATISTICS

Date: MAY 2 1957

CERTIFICATION FEE PAID $1.00
CALIFORNIA STATE DEPT. OF PUBLIC HEALTH
541257

Certificate can not be accepted establishing fact of the marriage. Statutes 1915, Chapter 378, page 575, as amended 1917, Chapter 548, page 717, Section 21 (b), and Statutes 1919, Chapter 273.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
Department of Public Health
VITAL STATISTICS

STANDARD CERTIFICATE OF MARRIAGE
PERSONAL AND STATISTICAL PARTICULARS

State Index No. 1179
Local Registered No. 3697
29-032684

1 PLACE OF MARRIAGE — COUNTY OF ORANGE — GROOM A352 — BRIDE M254

GROOM	BRIDE
2 FULL NAME: George F. Adams	14 FULL NAME: Veotta Mc Kinley
3 RESIDENCE: 438 N. Orange Grve. Ave. Los Angeles, Cal	15 RESIDENCE: Los Angeles, Cal. 4727 W. 17th 8th St.
4 COLOR OR RACE: white	16 COLOR OR RACE: white
5 AGE AT LAST BIRTHDAY: 23 (Years)	17 AGE AT LAST BIRTHDAY: 22 (Years)
6 SINGLE WIDOWED OR DIVORCED: single	18 SINGLE WIDOWED OR DIVORCED: single
7 NUMBER OF MARRIAGE: 1st	19 NUMBER OF MARRIAGE: 1st
8 BIRTHPLACE: Kansas (State or Country)	20 BIRTHPLACE: Illinois (State or Country)
9 OCCUPATION (a) Trade, profession or particular kind of work: Student	21 OCCUPATION (a) Trade, profession or particular kind of work: Student
(b) General nature of industry, business, or establishment in which employed (or employer):	(b) General nature of industry, business, or establishment in which employed (or employer):
10 NAME OF FATHER: George A. Adams	22 NAME OF FATHER: J.B. Mc Kinley
11 BIRTHPLACE OF FATHER: Canada (State or Country)	23 BIRTHPLACE OF FATHER: Illinois (State or Country)
12 MAIDEN NAME OF MOTHER: Mary H. Wickham	24 MAIDEN NAME OF MOTHER: Leona Ficken
13 BIRTHPLACE OF MOTHER: Kansas (State or Country)	25 BIRTHPLACE OF MOTHER: Illinois (State or Country)

26 MAIDEN NAME OF BRIDE, IF SHE WAS PREVIOUSLY MARRIED

WE, the groom and bride named in this Certificate, hereby certify that the information given therein is correct, to the best of our knowledge and belief.

George F. Adams 27 Groom — Veotta Mc Kinley 28 Bride

29 Filled out in the presence of ..... Deputy County Clerk

30 CERTIFICATE OF PERSON PERFORMING CEREMONY

I HEREBY CERTIFY that George F. Adams and Veotta McKinsey were joined in Marriage by me in accordance with the laws of the State of California, at Santa Ana this 24th day of December 19 29

31 Signature of Witness to the Marriage: Millie Weiman — Residence: Santa Ana

Signature of Person Performing the Ceremony: Kenneth E. Morrison — Official position: Justice of the peace

32 FILED DEC 30 1929 19 ..... Justine Whitney Registrar (County Recorder) — Residence: Santa Ana

A. CARLISLE & CO. 19487

EXHIBIT 26'

Standard Form 513
Rev. August 1954
Promulgated
By Bureau of the Budget
Circular A—32

CLINICAL RECORD — CONSULTATION SHEET

REQUEST

TO: New Mexico
USAF Hospital, Walker AFB,

FROM: (*Requesting ward, unit, or activity*)
Chief, NP Svc, WBAH

DATE OF REQUEST

REASON FOR REQUEST (*Complaints and findings*)

PROVISIONAL DIAGNOSIS

DOCTOR'S SIGNATURE | APPROVED | PLACE OF CONSULTATION ☐ BEDSIDE ☐ ON CALL | ☐ EMERGENCY ☐ ROUTINE

CONSULTATION REPORT

It is apparent that this patient has some sort of familial heredo-degenerative demyelinizing disease with tremors, speech defect and incoordination. The tests indicate she is only of low average intelligence with evidence of organic brain disease. She has poor control over her emotions without logic or reason. She is infantile, inadequate and immature according to the tests. She lacks understanding and ordinary reasoning and does not measure up to the average nursing standards.

Diagnosis: 3215 Immaturity with symptomatic habit reaction, lisping.

Line of Duty: No, EPTE.

(Continued on reverse side)

SIGNATURE AND TITLE
J. HORNISHER, Colonel, MC

DATE
29 May 57

IDENTIFICATION NO.
AN2243350

ORGANIZATION
509th TAC Hospital

REGISTER NO.

WARD NO.
30

PATIENT'S IDENTIFICATION (*For typed or written entries give: Name—last, first, middle; grade; date; hospital or medical facility*)
GOODNOUGH, Charlene, 1st Lt., NC
WBAH, FBT

CONSULTATION SHEET
Standard Form 513

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE : 1950—O-308652 16—56119-5

Standard Form 502
Rev. August 1954
Promulgated
By Bureau of the Budget
Circular A—32

CLINICAL RECORD	NARRATIVE SUMMARY	
DATE OF ADMISSION	DATE OF DISCHARGE	NUMBER OF DAYS HOSPITALIZED
26 Dec 56	9 Jan 57	

(Sign and date at end of narrative)

This 29 year old, white female, Air Force Nurse, was admitted because of the fact she turned herself into the Air Police with a complaint of jitteriness, the result of alcoholic intake and the use of amphetamine. She has a history of at least six months duration of heavy drinking associated with one week of severe alcoholic intake with no food intake and lack of sleep.

Physical examination revealed a liver that was two and one-half fingers down, sharp edge, and extremely tender and a spleen tip that was palpable and tender. There was no evidence of jaundice. Hematology revealed 13.1 hemoglobin; 4,140,000 red count; 41 hematocrit; white count 5,050; 67 polycytes; 27 lymphocytes; 1 eosinophil; 5 stabs. Urinalysis: Specific gravity 1.020; negative albumen; sugar and acetone negative. Total protein 6.6, albumen 4.2, globulin 2.4, cholesterol 183, esters 33%, alkaline phosphotase 2.1; serum bilirubin 0.5; cephalin flocculation 2+ in 48 and 2+ in 24 hours. Thymol turbidity 2 units; prothrombin time 83%; guiaic were negative; bile in urine was negative. BSP retention at the end of 45 minutes was 2% dye retention.

Upper gastrointestinal series were negative. A GB visualization was reported as negative. The patient was placed at complete bed rest with intravenous feedings and high vitamin intake. She had a progressive improvement in her symptomatology with her liver slowly retracting and her spleen also. She was discharged on no further therapy but with a warning that further alcoholic intake would probably cause cirrhosis of the liver.

FINAL DIAGNOSIS: XIIIxy Hepatitis, trophopathic, acute, associated with alcoholism.
LD: Yes.

MEDICAL RECORDS
FIELD PERSONNEL

(Use additional sheets of this form (Standard Form 502) if more space is required)

SIGNATURE OF PHYSICIAN	DATE	IDENTIFICATION NO.	ORGANIZATION
P. LISAN, Capt, USAF (MC)	7 Jan 57	AO2243350	509 TAC Hosp

PATIENT'S IDENTIFICATION (For typed or written entries give: Name—last, first, middle; grade; date; hospital or medical facility)

REGISTER NO.	WARD NO.
51 639	4

GOODNOUGH, CHARLENE 1/Lt
Carswell AFB, Texas

NARRATIVE SUMMARY
Standard Form 502
(6-PART)

★ U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE JKT 516671-1954

EXHIBIT 27'

HEADQUARTERS USAF HOSPITAL
United States Air Force
Walker Air Force Base
New Mexico

16 May 1957

S T A T E M E N T

I have known Lt. Col. George F. Adams approximately 22 months while serving with him on the medical staff at the Walker AFB Hospital. During this period of time I have had the opportunity to work with Colonel Adams while administering medical and surgical care to military personnel and dependents on sick call and in the Out-patient department.

We have assisted each other on many occasions in the operating room in the performance of major and minor surgical procedures. To my knowledge he has made a sincere effort to perform these tasks diligently.

I have also had the opportunity to observe Colonel Adams on several occasions at Officers' Club social functions and in my home and have not had reason to question his character at any time.

William J. James
WILLIAM J. JAMES
Captain, USAF(MC)
Chief, Surgical Svs

EXHIBIT 28

15 May 1957

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

I Dan G. Parmer Capt. USAF (VC) A03000113 Have known Lt. Col. George Adams since 6Jan. 1957. I have not worked with him Professionally and since I am a Veterinarian can not evaluate his Professional skill,but I can say that as far as I am concerned he is concientious in his work and I have used him as my personal Physician, and am satisfied as well as appreciative of what he has done. I have on numerous occasions seen and been in Dr. Adams company at the Officers Club this station and at all times that I have been in his company he has conducted himself as a professional man and as a gentleman. I have not at any time seen Dr. Adams intoxicated.

Sincerely,

Dan G. Parmer

Dan G. Parmer Capt.
Base Veterinarian
Walker, AFB, New Mexico

EXHIBIT 29'

HEADQUARTERS USAF HOSPITAL
United States Air Force
Walker Air Force Base
New Mexico

16 May 1957

S T A T E M E N T

TO: Whom it May Concern

We, the undersigned medical officers attached to the 509th and 6th Tactical Hospitals, have known Lt. Col. George F. Adams for varying periods of time, as long as 22 months. While serving under him at the 4036th USAF Hospital we have observed his professional activities which, in our opinion have been adequately performed.

William J. James
WILLIAM J. JAMES, CAPT, USAF(MC)

John N Dunn
JOHN N. DUNN, CAPT, USAF(MC)

Henry F Haren
HENRY F. HARREN, CAPT, USAF(MC)

Albert H Ruhe
ALBERT H. RUHE, CAPT, USAF(MC)

Philipp M. Lippe
PHILIPP M. LIPPE, CAPT, USAF(MC)

Stanley I. Rossen
STANLEY I. ROSSEN, CAPT, USAF(MC)

EXHIBIT 30

WILLIAM BEAUMONT ARMY HOSPITAL
Neuropsychiatric Service
Fort Bliss, Texas

11 April 1957

C E R T I F I C A T E

The following report is submitted in the case of ADAMS, George F., Lt. Col., AO-2575h3, 4036th USAF Hospital, Walker AFB, New Mexico:

This 50 year old medical officer with 10 years of active duty and 28 years total time has been examined at this hospital for one month which studies have included physical examination, neurological examination, psychiatric studies including psychological and psychometric tests and repeatedly interviewed. Correspondence with the Langley Porter Mental Hygiene Clinic in San Francisco, California and several psychiatrists who have examined all members of the family, leaves no doubt that the wife of Lt. Col. Adams is definitely psychotic, which situation has created a difficult adjustment with increasing anxiety and tension, frustration and indecision on the part of the subject of this examination.

The psychiatric examination and the psychological tests fail to establish a sexual deviate personality disorder and there is no evidence of abnormal thought content or psychotic thinking on the part of the subject officer.

In conclusion, this officer is sane and responsible. He knows right from wrong and is able to adhere to the right and can cooperate in his own defense.

Diagnosis: 3100 Anxiety reaction, chronic, moderate, manifested by frustration, tension and suspiciousness. Predisposition: Extended period of insecurity. Precipitating Stress: Three years' active combat in South Pacific including Silver Star in Java. Incapacity: Minimal.

Line of Duty: Yes, by aggravation.

Recommendation: Return to duty.

J. B. HOHNECKER, Colonel, MC
Chief, Neuropsychiatric Service

EXHIBIT [redacted] 31

HEADQUARTERS
ALLIED AIR FORCES
SOUTHWEST PACIFIC AREA

APO 501
11 July 1942

GENERAL ORDERS)
:
No. 27)

Section
Awards of the Silver Star..... I
Awards of The Purple Heart..... II
Posthumeus Awards.....III

1. AWARDS OF THE SILVER STAR

11. By direction of the President, Under the provisions of Army Refulation 600-45, August 8, 1942, the "Silver Star" is awarded to the following officers of the Medical Corps for gallantry in action:

Major LEON E. ROBINSON 0-27944
Major STUART P. VANDIVERE 0-311369
Captain GEORGE F. ADAMS 0-257543

During the heavy attacks on Java, these officers showed gallantry of an exceptional type when they cared for the sick and wounded, often times exposing themselves to the severest enemy strafing, so that the men might receive much needed medical attention. For the entire period, these officers literally lived with the men, giving medical attention at all hours of the day and night. They were also present at the takeoff for missions and were there again upon the return of the planes from every mission. The coolness and gallantry displayed by these officers under the most trying conditions were an inspiration to the entire group and did much to keep the morale at an exceptionally high level.

s/ George H. Brett,
GEORGE H. BRETT,
Lieutenant General,
Commander.

A TRUE EXTRACT COPY: George M. Shriver, Jr.

GEORGE M. SHRIVER, JR.,
Capt., Med Adm Corps,
Adjutant.

#2

EXHIBIT 32

Walker Air Force Base
New Mexico

S T A T E M E N T

A review of Colonel Adams' personal file discloses that in addition to numerous letters of commendation, he has served as past President of the Walker Air Force Base Chapter of the National Sojourners and past President of the Walker Air Force Base Chapter of the SAC Aero Club. He has written numerous newspaper articles, appearing both in the Roswell Daily Record and the Walker Air Force Base newspaper, the Atomic Blast, including a series of articles on Medicare appearing in the Atomic Blast. Colonel Adams served as First Commander of the all-doctors post of the San Francisco American Legion.

William G. Walker, Jr.
Colonel, USAF
Investigating Officer

EXHIBIT 39

RECORD OF PREVIOUS CONVICTIONS

IDENTIFICATION

LAST NAME - FIRST NAME - MIDDLE INITIAL	SERVICE NUMBER	GRADE	ORGANIZATION
ADAMS, GEORGE F.	AO257543	LT COL	6TH TACTICAL HOSP

RECORD OF TRIALS BY COURTS-MARTIAL[1] *(Extract copy of Service Record)*

TYPE COURT-MARTIAL	NUMBER	COMMAND	ARTICLE

SYNOPSIS OF SPECIFICATIONS, INCLUDING DATE OF OFFENSE

None

SENTENCE AS APPROVED	DATE SENTENCE ADJUDGED	DATE SENTENCE APPROVED

I CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS CORRECT *(Name, grade, and organization of person who made entry in service record)*

TYPE COURT-MARTIAL	NUMBER	COMMAND	ARTICLE

SYNOPSIS OF SPECIFICATIONS, INCLUDING DATE OF OFFENSE

SENTENCE AS APPROVED	DATE SENTENCE ADJUDGED	DATE SENTENCE APPROVED

I CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS CORRECT *(Name, grade, and organization of person who made entry in service record)*

TYPE COURT-MARTIAL	NUMBER	COMMAND	ARTICLE

SYNOPSIS OF SPECIFICATIONS, INCLUDING DATE OF OFFENSE

SENTENCE AS APPROVED	DATE SENTENCE ADJUDGED	DATE SENTENCE APPROVED

I CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS CORRECT *(Name, grade, and organization of person who made entry in service record)*

TYPE COURT-MARTIAL	NUMBER	COMMAND	ARTICLE

SYNOPSIS OF SPECIFICATIONS, INCLUDING DATE OF OFFENSE

SENTENCE AS APPROVED	DATE SENTENCE ADJUDGED	DATE SENTENCE APPROVED

I CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS CORRECT *(Name, grade, and organization of person who made entry in service record)*

ATTESTING CERTIFICATE

DESIGNATION OF COMMAND	PLACE	DATE
4036th USAF Hospital	Walker AF Base, New Mexico	1 May 1957

I CERTIFY THAT I AM THE OFFICIAL CUSTODIAN OF THE SERVICE RECORD OF THE ABOVE-NAMED ENLISTED PERSON AND THAT THE FOREGOING IS A TRUE COPY OF THE ENTRIES THEREIN RELATING TO PREVIOUS CONVICTIONS.

TYPED NAME, GRADE, AND OFFICIAL CAPACITY OF CUSTODIAN	SIGNATURE
EARL WEISS, Maj, USAF (MSC) Assistant Personnel Officer	Earl Weiss

[1] *Only admissible previous convictions will be listed. To determine admissibility, see the rules on the reverse hereof.*

DD FORM 1 NOV 53 493 PREVIOUS EDITIONS OF THIS FORM MAY BE USED.

EXHIBIT 34

RULES FOR DETERMINING ADMISSIBILITY OF PREVIOUS CONVICTIONS

(Extracted from paragraphs 68d and 75b(2), MCM, 1951)

Previous convictions must relate to offenses committed during a current enlistment, voluntary extension of enlistment, appointment, or other engagement or obligation for service of the accused, and during the three years next preceding the commission of any offense of which the accused stands convicted. When the last enlistment, appointment, or other engagement or obligation for service was terminated under other than honorable conditions, or when the accused deserted and subsequently fraudulently enlisted, all convictions by courts-martial of offenses committed in the prior term of service, if within the three-year period, are admissible, even though such prior term of service was in an armed force other than the one in which he is serving at the time of trial. In computing the three-year period, periods of unauthorized absence as shown by the findings in the case or by the evidence of previous convictions should be excluded.

For the purpose of determining the admissibility of previous convictions, retention of an accused beyond the normal expiration date of his term of service by operation of law shall not be deemed to create a new enlistment, a voluntary extension of enlistment, a new appointment, or other new engagement or obligation for service.

No proceeding in which an accused has been found guilty by a court-martial upon any charge or specification shall, as to such charge or specification, be admissible as a previous conviction, until the finding of guilty has become final after review of the case has been fully completed.

INTRODUCING EVIDENCE OF PREVIOUS CONVICTIONS

NOTE: To be considered by a court-martial, previous convictions must be ADMITTED in evidence. Ordinarily, they are proved by introducing in evidence a duly authenticated extract copy of the accused's service record (DD Form 493). After the Record of Previous Convictions has been marked for identification (see p. 512, MCM, 1951) and shown to the defense counsel, the introduction proceeds as follows:

TC: Prosecution Exhibit_____for identification, a duly authenticated extract copy of the accused's service record showing_____previous conviction(s) by court-martial, is offered in evidence as Prosecution Exhibit_____.

DC: *(No objection). (The accused objects to_____on the grounds that_____.)*

LO *(Pres)*: *(Subject to objection by any member of the court).* Prosecution Exhibit_____ for identification is (is not) admitted in evidence as Prosecution Exhibit_____.

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE : 1953 O - 282762

Headquarters
96TH AIR BASE GROUP (SAC)
Office of the Staff Judge Advocate
Altus Air Force Base, Oklahoma

BJA 8 August 1957

SUBJECT: Psychiatric Examination

TO: Staff Judge Advocate
Headquarters Fifteenth Air Force
March Air Force Base, California

1. Confirmation is hereby made of my request for examination by a member of the American Board of Psychiatrists of First Lieutenant Charleen Goodnaugh, AN 2243350, 509th Tactical Hospital, 509th Bombardment Wing, Walker Air Force Base, New Mexico.

2. This examination is deemed necessary because the previous examiner, Major Charles R. Rosewall, is not a member of the American Board of Psychiatrists. His report, however, casts serious doubt on the competency of Lieutenant Goodnaugh as a witness in the case of Lieutenant Colonel George F. Adams.

3. My original request of about 24 July 1957 was for an examination of Lieutenant Goodnaugh by a board of psychiatrists. This request resulted in an examination by Dr. Rosewall conducted during a 24 hour period.

David E. Ells
DAVID E. ELLS
Captain, USAF
Defense Counsel

Incl 1

BJA, Hq 96th ABGru, 8 Aug 57, Subject: Psychiatric Examination

JA 1st Ind

Hq Fifteenth Air Force, March Air Force Base, California AUG 14 1957

TO: Captain David E. Ells, Hq 96th Air Base Group, Defense Counsel, (General Court-Martial appointed by paragraph 1, Special Orders 134, Hq Fifteenth Air Force, 19 June 1957, as amended), Altus Air Force Base, Oklahoma

1. Request not favorably considered.

2. An examination has been accomplished by a qualified psychiatrist, despite the fact that he is not presently a Board member.

FOR THE COMMANDER:

A. W. TOLEN
Colonel, USAF
Staff Judge Advocate

Bul 2

Standard Form 513
Rev. August 1954
Promulgated
By Bureau of the Budget
Circular A—32

CLINICAL RECORD — CONSULTATION SHEET

REQUEST

TO: Psychiatric Clinic, SAFB, Texas

FROM: (*Requesting ward, unit, or activity*)

DATE OF REQUEST

REASON FOR REQUEST (*Complaints and findings*)

PROVISIONAL DIAGNOSIS

DOCTOR'S SIGNATURE	APPROVED	PLACE OF CONSULTATION ☐ BEDSIDE ☐ ON CALL	☐ EMERGENCY ☐ ROUTINE

CONSULTATION REPORT

This 28 year old married, white, female Air Force Nurse was evaluated in this Neuropsychiatric clinic on an Out Patient basis on 1 and 2 August 1957, during which period she was interviewed on 2 occasions by the undersigned psychiatrist, under went psychological testing in the interval between those two interviews, and additionally received a neurological evaluation by the staff neurologist.

Psychiatric interviews revealed no evidence of overt pathology of thought which unequivocally could be called delusional, neither were there evidences of paranoid ideation such as ideas of reference, suspiciousness, grandiosity. The outstanding characteristics elicited in the psychiatric interviews were a rather remarkably and inappropriately flat affect, best described as a bland, amiable vagueness; secondly, a variety of social dependency and suggestibility which would suggest the probability that she simply drifts in the direction encouraged by others; and, last, an apparently honest inability to recall what she really does and what she does not remember concerning some sexual activities currently under investigation. Concerning this last, in the interview with the undersigned, she simply indicated that she "Fell asleep" before anything actually happened, except for one occasion, from which she presumed this abnormal sexual activity occurred on every occasion. Obviously, this does not jibe with her own testimony elsewhere, and at first glance appeared to have a strongly hysterical flavor. However, subsequent psychological tests, to be described below, add to this apparent confusion, a more ominous meaning.

The results of psychological testing were indicative generally of a relatively concealed schizophrenic thinking disorder, best described as a latent schizophrenic reaction. The most striking aspect of the test results were the multiple evidences of a more severe pathology of thought in projective testing than was at any time apparent in the more structured situation of the psychiatric interview. (For example

(*Continued on reverse side*)

SIGNATURE AND TITLE	DATE	IDENTIFICATION NO.	ORGANIZATION
CHARLES R. ROSEWALL, MAJ, USAF (MC)	5 Aug 57	AN2 243 350	4036 USAF Hospital, Walker AFB

PATIENT'S IDENTIFICATION (*For typed or written entries give: Name—last, first, middle; grade; date; hospital or medical facility*)	REGISTER NO.	WARD NO.
GOODNOUGH, Charleen 1st Lt		OPC

CONSULTATION SHEET
Standard Form 513

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1956—O-408257 16—56119-5 †

her production in the Draw - A - Person - Test was one of the most primitive and anatomically distorted and grotesque the undersigned has seen.)

Because of the special importance of a particular aspect of the situation, I wish to discuss it in some detail. First, there is no way to determine unequivocally which of the multiple versions of her story might be true. The following, however, we do know on the basis of psychiatric and psychometric evaluation: This young woman is chronically and deeply confused concerning her sexual role and desires generally; superficially, she is a strongly dependent, highly suggestable, hysterical personality; beneath this, in the more sensitive psychological tests, is distinct evidence of a definite schizophrenic thinking disorder, manifested by loose, autistic association, paranoid tendencies, and bizarre and morbid thought content. This constellation of findings would lead a psychiatrist to predict (even without knowing the background which happens to exist in this situation) that such a patient would be very likely to have difficulty at times -- particularly when under emotional stress -- to distinguish between reality and fantasy. Knowing that her adjustment is at its most tenuous in the sexual area generally, I should be most reluctant to accept her story literally -- particularly when her constantly changing story further emphasizes the haziness of the reality - fantasy boundary.

Essentially, this young lady is not activly psychotic, and generally speaking could be considered mentally competent as a witness concerning neutral objective evidence. However, she suffers from an emotional disorder which makes her reliability questionable concerning a situation which envolves so deeply her personal emotional reactions, already in a most tenuous state of balance.

Because of a previous recent neurological evaluation, the patient was referred to the Neurology service while here, and that evaluation revealed no evidence of organic C and S pathology. It is noteworthy that the patient herself indicated that at the time of the previous examination, when "tremor and incoordination" were mentioned, she had been drinking excessivly, and had driven most of the night to the place of her consultation.

CRR

Charles R. Rosewall

CHARLES R. ROSEWALL
Major, USAF (MC)
Chief, Neuropsychiatric Branch

Standard Form 513
Rev. Feb. 1951
Promulgated
By Bureau of the Budget
Circular A—32

CLINICAL RECORD | **CONSULTATION SHEET**

REQUEST

TO:	FROM: (*Requesting ward, unit, or activity*)	DATE OF REQUEST
Psychiatric Department Sheppard Air Force Base	H. F. Harren, Capt	26 Jul 57

REASON FOR REQUEST (*Complaints and findings*)

This 28 year old white female who is a nurse in the United States Air Force has within the past 18 months been investigated by the OSI on a narcotics charge. She is a primary witness against a physician in this hospital who is under charges of adultry and similar and related charges. The physician in question has been given a complete psychiatric evaluation by Colonel J. J. Hornisher of the William Beaumont Army Hospital in El Paso, Texas. Colonel Hornisher also saw this patient briefly and stated "It is apparent that this patient has some sort of familial

--continued on the back--

PROVISIONAL DIAGNOSIS

DOCTOR'S SIGNATURE	APPROVED	PLACE OF CONSULTATION	
H F Harren		☐ BEDSIDE ☒ ON CALL	☒ ROUTINE ☐ EMERGENCY

HENRY F. HARREN, CAPT., USAF (MC) **CONSULTATION REPORT**

(*Continued on reverse side*)

SIGNATURE AND TITLE	DATE	IDENTIFICATION NO.	ORGANIZATION

PATIENT'S LAST NAME—FIRST NAME—MIDDLE NAME	REGISTER NO.	WARD NO.
GOODNOUGH, Charlene, 1st Lt., NC		

WALKER AFB, USAF HOSPITAL
(NAME OF HOSPITAL OR OTHER MEDICAL FACILITY)

CONSULTATION SHEET
Standard Form 513

☆ U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE 16—56119-3

REQUEST CONSULTATION --CONTINUED--

heredo-degenerative demyelinizing disease with tremors, speech defect and incoordination. The tests indicate she is only of low average intelligence with evidence of organic brain disease. She has poor control over her emotions without logic or reason. She is infantile, inadequate and immature according to the tests. She lacks understanding and ordinary reasoning and does not measure up to the average nursing standards.

Diagnosis: 3215 Immaturity with symptomatic habit reaction, lisping.

Line of Duty: No, EPTE.

Colonel Hornisher wrote a letter to the surgeon of this hospital Colonel Lee Farrell asking that this patient be sent to another installation for a complete psychiatric evaluation prior to the court marital trial of our local physician.

This patient was also admitted to this hospital recently for lower abdominal pains and it was felt by the patient at that time that she was approximately 3 months pregnant. She also states that she is now married to a sergeant here in the Air Force, however examination and tests reveal that the patient is not pregnant at this time. The patient also experiences much difficulty with bad debts and has constantly failed to clear herself of these debts.

Because of these facts the patient is being referred to you for complete psychiatric evaluation.